

Volume 10 Issue 22





FULL PAPER BTAIJ, 10(22), 2014 [13981-13987]

# Tax rate fluctuations of China's construction industry and real estate industry: a computable general equilibrium analysis

Zhou Jia<sup>1</sup>, Miao Chang-Qing<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>College of Water conservancy and Architecture, Northeast Agriculture University, 150030, (CHINA) <sup>2</sup>School of Astronautics, Harbin Institute of Technology, 150001, (CHINA) E-mail: soledad.zhou@163.com, miaochangqing@163.com

# ABSTRACT

Construction and real estate tax policy can affect the overall socio-economic. We design a computable general equilibrium model to identify the construction industry and real estate industry. According to the 42 departments of China's 2007 input-output table, the corresponding social accounting matrix is worked out, and the model is used to analyze the effect of adjusting the tax rate of construction and real estate on economic development. The simulation shows that reducing tax rates can promote economic development.

# **KEYWORDS**

Computable general equilibrium model; Input – output; Social accounting matrix; Tax

© Trade Science Inc.



#### INTRODUCTION

With the progress of the reform and opening-up policy, China's economy has maintained steady and rapid pace of development. At the same time, China's construction and real estate industries developed rapidly. In the past 10 years, the building construction area and the housing area have a great growth. In 2012, the building construction area was 5.73 billion square meters, of which 4.289 billion square meters were the housing area, with growth of 13.2% and 10.6%, respectively, compared with 2011. Moreover, the real estate investment has increased from 3.6232 trillion yuan in 2009 to 7.1804 trillion yuan in 2012, about 2 times growth in 4 years<sup>[1]</sup>.

At present, the rapid growth of Chinese real estate industry has caused widespread concern of the Chinese government. The government adopts new policies to control the increasingly high prices<sup>[2]</sup>. Under the conditions of the market economy, tax policy is a combination of policy tools that cannot be ignored. We specified the tax computable general equilibrium (CGE) model and studied the effects of construction tax and real estate capital tax policy on the national economy. Based on gains and losses, the government can make further targeted complementary measures. The result shows that raising or lowering individual tax rates in an industry to promote the coordinated development of the national economy, is not a good way of economic regulation, should also pay attention to the promotion of production and consumption.

# COMPUTABLE GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODEL OF CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY

#### **Basic structure**

Computable general equilibrium model is a based on neoclassical microeconomic theory and internally consistent macroeconomic model<sup>[3]</sup>. It can simultaneously consider the behavior and interaction among multiple markets, among a number of economic entities which have optimization behavior and between the economic entities and market<sup>[4]</sup>. The domestic and foreign researches on CGE model of tax policy are abundance. The research scholars who first introduced the CGE model in housing policy are Luk<sup>[5]</sup>etc.. Hofe<sup>[6]</sup>, Kim and Ju<sup>[7]</sup> analyzes the impact of changes in the housing market on the macro economy. At present, scholars use the CGE model for the relationship between architecture and real estate tax policy and the national economy and its various departments have been some studies, but the overall need further. For the current development of China's real estate industry, a CGE model is constructed for construction industry and real estate industry to analyze the impact of the construction rate and real estate tax rate change on the Chinese economy.

This model is characterized by: (1) the income comes mainly from the held capital and labor, the two initial factors. (2) The description of production activities is a multi-layered structure of nested models. (3) Sources of government revenue include production tax, income tax, import tariffs, and energy taxes. The government part of its total tax revenue at a certain propensity to save for savings, the rest of at the propensity to consume for consumer products. (4) The model uses the Armington assumption and small country assumptions<sup>[8]</sup>. (5) In the equilibrium condition, the market clearing includes product clearing and factors clearing. The model used "neoclassical closure" rule<sup>[9]</sup> (see Figure 1).



Figure 1 : CGE model structure

#### **Model content**

The model of this paper is mainly composed of four modules, respectively, production, price, institution and system. Endogenous variables in the model are written in capital letters, parameters and exogenous variables are expressed by and made the necessary modifications and extensions. The specific content is equation (1) to equation (29) below.

# Production

$$QVD_{a} = \alpha_{a}^{f} \left[ \delta_{a}^{f} K_{a}^{\rho_{a}^{f}} + (1 - \delta_{a}^{f}) L_{a}^{\rho_{a}^{f}} \right]^{1/\rho_{a}^{f}}$$
(1)

$$QP_{ac} = iac_{ac} * QI_{ac}$$
(2)

$$PI_a = \sum_c iac_{ac} *PP_c \tag{3}$$

$$QD_a = \alpha_a \left[\delta_a QV D_a^{p^a} + (1 - \delta_a) Q I_a^{p^a}\right]^{1/\rho_a}$$
(4)

$$QD_2 = iac_2 * QQ_a \tag{5}$$

$$QQ_a = iac_a * QX_c \tag{6}$$

$$QX_{c} = \alpha_{c}^{t} [\delta_{c}^{t} Q E_{c}^{\rho_{c}^{t}} + (1 - \delta_{c}^{t}) Q D_{c}^{\rho_{c}^{t}}]^{1/\rho_{c}^{t}}, \rho_{c}^{t} \rangle 1$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

$$\frac{QE_c}{QD_c} = \left(\frac{PD_c}{PE_c} \frac{1 - \delta_c^t}{\delta_c^t}\right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \rho_c^t}}$$
(8)

$$Q_{c} = \alpha_{c}^{q} \left[ \delta_{c}^{q} Q M_{c}^{\rho_{c}^{q}} + (1 - \delta_{c}^{q}) Q D_{c}^{\rho_{c}^{q}} \right]^{1/\rho_{c}^{q}}$$
(9)

# TABLE 1 : Variable description of the variables in CGE model

Endogenous variables							
K <sub>a</sub> : Capital requirements L <sub>a</sub> : Demand for labor QD <sub>a</sub> : Outputs QVD <sub>a</sub> : Composite factor QI <sub>a</sub> : Intermediate inputs QP <sub>ac</sub> ; Industry commodity YI <sub>i</sub> : Family income YIF <sub>i</sub> f: Household factor income EE: Corporate expenses EG: Government expenses EL: Household expenditures	$QD_c$ : Domestic supply $QE_c$ : Export $QM_c$ : Import $QX_c$ : Domestic market product $Q_c$ : Domestic market commodity $QQ_a$ : Construction and real estate industry output $PQQ_a$ : Construction and real estate prices $QH_c$ : Household consumption $YIF_k$ : Corporate capital income	PD <sub>c</sub> : Domestic prices PE <sub>c</sub> ; Export prices PM <sub>c</sub> : Import prices PI <sub>a</sub> : Intermediate input price index PP <sub>c</sub> : Industry commodity prices PQ <sub>c</sub> : Domestic commodity prices PX <sub>c</sub> : Total domestic output prices YE: Corporate income YG: Government revenue QG <sub>c</sub> : Government expenses					

## Parameters and exogenous variables

iaca <sub>c</sub> : Input-output direct consumption coefficient	e: Exchange rate
iac <sub>a</sub> : Industry direct consumption coefficient	th <sub>i</sub> : Family income tax rate
icc <sub>c</sub> :Products direct consumption coefficient	tm <sub>c</sub> : Import tariff rates
pwm <sub>c</sub> : World prices of imported goods	tr: Corporate income tax
te <sub>c</sub> : Energy tax rate	rs: Foreign savings
pwe <sub>c</sub> : World prices of export goods	ks: Capital endowment
tf <sub>ig</sub> : Transfer of government to family	ls: Labor endowment
tf <sub>ie</sub> Transfer of enterprises to family	te <sub>c</sub> : Export tariffs
tf <sub>ee</sub> : Transfer of government to enterprises	sh <sub>i</sub> : Household savings rate
gs: Government Savings	

$$\frac{QM_c}{QD_c} = \left(\frac{PD_c}{PM_c} \frac{1 - \delta_c^q}{\delta_c^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \rho_c^q}}$$
(10)

Price

$$PM_c = pwm_c(1+tm_c)e$$
(11)

$$PE_c = pwe_c (1 - te_c)e$$
(12)

$$PQ_c = \frac{PD_c *QD_c + PM_c *QM_c}{Q_c}$$
(13)

$$PQQ_a = iac_2 * PD_2 + iac_3 * PD_3 \tag{14}$$

$$PX_c = icc_1 * PD_1 + icc_2 * PQQ_a \tag{15}$$

# Institution

$$YI_i = \sum_i YIF_{if} + tf_{ie} + tf_{ig}$$
(16)

$$EI_i = \sum_i PQ_c * QH_c + th_i * YI_i$$
(17)

$$YE = YIF_k + tf_{eg}$$
(18)

$$EE = tr + \sum_{i} tf_{ie}$$
(19)

$$YG = \operatorname{th}_{i} * \sum_{i} YI_{i} + tr + \sum_{c} tm_{c} * pwm_{c} * QM_{c} * e + te_{c} * \sum_{a} QD_{a}$$
(20)

$$EG = \sum_{c} QG_{c} * PQ_{c} + \sum_{i} tf_{ig} + tf_{eg} + tf_{ig} * e$$
(21)

# System constraint

$$YI_i = EI_i + sh_i * YI_i \tag{22}$$

$$YG = EG + gs \tag{23}$$

$$I = gs + \sum_{i} sh_i * YI_i + rs * e$$
(24)

$$YE = YIF_k + tf_{eg}$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

$$Q_c = \sum_c QI_c + \sum_i QH_i + QG + I$$
(26)

$$\sum_{a} K_{a} = ks \tag{27}$$

$$\sum_{a} L_{a} = ls \tag{28}$$

$$\sum_{c} pwm_{c} * QM_{c} + tf_{ig} = \sum_{c} pwe_{c} * Qe_{c} + rs$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

#### 13985

## DATA PROCESSING

#### Social accounting matrix

Data is the core issue for establishing CGE model for policy simulation. Social accounting matrix (SAM) is a national accounting matrix representation, which is benchmark data sets can be able to meet the balance, closed and consistency for a CGE model<sup>[11]</sup>.

According to the 42 departments of China's 2007 I/O table, production activities accounts are divided into six sectors, including primary industry, secondary industry, tertiary industry, the energy industry, the construction industry and the real estate industry. Other accounts include the residents, the increase in value (labor compensation and depreciation of fixed assets), operating surplus of enterprises, government, investment, foreign and tariffs<sup>[12]</sup>. TABLE 2 shows the balance of China 2007 macro SAM.

		Production activities						nowond	Sumlu		Docidon		Conito	Foreig	Tar	
		Pri.	Sec.	Ter.	Energ y	Constr	R.E.	E. s	Asset	sui più s	t	Gov.	l	n	- iff	Total
	Pri.	6877	12721 5	2549	2	259	1				11156	342	2042	666		15110 9
	Sec.	9776	28352 0	40455	9585	37069	894				35620	0	262758	81102		76077 9
Prodn	Ter.	3087	39799	34963	3112	9390	1185				38283		3827	13267		14691 3
Act.	Energ y	472	19687	3098	12301	857	64				2997	0	5	97		39578
	Constr	11	148	1051	12	598	180				932	0	59608	409		62949
	R.E.	10	1033	2453	16	36	132				7565	0	3406	0		14651
rew	vards	12704 4	36101	35533	2488	7405	1339									20991 0
As	sset	1430	13293	11255	4093	776	6284									37131
Sur	plus	0	33524		5273	4532	2678									46007
Res	ident							209910	3713 1							24704 1
G	ov.	48	23953	9567	1256	1801	1894				3185				143 3	43137
Caj	pital									46007	147303	4279 5		95541		33164 6
For	eign	2328	18127 8	5828	1427	221	0									19108 2
Та	riff	26	1228	161	13	5	0									1433
То	otal	15110 9	76077 9	14691 3	39578	62949	1465 1	209910	3713 1	46007	247041	4313 7	331646	191082	143 3	

#### TABLE 2 : Macro social account matrix of China in 2007(100 million) Particular

## Parameter set and estimated

The elasticity of substitution and elasticity of conversion between the various inputs and outputs in CGE model are mainly referred to Lofgren's<sup>[13][14]</sup> value and they are combined with the sensitivity test setting. The various tax rates, income and expenses are set to the current value of 2007. The GAMS software is implemented to the program expression and solving of CGE model, the values of the parameters in the model are calculated by SAM and are substituted into the GAMS program to verify their correctness.

# SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS OF TAX POLICY

Considering that the tax to the construction industry and real estate industry has a more important impact on society and the economy, we raise or reduce the construction and real estate tax rates, and then analyze the impact of different tax rates on the development of the construction industry and real estate industry and the entire social and economic, and hope that it can provide the basis for government departments to constitute real estate-related policies.

## Impact analysis of the production sector

After adjusting the tax rate of construction and real estate, the impact on the level of output and output prices of the various productive sectors is shown in TABLE3. Therefore, the increase of tax rate of construction or real estate by 1% have different degrees of inhibition to the output of various departments, the tax rate down 1% that promote the outputs of the various departments on different levels, and also the impact on the construction industry and real estate relatively is larger. Changes in the tax rate of real estate industry have no effect on output prices, and the impact of construction industry rate on prices is also relatively small. The result can explain that the inhibition of the construction industry and real estate industry brings a certain degree decline overall socio-economic.

# Macro impact analysis

		Primary industry	Secondary industry	Tertiary industry	Energy industry	Construction industry	Real estate
Building tax	output level	-2.03	-2.46	-2.11	-2.8	-5.51	-4.7
increased by 1%	output price	0	0.04	0	0.01	0	0.03
Building tax	output level	2.3	2.61	2.38	2.88	6.01	4.99
reduced by 1%	output price	0	-0.04	0	-0.01	0	-0.03
real estate taxes	output level	-0.23	-0.25	-0.23	-0.29	-0.53	-1.5
increased by 1%	output price	0	0	0	0	0	0
real estate taxes	output level	0.26	0.29	0.25	0.31	0.55	1.12
reduced by 1%	output price	0	0	0	0	0	0
Two taxes in	output level	-2.27	-0.28	-2.37	-2.89	-5.85	-5.51
creased by 1%	output price	0	0.03	0	0.02	0	0.01
Two taxes in	output level	2.55	2.96	2.64	3.23	6.53	6.2
increased by 1%	output price	0	-0.03	0	-0.01	0	-0.01

TABLE 3 : Output level and change of p	orice rate of various	productive sectors(%)
--	-----------------------	-----------------------

Γ.	A	BL	E	4	:	Economi	ic	variables	changes
----	---	----	---	---	---	---------	----	-----------	---------

	Building tax		Real est	ate tax	Two taxes		
	increased by 1%	reduced by 1%	increased by 1%	reduced by 1%	increased by 1%	reduced by 1%	
Output	-2.6	3	-0.29	0.28	-2.88	3.21	
Construction,							
real	-5.21	5.8	-0.6	0.63	-5.77	6.48	
Estate output							
Demand	-2.59	2.86	-0.29	0.3	-2.84	3.17	
Imports	-2.8	3.13	-0.3	0.33	-3.1	3.45	
Exports	-2.1	2.22	-0.23	0.25	-2.3	2.46	
Transferred in	-2.27	2.55	-0.24	0.26	-2.54	2.86	
Transferred out	-2.5	2.76	-0.26	0.28	-2.77	3.11	
Investment	-2.91	3.22	-0.35	0.36	-3.26	3.61	
Value added	-2.56	2.83	-0.31	0.33	-2.86	3.17	
Residents consumption	-2.58	2.87	-0.3	0.31	-2.88	3.24	
Government consumption	-1.33	3.25	-0.16	0.18	-1.48	1.66	
Corporate income	-2.53	2.78	-0.26	0.31	-2.75	3.11	
Residents' welfare	-341241	378345	-39223	42316	-377952	423437	

Raising the tax rate of construction or real estate, the cost of a variety of related products will increase. On the one hand, it will reduce the demand for such products; on the other hand it will encourage enterprises to increase output

efficiency to a certain extent. TABLE 4 lists several changes in key economic variables, which can be seen that raising the tax rate of construction or real estate will have a negative impact overall economy, especially on the construction industry and real estate industry. These effects include the reduce of enterprise in real income, the decline in the level of consumption of the residents and the government, which significantly affect labor and capital investment, cause important impact on the import and export, and the level of welfare of the residents will also show a corresponding decrease. Conversely, reducing its tax rate will promote the development of the macroeconomic. It is a certain extent explained that the development trend of the future should not increase tax to inhibit the development of relevant industries, but rather by lowering taxes, while promoting the production and consumption, thereby enable economic and social access to healthy development.

#### CONCLUSION

Construction industry and real estate industry have achieved a rapid development; at the same time, it puts forward higher requirements for energy and related industries. Under the conditions of market economy, the use of tax measures to appropriate adjust the price of the related industries, on the one hand, it can effectively regulate the development of the industry through the market price mechanism, but on the other hand, it will also bring impact on social and economic development because of the impact on various industries. From the simulation results, to improve an industry rate alone is not a good economic regulation. Therefore, when seeking industrial restructuring through taxes and other economic instruments, we must make a comprehensive assessment of it to the combined effects of economic activities, and then frame targeted complementary measures to ensure the level of economic and social life simultaneous growth.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Wu Haiying; Dynamic input-output analysis of the impacts of real estate investment growth on steel and total investment growth, The Journal of World Economy, March, 22-30 (2007).
- [2] Liang Yunfang, Gao Tiemei, He Shuping; An empirical analysis of harmonious development between the real estate industry and the national economy in transitional China, Social Sciences in China, March, 74-84 (2006).
- [3] L.Johanson; A multi-sectoral study of economic growth, Amsterdam : North-Holland Publishing Company, (1960).
- [4] B.Decaluwe, A.Martens; *CGE modeling and developing economies: A concise empirical survey of 73 applications to 26 countries*, Journal of Policy Modeling, April, 529-568 (**1988**).
- [5] F.K.Luk; A general equilibrium simulation model of housing markets with indivisibility, Regional Science and Urban Economics, 23(2), 153-169 (1993).
- [6] Hofe, V.Rainer; A regional computable general equilibrium model for housing policy: The Case of New York State, Paper Presented at the 49th Annual North American Meetings of the Regional Science Association International, San Juan, Puerto Rico, November, 14-16 (2002).
- [7] E.Kim, J.Ju; Growth and distributional impacts of urban housing supply: An application of urban land use and a CGE model for seoul, RURDS, 15(1), 66 -81 (2003).
- [8] Li Na, Shi Minjun, Wang Fei; Roles of regional differences and linkages on Chinese regional policy effect in CGE analysis, Systems Engineering-Theory & Practice, 29(10), 35–44 (2009).
- [9] M.Kilkenny, S.Robinson; Computable general equilibrium analysis of agricultural liberalization: Factor mobility and macro closure, Journal of Policy Modeling, March, 527-556 (1990).
- [10] H.Lofgren, R.Harris, S.Robinson; A standard computable general equilibrium (CGE) model in GAMS, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington D. C., (2002).
- [11] S.Robinson, M.El-Said; *GAMS code for estimating a social accounting matrix(SAM) using cross entropy(CE) methods*, International Food Policy Research Institute, (2000).
- [12] Li Shantong, Duan Zhigang, Hu Feng; *General equilibrium technique for policy modeling*, Tsinghua University Press, (2009).
- [13] H.Gene; Chang, *Principles of computable general equilibrium (CGE) modeling and programming*, Truth & Wisdom Press, (2010).
- [14] H.Lofgren; *Exercises in general equilibrium modeling using GAMS*, International Food Policy Research Institute, (1999).