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#### Abstract

The scale particle theory predicting has solubilities in mixed solvents at 1 atmosphere pressure and ordinary temperature has been studied. A method for predicting Henry's law constant of single gas in mixed solvents, from the corresponding data of pure components by using statistical mechanical theory is presented. Good agreement with experimental results are obtained for helium, argon, nitrogen, oxygen, methane and ethylene gases in a variety of solvent mixture (water, methanol, ethanol, 1propanol, 2-proponal, 1,2-diethanediol, acetone, n-hexane and n-dodecane).
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## INTRODUCTION

Guha and Panda ${ }^{1-4}$ reported the solubility of single solute gas including freons in liquid such as water, carbon tetrachloride, benzene and its derivatives and benzenehydrocarbon vapor mixture in water. In this paper, the study of solubility of non-polar gases in mixed solvent has been presented.

While the solubility of single gas in mixed solvents is of industrial importance, most experimental works have dealt with solubilities in single solvent. For mixed solvents, therefore, prediction schemes are often used. In the part of several years, a considerable efforts has been devoted to the problem of predicting the solubility of gases in mixed solvents. A large number of experimental and theoretical works have been done on solubility of dingle gas in single solvent but relatively experimental as well as theoretical investigations of solubility of gases in mixed solvents are rare.

[^0]Tiepel and Gubbins ${ }^{5}$ have proposed an analysis by statistical mechanical perturbation theory. The perturbation techniques have used to predict mixed solvent Henry's law constants for the pure solutes. This method appears promising, especially when liquid-vapor equilibrium data for mixed solvent are not available. The calculations required are formidable, however, and the accuracies of perturbation methods have not been fully established. Gibbins ${ }^{6}$ has given a review of the subject.

O'Connell and Prausnit ${ }^{7}$, and Boublik ${ }^{8}$ have analyzed the phenomena of solubility in mixed solvent from purely thermodynamics viewpoints and derived semi-theoretical correlations. O'Connell ${ }^{9}$ briefly reviewed the concept of these theoretical treatments. The general thermodynamic relation between Henry's law constant for a solute in a mixed solvent is discussed in terms of limiting activity coefficients. It has also pointed out the limitation of the thermodynamic treatments and proposed an approximate correlation based on the solution theory of Kirkwood and Buff ${ }^{10}$. Several unknown integrates in the theory are approximated by an empirical function of solvent composition and of solvent properties which generally predicts experimental data for gases in both; simple and complex solvents.

Puri and Ruther ${ }^{11}$ proposed an additive excess free energy model for predicting Henry's law constant in mixed solvents. They utilized solvent-vapor liquid equilibrium data and new model based on the Van Laar equation.

Kung et al. ${ }^{12}$ developed a method for prediction of Henry's law constants of single gases in mixed solvents from the corresponding binary data and by using one parameter from the Wilson ${ }^{13}$ equation is presented. The average absolute error for 40 such binary solvent systems is $5.9 \%$. For a system containing water in which gas solubilities are typically low, the proposed method and the other ones-give unreliable results.

Catte et al. ${ }^{14}$ proposed a new and simple model based on group contribution method for predicting gas solubilities in mixed solvents at low pressure and temperature. The overall performance of this method is better than that a MHV2, GC-EOS and Sander's model but some adjustable parameters are used. However, the applicable range and accuracy of correlation are still not satisfactory. All of these correlation required thermodynamic data for mixed solvents.

A statistical mechanical approach can be thought to be a powerful means for analyzing gas solubility phenomena in mixed solvents. However, at the present time, there is no exact statistical mechanical solution theory. Instead, various kinds of approximate theories or model approaches have been presented.

In the present work, a somewhat intuitive statistical mechanical gas solubility theory has been presented for the cases concerned with pure liquid including water, discussed by Guha and Panda ${ }^{1-4}$ in the previous papers, has been extended to the system of mixed solvents.

## Method of computation

Solubility and thermodynamic functions solutions pertaining to the solution process are calculated in usual manner utilizing scale particle theory (SPT) formalism, considering that dissolution of gas in a liquid takes place through two steps:
(i) First, the creation of a cavity in the solvent of suitable size to accommodate the solute molecules i.e. its diameter is exactly the hard sphere diameter of the solute gas. This referred to as a cavity formation process. The free energy associated with this process is called cavity formation energy (CFE).
(ii) The second step involved introduction of solute molecule into the cavity of the solvent and hence, interaction between solute and solvent occurs according to some potential law. The energy associated with this process is called Gibbs free energy of interaction.
the solubility of gas in liquid is described by Henry's law constant as -

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Lim}_{x_{2} \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{f_{2}}{x_{2}}\right)=K_{H} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for extremely dilute solution, from statistical mechanics, Henry's law constant can be written as ${ }^{15-17}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{H}}=\mu_{\mathrm{cav}}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \left(\mathrm{RT} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}, 1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for mixed solvent, this can be rewriten as -

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{H}, \text { mix }}=\left(\mu_{\mathrm{cav}}\right)_{\operatorname{mix}}(\mathrm{d})+\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{i}}\right)_{\text {mix }}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \left\{\mathrm{RT} /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}, 1}\right)_{\text {mix }}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\left(\mu_{\text {cav }}\right)_{\text {mix }}(\mathrm{d})$ is the cavity formation energy to make one mole of cavity in the mixed solvent and each cavity is sufficiently large to hold one gas molecule. $\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{i}}\right)_{\text {mix }}$ is the molar Gibb's free energy of interaction between the solute in the cavity and the surrounding molecules of mixed solvents; R is the gas constant; T is the system temperature and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H}, \text { mix }}$ is Henry's law constant of gases in mixed solvent and $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}, 1}\right)_{\text {mix }}$ is the molar volume of the solvents mixtures.

## Cavity formation energy of binary solvent mixtures

The expression of cavity formation energy, discussed in previous papers by Guha and Panda ${ }^{4}$ and Matyushov and Ladanyi, ${ }^{18}$ was extended to gas solubility in mixed solvent as -
$\left(\mu_{\text {cav }}\right)_{\text {mix }}(d) / R T=-\ln \left(1-\eta_{\text {mix }}\right)+3 \eta_{\text {mix }} \mathrm{d} /\left(1-\eta_{\text {mix }}\right)+3 \mathrm{~d}^{2} \eta_{\text {mix }}\left(2-\eta_{\text {mix }}\right)\left(1+\eta_{\text {mix }}\right) / 2\left(1-\eta_{\text {mix }}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{d}^{3}$ $\eta_{\text {mix }}\left(1+\eta_{\text {mix }}+\eta_{\text {mix }}^{2}-\eta_{\text {mix }}^{3}\right) /\left(1-\eta_{\text {mix }}\right)^{3}$
where $\eta_{\text {mix }}+\pi \rho_{\text {mix }}\left(\sigma_{1, \text { mix }}\right)^{3} / 6$ is the "compactness factor" of solvent mixture. The number density of mixture is defined by $\rho_{\text {mix }}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}} /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}, ~}\right)_{\text {mix }}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is Avagrado's number. The hard sphere diameter of the solvent mixtures, $\left(\sigma_{1, \text { mix }}\right)$ is calculated by the relation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{1, \mathrm{~m}}\right)^{3}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}} \sigma_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{3}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{3} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}$ are hard sphere diameters, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are mole fractions of two solvents ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' in mixture, respectively. The last term of equation (4) is related to the hydrosatatic pressure for gas solubility in incompressible liquid mixtures, which can be neglected.

## Interaction energy of non-polar solute with mixed solvent

For a non-polar solute gas and polar solvent mixture, the dispersion energy and inductive energy contribute to interaction free energy. If dispersion is approximated by Lennard Jones (6-12) parameters, then the value of $\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{i}}\right)_{\text {mix }}$ is given by the following relation -

$$
\left.\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{mix}} / \mathrm{RT}=-\left(32 \pi \rho_{\mathrm{mix}} / 9 \mathrm{kT}\right)\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\left\{\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}+\sigma_{2}\right) / 2\right\}^{3}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}} \varepsilon_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\left\{\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}+\sigma_{2}\right) / 2\right\}^{3}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}} \varepsilon_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.4 \pi \rho_{\text {mix }} \mu_{\text {mix }}^{2} \alpha_{2} / 3 \mathrm{kT}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\left\{\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}+\sigma_{2}\right) / 2\right\}^{3}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\left\{\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}+\sigma_{2}\right) / 2\right\}^{3}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are Lennard-Jones (6-12) parameters for solvent ' a ', solvent ' b ' and solute gas, respectively and $\mu_{\text {mix }}$ is the dipole moment of the solvent mixture.

Lucas and Feillolay ${ }^{19}$ also used similar type of equation in the analysis of enthalpy of some non-polar molecules in aqueous ethanol solutions and salt solutions.

For binary solvent mixture, no experimental values of the dipole moment of solvent ' $a$ ' $\left(\mu_{a}\right)$ and solvent ' $b$ ' $\left(\mu_{b}\right)$ mixtures are available. It is not desirable also to take the linear average of $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{b}}$ to calculate dipole moment of binary solvent mixture, $\mu_{\text {mix }}$ as these are vector quantities. The vector addition would depend on the relative special
orientation of the component moment. Moreau and Douheret ${ }^{20}$ calculated the thermodynamic and physical behavior of water-acetonitrile mixtures experimentally specially the dielectric properties. The relation used for calculation of the dipole moment of the mixture is -

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\mathrm{x})=\left(1-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mu_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} \mu_{\mathrm{b}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{b}}$ are the dipole moments of the pure substances. The major assumption is that the molecules are in random motion and have random orientations. So, the average of the directions are taken into accounts. Therefore, the following relation can be used to calculate dipole moment of solvent mixtures -

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\text {mix }}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a} \mu} \mu_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} \mu_{\mathrm{b}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{b}}$ are dipole moments of solvent ' $a$ ' and solvent ' $b$ ', respectively.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The calculated solubilities in terms of Henry's law constant of helium in methanolwater, ethanol-water, 1-propanol-water and 2-propanol-water solutions are given in Table 1 and 2. For comparison, experimental solubilities of helium in above solvent mixtures were added in same tables. The correlated results of Henry's law constant of helium in above mixed solvents are shown in Fig.s 1-4. Solid lines are for experimental and dashed lines are for calculated results. In these curves, it can be seen that solubilities in mixed solvents increased monotonically with increasing mole fraction of alcohol over the entire composition range except low mole fraction of alcohol ( $0<\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}<0.2$ ). The order of gas solubility in the alcohol-water solution was-2-propanol-water $>$ 1-propanol-water $>$ ethanol-water $>$ methanol-water in the full range of composition. Good agreements between experimental and calculated solubilities were found. For above calculations' necessary molecular parameters are given in Tables 3 and 4. In Table 3, the hard sphere diameter of methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, and 2-propanol are given at 293.15 K. The hard sphere diameter of alcohols at 298.15 K was calculated using the following relation suggested by Wilhelm ${ }^{33}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{~T})=\sigma_{1}^{298.15}+\left(\delta \sigma_{1} / \delta \mathrm{T}\right)(\mathrm{T}-298.15 \mathrm{~K}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\sigma_{1}{ }^{298.15}$ denotes the effective hard sphere diameter of the solvent at 298.15 K and $\delta \sigma_{1} / \delta \mathrm{T}$ is the temperature coefficient of the hard sphere diameter that is taken from Ben-Amotz and Herschbach ${ }^{34}$ and Ben-Amotz and Wills ${ }^{21}$.
Table 1. Solubilities in terms of logarithm of Henry's law constant ( $\ln K_{H} / \mathbf{a t m}$ ) of helium gas dissolved in
methanol(a) + water(b) and ethanol(a) + water(b) at 298.15 K and 1 atmosphere pressure

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Methanol } \\ \text { mole } \\ \text { fraction }\left(x_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Density at $298.15 K_{h}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expt. } \\ \text { LnK }_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{atm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} \\ / \text { atm } \end{gathered}$ | Ethanol mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | Density at $298.15 K_{h}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expt. } \\ \text { LnK }_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{h}} / \mathbf{a t m} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{/ \text { atm }}{\text { Calc. } \ln K_{H}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 0.9969 | 11.8463 | 11.5233 | 0.0000 | 0.9969 | 11.8463 | 11.5233 |
| 0.0351 | 0.9867 | 11.7204 | 11.5108 | 0.0073 | 0.9954 | 11.8438 | 11.5410 |
| 0.0845 | 0.9748 | 11.5987 | 11.4997 | 0.0373 | 0.9847 | 11.7775 | 11.5537 |
| 0.1003 | 0.9710 | 11.6099 | 11.4929 | 0.1972 | 0.9334 | 11.4334 | 11.3741 |
| 0.2620 | 0.9333 | 11.4333 | 11.3423 | 0.3141 | 0.9016 | 11.0703 | 11.0950 |
| 0.5001 | 0.8814 | 10.9854 | 10.9311 | 0.4156 | 0.8775 | 10.8039 | 10.8746 |
| 0.7546 | 0.8305 | 10.3380 | 10.3754 | 0.4695 | 0.8659 | 10.6134 | 10.6617 |
| 0.8729 | 0.8085 | 10.1058 | 10.1053 | 0.6659 | 0.8300 | 10.1288 | 10.1210 |
| 0.9061 | 0.8025 | 10.0107 | 10.0298 | 0.6748 | 0.8285 | 9.8773 | 10.0977 |
| 0.9277 | 0.7986 | 9.9789 | 9.9807 | 0.9462 | 0.8043 | 9.6373 | 9.6044 |
| 1.0000 | 0.7862 | 9.7671 | 9.8206 | 1.0000 | 0.7861 | 9.5243 | 9.3389 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Yamamoto et al. (ref. 27). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Solubilities in terms of logarithm of Henry's law constant ( $\ln \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H} /} \mathrm{atm}$ ) of helium gas dissolved in 1-
propanol(a) + water(b) and 2-propanol(a) + water(b) at 298.15 K and 1 atmosphere pressure.

| 1-Propanol <br> mole <br> fraction $\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}\right)$ | Density at <br> $\mathbf{2 9 8 . 1 5} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{h}}$ | Expt. <br> $\mathbf{L n K}_{\mathbf{H}}{ }^{\mathbf{h}} / \mathbf{a t m}$ | Calc. $\mathbf{l n} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{H}}$ <br> /atm | 1-Propanol <br> mole fraction <br> $\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}\right)$ | Density at <br> $\mathbf{2 9 8 . 1 5} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{h}}$ | Expt. <br> $\mathbf{L n K}_{\mathbf{H}}{ }^{\mathbf{h}} / \mathbf{a t m}$ | Calc. InK <br> /atm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 0.9969 | 11.8463 | 11.5233 | 0.0000 | 0.9968 | 11.8463 | 11.5233 |
| 0.0213 | 0.9884 | 11.7574 | 11.5787 | 0.0222 | 0.9857 | 11.8052 | 11.5372 |
| 0.0387 | 0.9809 | 11.7644 | 11.5941 | 0.0471 | 0.9739 | 11.7288 | 11.5290 |
| 0.0430 | 0.9790 | 11.7746 | 11.5962 | 0.1140 | 0.9449 | 11.5063 | 11.4162 |
| 0.0914 | 0.9588 | 11.6035 | 11.5778 | 0.1815 | 0.9193 | 11.2242 | 11.2160 |
| 0.1304 | 0.9439 | 11.4650 | 11.5174 | 0.4276 | 0.8515 | 10.3290 | 10.3166 |
| 0.1979 | 0.9208 | 11.1577 | 11.3534 | 0.5336 | 0.8320 | 10.1024 | 9.9648 |
| 0.2711 | 0.8993 | 10.8787 | 11.1291 | 0.5710 | 0.8266 | 9.9635 | 9.8577 |
| 0.3194 | 0.8870 | 10.7295 | 10.9704 | 0.7934 | 0.8002 | 9.5731 | 9.2741 |
| 0.4277 | 0.8639 | 10.4034 | 10.6173 | 0.8416 | 0.7956 | 9.4734 | 9.1618 |
| 0.5220 | 0.8481 | 10.1944 | 10.3290 | 0.9215 | 0.7881 | 9.3440 | 8.9830 |
| 0.6623 | 0.8304 | 9.7420 | 9.9500 | 0.9745 | 0.7830 | 9.2692 | 8.8630 |
| 0.7497 | 0.8216 | 9.7863 | 9.7371 | 1.0000 | 0.7804 | 9.2190 | 8.8052 |
| 0.8503 | 0.8128 | 9.6216 | 9.5069 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.3909 | 0.8078 | 9.5463 | 9.3753 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9675 | 0.8078 | 9.5463 | 9.3753 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9675 | 0.8026 | 9.4581 | 9.2437 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0000 | 0.7995 | 9.4196 | 9.1667 |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. Solubility of helium in methanol-water solutions as mole fraction of methanol at 298.15 K


Fig. 2. Solubility of helium in ethanol-water solutions as mole fraction of ethanol at 298.15 K


Fig. 3. Solubility of helium in 1-propanol-water solution as a mole fraction of 1-propanol at 298.15 K


Fig. 4. Solubility of helium in 2-propanol-water solution as a mole fraction of 2-propanol at 298.15 K

Table 3. Selected physical parameters of pure solvents

| Solvent | $\boldsymbol{\sigma} / \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{0}}$ | $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} / \mathbf{k}) / \mathbf{K}$ | $\boldsymbol{\mu} /(\mathbf{D})^{\mathbf{d}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha} / \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{2 4}}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{m o l}^{-\mathbf{1}}\right)^{\mathbf{d}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water | $2.77^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $79.3^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1.84 | 1.45 |
| Methanol | $3.385^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $376^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.70 | 3.29 |
| Ethanol | $4.435^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $429^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.69 | 5.41 |
| 1-Propanol | $4.935^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $508^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.68 | 6.74 |
| 2-Propanol | $4.895^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $460.7^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.66 | 7.61 |
| 1,2-Ethanediol | $4.621^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $658^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 2.28 | 5.70 |
| Acetone | $4.85^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $467^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 2.88 | 6.33 |
| Hexane | $5.92^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $517^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.00 | 13.1 |
| Dodecane | $7.85^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $715^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.00 | 14.45 |

${ }^{\text {ap }}$ Pierotti (ref. 17); ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Ben-Amotz and Wills (ref. 21); ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Wilhelm and Battino (ref. 22); ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Lide (ref. 23).

Table 4. Selected physical parameters of solute gases

| $\mathbf{G a s}$ | $\sigma / \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{0}}$ | $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} / \mathbf{k}) / \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{2 4}^{\mathbf{2 4}}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{m o l}^{-\mathbf{1}}\right)^{\mathbf{d}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| He | $2.63^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $6.03^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.204 |
| Ar | $3.567^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $11.70^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 1.63 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $3.722^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $85.23^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 1.74 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $3.541^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $88.00^{\mathrm{g}}$ | 1.57 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $3.822^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $137.00^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 2.70 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4.066^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $230.00^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 3.70 |

[^1]Tables 5-11 represented the calculated and experimental solubilities of nitrogen and oxygen in methanol-water, ethanol-water, 1-propanol-water, 2-propanol-water (at 298.15 K ) and 1,2-ethanediol-water (at 298.15 K ); methane in methanol-water, ethanolwater (at 298.15 K ); argon in acetone-water (at 298.15 K ) and ethylene in dodecanehexane (at 293.15 K ) solutions. The solubilities are expressed in logarithm of Henry's law constant that were calculated by means of equation (3). It is found that the theory could show qualitative agreement with experimental results. However, there are some discrepancies between calculated and experimental values.

This theory based on Pieritti's approach of scaled particle theory, which is a kind of one parameter first order perturbation theory in which the Percus-Yevick compressibility equation of state for rigid hard sphere mixture is used as a reference and theory assumes pair-wise additively for system energy. This hypothesis is strictly valid for the hard sphere mixtures. However, for real solutions, interactions higher than three-body system must be considered. Therefore, use of hypothesis does not take into account the introduction of gas molecules into the mixed solvent would have some influence on the intermolecular interactions between solvent molecules.

This kind of statistical mechanical theory cannot give the entropy term associated with attractive potential in this case. This can be seen from the fact that the radial distribution functions considered are those rigid hard sphere mixtures. As a result, the contribution from the liquid structure is taking into account only by intermolecular repulsion forces. Although this was found to apply to simple liquids, both alcohol and water are polar associated liquids. Therefore, they may form highly non-ideal solutions, when they are brought together. Moreover, when gases are dissolved in them, the thermodynamic behaviors may become complex. This can be seen from solubility curves. It is natural to consider that the abnormality originates mainly from the hydrogen bonds between like or unlike molecules in the solvent, since in the mixture of alcohol and water, the hydrogen bond probably plays an important role in the thermodynamic behavior ${ }^{35}$. The entropy associated with hydrogen bonds that are very important in alcohol-water mixtures as well as other attractive forces could not be enumerated at all. Besides neither Pieritti's approach of scaled particle theory nor any other statistical mechanical theory can take into account even the internal energy attributed to hydrogen bond since they have not yet been analytically formulated.
Table 5. Solubilities in terms of logarithm of Henry's law constant ( $\ln K_{H} / \mathbf{a t m}$ ) of nitrogen gas dissolved in methanol (a) + water (b) and ethanol (a) + water (b) at 293.15 K and 1 atmosphere pressure

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Methanol } \\ \text { mole } \\ \text { fraction }\left(x_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expt. } \ln K_{H}^{i} \\ / \operatorname{atm} \end{gathered}$ | Methanol mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | Density at 293.15K | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} / \\ \operatorname{atm} \end{gathered}$ | Ethanol mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expt. } \\ \ln _{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{i}} / \\ \operatorname{atm} \end{gathered}$ | Methanol mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ \text { at } \\ 293.15 \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} /}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 11.2185 | 0.0000 | 0.9982 | 11.2882 | 0.0000 | 11.2180 | 0.0000 | 0.9982 | 11.2882 |
| 0.0274 | 11.1390 | 0.0057 | 0.9965 | 11.2754 | 0.0071 | 11.1280 | 0.0202 | 0.9894 | 11.2689 |
| 0.0514 | 11.0680 | 0.0287 | 0.9896 | 11.2229 | 0.0199 | 11.0680 | 0.0416 | 0.9819 | 11.2583 |
| 0.0670 | 11.0460 | 0.0588 | 0.9815 | 11.1587 | 0.0558 | 10.9450 | 0.0890 | 0.9686 | 11.2360 |
| 0.0967 | 11.0974 | 0.0903 | 0.9740 | 11.0972 | 0.0815 | 10.8930 | 0.1435 | 0.9538 | 1.1487 |
| 0.1633 | 10.9330 | 0.1232 | 0.9680 | 11.0520 | 0.1015 | 10.8450 | 0.2068 | 0.9352 | 10.9476 |
| 0.2084 | 10.8530 | 0.1578 | 0.9592 | 10.9596 | 0.1558 | 10.6590 | 0.2811 | 0.9138 | 10.6390 |
| 0.2931 | 10.5660 | 0.1942 | 0.9515 | 10.8834 | 0.2757 | 10.0690 | 0.3697 | 0.8911 | 10.2389 |
| 0.4050 | 10.2715 | 0.2716 | 0.9343 | 10.6650 | 0.3206 | 9.8570 | 0.4771 | 0.8677 | 9.7544 |
| 0.5038 | 9.8521 | 0.3599 | 0.9152 | 10.3844 | 0.4628 | 9.2960 | 0.6100 | 0.8433 | 9.1844 |
| 0.6088 | 9.4803 | 0.4575 | 0.9846 | 10.0545 | 0.5952 | 8.8430 | 0.7787 | 0.8180 | 8.5168 |
| 0.6784 | 9.2390 | 0.5675 | 0.8715 | 9.6453 | 0.7130 | 8.5460 | 1.0000 | 0.7893 | 7.7262 |
| 0.7630 | 8.9450 | 0.6922 | 0.8469 | 9.1780 | 0.8746 | 8.1940 |  |  |  |
| 0.8973 | 8.5903 | 0.8350 | 0.8202 | 8.6468 | 0.9140 | 8.1077 |  |  |  |
| 1.0000 | 8.3670 | 0.9144 | 0.8062 | 8.3615 | 1.0000 | 7.9120 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.0000 | 0.7917 | 8.0634 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Table 6. Solubilities in terms of logarithm of Henry's law constant ( $\ln K_{H} / \mathbf{a t m}$ ) of nitrogen gas dissolved in 1-propanol(a) + water(b) and 2-propanol(a) + water(b) at 293.15 K and 1 atmosphere pressure

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1-Propanol } \\ \text { mole } \\ \text { fraction }\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Expt. } \ln K_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\mathbf{i}} / \mathrm{atm}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-Propanol } \\ & \text { mole fraction } \\ & \left(x_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Density at 293.15K | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} / \\ \operatorname{atm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2-Propanol } \\ \text { mole } \\ \text { fraction }\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 2-Propanol mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | Density at 293.15 K | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} / \\ \text { atm } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 11.2185 | 0.0000 | 0.9968 | 11.3590 | 0.0000 | 11.2185 | 0.0000 | 0.9982 | 11.2880 |
| 0.0247 | 10.9903 | 0.0387 | 0.9809 | 11.4410 | 0.0709 | 11.9059 | 0.0502 | 0.9765 | 11.3450 |
| 0.0349 | 11.1184 | 0.0915 | 0.9588 | 11.3930 | 0.1485 | 10.5214 | 0.1139 | 0.9520 | 11.2420 |
| 0.0758 | 10.9022 | 0.1979 | 0.9208 | 11.0150 | 0.3288 | 9.4727 | 0.2306 | 0.9069 | 10.6390 |
| 0.0987 | 10.7009 | 0.3192 | 0.8870 | 10.4010 | 0.4000 | 9.1431 | 0.3102 | 0.8825 | 10.1730 |
| 0.1997 | 10.0212 | 0.5219 | 0.8481 | 9.3890 | 0.5351 | 8.7656 | 0.4116 | 0.8584 | 9.6154 |
| 0.3020 | 9.4649 | 0.6620 | 0.8127 | 8.1020 | 0.6396 | 8.4510 | 0.5453 | 0.8342 | 8.9534 |
| 0.4045 | 9.1474 | 0.7512 | 0.8077 | 7.8990 | 0.7125 | 8.3260 | 0.7296 | 0.8096 | 8.1726 |
| 0.5014 | 8.9065 | 0.8630 | 0.8025 | 7.8550 | 0.8147 | 8.0582 | 1.0000 | 0.7854 | 7.2717 |
| 0.6058 | 8.6106 | 0.9090 | 0.7995 | 7.7450 | 0.9031 | 7.9586 |  |  |  |
| 0.7775 | 8.2636 | 1.0000 | 0.7994 | 7.7210 | 1.0000 | 7.7790 |  |  |  |
| 0.8640 | 8.1047 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0000 | 7.8823 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Solubilities in terms of logarithm of Henry's law constant ( $\ln K_{H} / \mathbf{a t m}$ ) of nitrogen and oxygen gases dissolved in 1, 2-ethanediol(a) + water(b) at 298.15 K and 1 atmosphere pressure

| 1, 2Ethanediol mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | Density at $298.15 K^{j}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expt. } \\ \text { LnK }_{H} / \mathbf{a t m} \\ \left(\mathbf{N}_{2}\right)^{i} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} \\ & \text { /atm }\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 1, 2Ethanediol mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | Density at $298.15 \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expt. } \\ \text { LnK }_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathbf{a t m} \\ \left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)^{\mathbf{i}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} \\ \text { /atm }\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 0.7791 | 11.3450 | 11.6238 | 0.0000 | 0.9971 | 10.6652 | 11.2146 |
| 0.0085 | 1.0009 | 11.3482 | 11.6230 | 0.0354 | 1.0116 | 10.6787 | 11.1864 |
| 0.0325 | 1.0106 | 11.3644 | 11.6140 | 0.0775 | 1.0260 | 10.7056 | 11.1356 |
| 0.1146 | 1.0377 | 11.3858 | 11.5437 | 0.1185 | 1.0388 | 10.7126 | 11.0894 |
| 0.1852 | 1.0540 | 11.3600 | 11.4260 | 0.1795 | 1.0534 | 10.6984 | 10.9826 |
| 0.2444 | 1.0658 | 11.2946 | 11.3207 | 0.3234 | 1.0770 | 10.5750 | 10.6503 |
| 0.3130 | 1.0757 | 11.2067 | 11.1526 | . 42.42 | 1.0867 | 10.4246 | 10.3549 |
| 0.4197 | 1.0864 | 11.0480 | 10.7377 | 0.5404 | 1.0944 | 10.2220 | 9.9933 |
| 0.7333 | 1.1036 | 10.5668 | 9.8450 | 0.7337 | 1.1037 | 9.9505 | 9.4120 |
| 1.0000 | 1.1099 | 10.2262 | 9.0301 | 1.0000 | 1.1099 | 9.5793 | 8.6340 |

${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ Yamamoto and Tokunaga (ref. 29)
Table 8. Solubilities in terms of logarithm of Henry's law constant ( $\operatorname{lnK} K_{H} / \mathbf{a t m}$ ) of Oxygen gas dissolved in methanol(a) + water(b) and ethanol(a) + water(b) at 293.15 K and 1 atmosphere pressure

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Methanol } \\ \text { mole fraction } \\ \left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\operatorname{atm}}{\operatorname{Expt} \ln K_{H}{ }^{i} /}$ | Methanol mole fraction $\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ | Density at 293.15K | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} / \\ \text { atm } \end{gathered}$ | Ethanol mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | $\underset{\operatorname{atm}}{\operatorname{Expt} \ln K_{H}{ }^{i} /}$ | Methanol mole fraction $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ | Density at <br> 293.15K | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Calc. } \\ \ln K_{H} / \\ \text { atm } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 10.5580 | 0.0000 | 0.9982 | 10.8193 | 0.0000 | 10.5558 | 0.0000 | 0.9982 | 10.8197 |
| 0.0188 | 10.4342 | 0.0057 | 0.9965 | 10.8114 | 0.0801 | 10.2183 | 0.0202 | 0.9894 | 10.7996 |
| 0.0492 | 10.2785 | 0.0287 | 0.9896 | 10.7586 | 0.1058 | 10.2034 | 0.0416 | 0.9819 | 10.7874 |
| 0.0907 | 10.1542 | 0.0588 | 0.9815 | 10.6986 | 0.2057 | 9.9427 | 0.0890 | 0.9686 | 10.7617 |
| 0.1103 | 10.1886 | 0.0903 | 0.9740 | 10.6410 | 0.3085 | 9.4254 | 0.1435 | 0.9538 | 10.6772 |
| 0.1564 | 10.2327 | 0.1232 | 0.9680 | 10.5982 | 0.4052 | 8.9960 | 0.2068 | 0.9352 | 10.4893 |
| 0.2066 | 10.1696 | 0.1578 | 0.9592 | 10.5128 | 0.5280 | 8.5790 | 0.2811 | 0.9138 | 10.2030 |
| 0.3064 | 9.8255 | 0.1942 | 0.9515 | 10.4363 | 0.5976 | 8.3570 | 0.3697 | 0.8911 | 9.8323 |
| 0.3976 | 9.5032 | 0.2716 | 0.9343 | 10.2416 | 0.7171 | 8.0620 | 0.4771 | 0.8677 | 9.3830 |
| 0.4895 | 9.2090 | 0.3599 | 0.9152 | 9.9851 | 0.8065 | 7.8440 | 0.5398 | 0.8556 | 8.8523 |
| 0.5927 | 8.8608 | 0.4575 | 9846.0000 | 9.6781 | 0.9382 | 7.5653 | 0.6100 | 0.8434 | 8.5555 |
| 0.7046 | 8.5272 | 0.5675 | 0.8715 | 9.3012 | 1.0000 | 7.4030 | 0.7787 | 0.8180 | 8.2328 |
| 0.8010 | 8.2763 | 0.6922 | 0.8469 | 8.8703 |  |  | 1.0000 | 0.7893 | 7.4960 |
| 0.8923 | 8.0455 | 0.8350 | 0.8202 | 8.3796 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9426 | 7.9338 | 0.9144 | 0.8062 | 8.1156 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0000 | 7.7832 | 1.0000 | 0.7917 | 7.8395 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9. Solubilities in terms of logarithm of Henry's law constant ( $\operatorname{lnK}_{H} / \mathbf{a t m}$ ) of Oxygen gas dissolved in propanol(a) + water(b) and 2-pronanol(a) + water(b) at $\mathbf{2 9 3 . 1 5} \mathrm{K}$ and 1 atmosphere pressure

| 1-Propanol mole fraction $\left(x_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ | $\underset{\operatorname{atm}}{\operatorname{Expt} \ln K_{H}{ }^{i} /}$ | 1-Propanol mole fraction $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ | Density at $293.15 \mathrm{~K}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} / \\ \text { atm } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2-Propanol } \\ \text { mole } \\ \text { fraction }\left(x_{a}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\operatorname{atm}}{\operatorname{Expt} \ln K_{H}{ }^{i} /}$ | 2-Propanol mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | Density <br> 293.15K | Calc. $\operatorname{lnK}_{\mathbf{H}} /$ atm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 10.5580 | 0.0000 | 0.9968 | 10.9750 | 0.0000 | 10.5558 | 0.0000 | 0.9982 | 10.8197 |
| 0.0230 | 10.4282 | 0.0220 | 0.9883 | 11.0280 | 0.0220 | 10.3735 | 0.0502 | 0.9765 | 10.8626 |
| 0.0432 | 10.4252 | 0.0386 | 0.9789 | 11.0340 | 0.0408 | 10.4516 | 0.1139 | 0.9520 | 10.7600 |
| 0.0847 | 10.1961 | 0.1304 | 0.9438 | 10.8590 | 0.0733 | 10.4073 | 0.2306 | 0.9069 | 10.1988 |
| 0.1057 | 10.0389 | 0.1979 | 0.9208 | 10.5920 | 0.0944 | 10.3222 | 0.3102 | 0.8825 | 9.7658 |
| 0.2050 | 9.3760 | 0.3192 | 0.8870 | 10.0030 | 0.1994 | 9.5324 | 0.4116 | 0.8584 | 9.2474 |
| 0.3133 | 8.8622 | 0.5219 | 0.8481 | 9.0440 | 0.2985 | 8.9359 | 0.5453 | 0.8342 | 8.6305 |
| 0.4175 | 8.4990 | 0.7512 | 0.8217 | 8.1520 | 0.3020 | 8.5602 | 0.7296 | 0.8096 | 7.9013 |
| 0.5103 | 8.2322 | 0.8630 | 0.8127 | 7.8120 | 0.5950 | 7.9585 | 1.0000 | 0.7854 | 7.0569 |
| 0.6020 | 8.3027 | 0.9673 | 0.8026 | 7.4460 | 0.7836 | 7.5283 |  |  |  |
| 0.6953 | 7.8240 | 1.0000 | 0.7994 | 7.3375 | 1.0000 | 7.1467 |  |  |  |
| 0.7987 | 7.6401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.9085 | 7.4424 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0000 | 7.2793 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Solubilities in terms of logarithm of Henry's law constant ( $\ln K_{H} / \mathbf{a t m}$ ) of methane gas dissolved in methanol(a) + water(b) and ethanol(a) + water(b) at $\mathbf{2 9 3 . 1 5} \mathrm{K}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ atmosphere pressure

| Methanol $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ action $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expt. } \ln K_{H}^{i} / \\ \operatorname{atm} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Methanol mole fraction $\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ | Density at $293.15 \mathrm{~K}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} / \\ \text { atm } \end{gathered}$ | Ethanol mole fraction ( $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}$ ) | $\underset{\operatorname{atm}}{\operatorname{Expt} \ln K_{H}{ }^{i} /}$ | Methanol mole fraction $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ | Density at 293.15K | Calc. <br> $\mathbf{l n K}_{\mathbf{H}} /$ <br> atm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 10.5400 | 0.0000 | 0.9982 | 10.1652 | 0.0000 | 10.5400 | 0.0000 | 0.9982 | 10.1652 |
| 0.0650 | 10.2035 | 0.0287 | 0.9896 | 10.0862 | 0.0260 | 10.3287 | 0.0202 | 0.9894 | 10.1359 |
| 0.1386 | 10.0257 | 0.0588 | 0.9815 | 9.9904 | 0.0609 | 10.1581 | 0.0416 | 0.9819 | 10.1137 |
| 0.2016 | 9.8934 | 0.0903 | 0.9740 | 9.9132 | 0.1170 | 9.9650 | 0.0890 | 0.9686 | 10.0664 |
| 0.2983 | 9.5178 | 0.1232 | 0.9680 | 9.8364 | 0.2432 | 0.2779 | 0.1435 | 0.9538 | 9.9548 |
| 0.4871 | 8.7933 | 0.1942 | 0.9515 | 9.6168 | 0.3112 | 8.9464 | 0.2068 | 0.9352 | 9.7292 |
| 0.6011 | 8.3428 | 0.2716 | 0.9343 | 9.3621 | 0.5285 | 7.9444 | 0.2811 | 0.9138 | 9.3970 |
| 0.6762 | 8.0520 | 0.3599 | 0.9152 | 9.0419 | 0.7601 | 7.2225 | 0.3697 | 0.8911 | 8.9728 |
| 1.0000 | 7.0290 | 0.4575 | 0.9846 | 8.6686 | 1.0000 | 6.6372 | 0.4771 | 0.8677 | 8.4630 |
|  |  | 0.5675 | 0.8715 | 8.2228 |  |  | 0.5398 | 0.8556 | 8.1784 |
|  |  | 0.6922 | 0.8469 | 7.7218 |  |  | 61001.0000 | 0.8434 | 7.8662 |
|  |  | 0.8350 | 0.8202 | 7.1609 |  |  | 0.6891 | 0.8310 | 7.7353 |
|  |  | 0.9144 | 0.8062 | 6.8590 |  |  | 0.7787 | 0.8180 | 7.1733 |
|  |  | 1.0000 | 0.7917 | 6.5526 |  |  | 1.0000 | 0.7893 | 6.3590 |

[^3]Table 11. Solubilities in terms of logarithm Henry's law constant ( $\ln K_{H} / \mathbf{a t m}$ ) of argon gas dissolved in acetone(a) + water(b) at 298.15 K and ethylene in dodecane(a) + hexane(b) at 293.15 K and 1 atmosphere pressure.

| Acetone mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | Density at $298.15 K^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expt. } \operatorname{LnK}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} / \\ \operatorname{atm} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { atm }}{\text { Calc. } \ln K_{H} /}$ | Dodecane. Mole fraction ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expt. } \ln K_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \\ / \mathrm{atm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dodecane } \\ \text { mole } \\ \text { fraction }\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Density at $298.15 \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{m}}$ | Calc. $\operatorname{lnK} \mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ atm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 0.9976 | 10.6534 | 10.5509 | 0.0000 | 3.8776 | 0.0000 | 0.6552 | 3.7220 |
| 0.0045 | 0.9951 | 10.6133 | 10.5436 | 0.1550 | 3.8776 | 0.0600 | 0.6650 | 3.7587 |
| 0.0253 | 0.9865 | 10.4838 | 10.5326 | 0.2610 | 3.8825 | 0.1267 | 0.6748 | 3.7933 |
| 0.0367 | 0.9821 | 10.4726 | 10.5208 | 0.2960 | 3.8873 | 0.1978 | 0.6834 | 3.8092 |
| 0.0601 | 0.9735 | 10.3747 | 10.4850 | 0.3670 | 3.8825 | 0.2772 | 0.6812 | 3.8078 |
| 0.0882 | 0.9640 | 10.2682 | 10.4252 | 0.4420 | 3.8873 | 0.3652 | 0.7013 | 3.8365 |
| 0.1448 | 0.9456 | 9.9888 | 10.2398 | 0.7220 | 3.8776 | 0.4632 | 0.7089 | 3.8184 |
| 0.1908 | 0.9307 | 9.7090 | 10.0303 | 0.8170 | 3.8444 | 0.5731 | 0.7181 | 3.8189 |
| 0.2643 | 0.9092 | 9.3230 | 9.7152 | 1.0000 | 3.8351 | 0.8381 | 0.7367 | 3.7855 |
| 0.3982 | 0.8959 | 8.7035 | 9.0332 |  |  | 1.0000 | 0.7450 | 3.7493 |
| 0.5157 | 0.8518 | 8.4053 | 8.4667 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.6266 | 0.8336 | 7.9065 | 7.9958 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.7037 | 0.8229 | 7.6998 | 7.6998 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.8497 | 0.8047 | 7.3686 | 7.1894 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0000 | 0.7854 | 7.0352 | 6.6922 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]In addition, for carrying out the computations, various kinds of other physical parameters are needed. Among these, the rigid hard sphere diameter of the water and alcohols are most important. However, the value of hard sphere diameter of solvent and solute available in the literature may be calculated from the surface tension, viscosity, second viral coefficient, enthalpy of vaporization, molecular dynamic simulation and gas solubility etc. The results obtained from different sources are not unique, e.g., $\sigma_{m e t h a n o l}$ 3.835 (ref. 21), $3.71 \& 3.67$ (ref.17), 4.082 (ref. 35) 3.69 (ref. 22) for $\sigma_{\text {ethanol }} 4.435$ (ref. 21) $4.36 \& 4.31$ (ref. 17), 4.686 (ref. 35), 4.34 (ref. 22) and for water 2.77 (ref. 17) 2.76, 2.75 (ref.16), 2.86 (ref. 25) 2.89 (ref. 34) $2.56 \& 2.922$ (ref. 36), all values are in Angstroms unit. So, exact choice of this parameter is too much difficult. The quantitative disagreement between experimental and theoretical solubilities may be considered to be partly due to this problem.

However, the present statistical mechanical theory is able to explain qualitatively the experimental solubilities of single non-polar gas in mixed solvents. From the above discussion, it is interesting to conclude the general behavior of gas solubility.
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