September 2009



Organic CHEMISTRY

Trade Science Inc.

An Indian Journal — FUII Paper

Quantitative structure-activity relationship of some substituted phenothiazine as anti-inflammatory agents

Ashok S.Narute*, Anapartima G.Nikalje Y. B. Chvan college of Pharmacy, Dr. Rafique Zakaria Campus, Aurangabad, (MH), (INDIA) E-mail: ashoknarute@rediffmail.com Received: 8th June, 2009; Accepted: 18th June, 2009

ABSTRACT

The invention of new anti-inflammatory agents peaks the first phase of an existing and fast paced effort to exploit a novel, target for nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). A series of molecules has been reported as anti-inflammatory agents belonging to the class of phenothiazine nucleus. Various physicochemical and steric parameters were calculated. Quantitative structure-activity relationship models were generated employing sequential multiple regression method using VALSTAT. Statistically significant models with R-values 0.949 and 0.946 were obtained. Models were validated using leave one out and bootstrapping methods. The result shows that Boiling point, Stretch energy, Connolly molecular area and Partition coefficient are contributing to biological activity. Among these, Connolly molecular area and partition coefficient plays an important role as positive contribution is seen in the models. The obtained and validated models bring important structural insight to aid the design of novel anti-inflammatory activity prior to their synthesis. © 2009 Trade Science Inc. - INDIA

INTRODUCTION

The anti-inflammatory agents represent an extremely interesting category of drugs as evident from the active research going on in numerous laboratories all over the world. There is continuous demand for new therapeutic agents for their therapeutic use, with high margin of safety and freedom for normally associated gastrointestinal side effects, notably dyspepsia, complications of peptic ulcers and renal toxicity of known anti-inflammatory drugs^[1,2] it was thought to study QSAR analysis of some anti-inflammatory cyclic Phenothiazine derivatives reported by Saxena et al^[3]. The above re-

KEYWORDS

Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship; Phenothiazine; Anti-inflammatory Agents.

ported series of 20 compounds was subjected to quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR) analyses. As a part of our rational drug discovery programme on novel NSAIDs, the theoretical study is aimed for determining the quantitative relationship between various substitutions on phenothiazines and their anti-inflammatory activity.[4-6]

EXPERIMENTAL

The activity data have been given as IC50 values, where IC50 refers to the experimentally determined molar concentration of the phenothiazine required to

Full Paper

inhibit carrageenan induced rat's paw oedema by 50%. The biological activity values [IC50 (μ M)] reported in the literature were converted to molar units, and further to -log scale, and subsequently used as the response variable for QSAR analysis. Molecular modeling and quantum mechanical calculations were performed using CS Chem office software Version 6.0 (Cambridge Software)^[7] running on P-IV processor.

The series of 20 compounds was generated by combination of four subsets of compounds of different nucleus (Figure 1) subset 1 Schiff's bases (compound (1) to (5)), subset 2-thiazolidinones (compound (6) to (10)), subset 3 ?²-triazolines (compound (11) to (15)) and subset 4-formazons (compound (16) to (20)) of 2-chlorophenothiazines subjected to QSAR analysis.





Compd. No.

2

All the compounds (1-20) (TABLE 1) were sketched using CS Chem Draw Ultra module of CS Chem office. The sketched structures were imported to chem. 3D module in order to create its 3D model. Energy calculations were done using the Allinger's MM2 force field. Every structure was subjected to energy minimization process with root mean square gradient (RMS) 0.100 Kcal/molAº. After the minimization process is over molecular dynamics uses Newtonian mechanics to stimulate motion of atoms; adding or subtracting kinetic energy, as the models temperature increases or decreases. Each and every compound reached to its most stable conformer and further, geometry optimization was done using semi-empirical AM1 (Austin model) Hamiltonian method, namely MOPAC (Version 6.0) module with the RMS value 0.001 Kcal / mol Aº.

The optimized conformers were used for calculating physicochemical parameters by standard procedures given in QSAR plus modulus of CS Chem 3D. The

An Indian Journal

Organic CHEMIS

| 3 | 2,6-Cl ₂ | 0.18 | 6.73 |
|----|---------------------|------|------|
| 4 | 2-CH ₃ | 0.09 | 7.01 |
| 5 | $4-CH_3$ | 0.08 | 7.05 |
| 6 | 2-C1 | 0.28 | 6.53 |
| 7 | 4-F | 0.38 | 6.41 |
| 8 | $2,6-Cl_2$ | 0.34 | 6.46 |
| 9 | 2-CH ₃ | 0.27 | 6.56 |
| 10 | $4-CH_3$ | 0.32 | 6.49 |
| 11 | 2-C1 | 0.19 | 6.70 |
| 12 | 4-F | 0.25 | 6.59 |
| 13 | $2,6-Cl_2$ | 0.22 | 6.64 |
| 14 | $2-CH_3$ | 0.19 | 6.71 |
| 15 | $4-CH_3$ | 0.16 | 6.77 |
| 16 | 2-C1 | 0.14 | 6.84 |
| 17 | 4-F | 0.17 | 6.75 |
| 18 | $2,6-Cl_2$ | 0.15 | 6.81 |
| 19 | $2-CH_3$ | 0.12 | 6.91 |
| 20 | 4-CH ₃ | 0.13 | 6.85 |
| | | | |

 TABLE 1 : Substituents and anti-inflammatory data for phenothiazines

R

2-C1

4-F

IC 50

0.13

0.14

pIC₅₀

6.87

6.84

descriptors (TABLE 2) were calculated for QSAR^[8,9] study (value of only those descriptors occurring in diffe

TABLE 3 : Descriptors contributing to the anti-inflammatory activity of phenothiazines

| ferent | equations are given in TABLE 3. | ourring in an | Compo | d. N | o. BP | СМА | SE | PC |
|---------|---|---------------|------------------|----------|---|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| | | | 1 | | 817.612 | 358.791 | 11.898 | 4.700 |
| TA | ABLE 2 : Descriptors calculated for Q | SAR study | 2 | , | 796.242 | 349.819 | 12.169 | 4.730 |
| Sr. No. | Descriptor | Туре | 3 | | 836.305 | 371.536 | 12.170 | 4.853 |
| 1 | Heat of Formation (HF) | Thermodynamic | 1 | | 810 515 | 353 8/3 | 7 8121 | 1 674 |
| 2 | Boiling Point (BP) | Thermodynamic | 4 | • | 810.515 | 353.045 | 7.0424 | 4.074 |
| 3 | Critical Pressure (CP) | Thermodynamic | 5 | | 810.515 | 358.528 | 8.0754 | 4.974 |
| 4 | Critical Valuma (CV) | Thermodynamic | 6 | | 939.901 | 413.392 | 14.185 | 6.442 |
| 3 7 | Henry's Law Constant (HLC) | Thermodynamic | 7 | | 918.533 | 395.947 | 14.426 | 5.872 |
| 8 | Ideal Gas Thermal Canacity (IGTC) | Thermodynamic | 8 | | 058 505 | /10 130 | 1/ 788 | 7 155 |
| 0 | Log P | Thermodynamic | 8 | • | 938.393 | 419.139 | 14.700 | 7.155 |
| 10 | Melting Point (MP) | Thermodynamic | 9 | | 932.805 | 399.799 | 10.346 | 6.178 |
| 10 | Molar Refractivity (MR) | Thermodynamic | 10 |) | 932.805 | 404.014 | 9.422 | 6.228 |
| 12 | Standard Gibbs Free Energy (SGFE) | Thermodynamic | 11 | 1 | 869.679 | 384.189 | 12.117 | 5.906 |
| 13 | Connolly Accessible Area (CAA) | Steric | 12 | 2 | 848 311 | 378 673 | 17 167 | 5 336 |
| 14 | Connolly Molecular Area (CMA) | Steric | 12 | - - | 000 272 | 202 112 | 15 777 | 6 (10 |
| 15 | Connolly Solvent-Excluded Volume (CSEV) | Steric | 13 | 5 | 888.373 | 393.112 | 15./// | 0.019 |
| 16 | Ovality (OVA) | Steric | 14 | 4 | 862.583 | 387.447 | 15.732 | 5.642 |
| 17 | Principal Moment of Inertia – X (PMI–X) | Steric | 15 | 5 | 862.583 | 393.144 | 16.675 | 5.692 |
| 18 | Principal Moment of Inertia – Y (PMI–Y) | Steric | 16 | 5 | 940.207 | 448.017 | 12.518 | 8.437 |
| 19 | Principal Moment of Inertia – Z (PMI–Z) | Steric | 17 | 7 | 019 920 | 440.027 | 17 407 | 7 967 |
| 20 | Dipole Moment (D) | Electronic | 17 | / | 918.839 | 440.957 | 17.497 | /.00/ |
| 21 | Dipole Moment –X Axis (DX) | Electronic | 18 | 8 | 958.902 | 458.297 | 15.398 | 9.150 |
| 22 | Dipole Moment –Y Axis (DY) | Electronic | 19 | 9 | 933.112 | 457.467 | 12.884 | 8.223 |
| 23 | Dipole Moment –Y Axis (DZ) | Electronic | 20 |) | 933 112 | 437 803 | 12 701 | 8 2 2 3 |
| 24 | Electronic Energy (EE) | Electronic | | <i>.</i> | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 137.005 | 12.701 | |
| 25 | HOMO Energy (HOMO) | Electronic | n - | N | umber of same | le in regre | ssion | |
| 26 | LUMO Energy (LUMO) | Electronic | п - D | | | finite and | 551011 | |
| 27 | Repulsion Energy (RE) | Thermodynamia | K - | U | orrelation coef | ncient | | |
| 20 | Charge Charge Energy (CCE) | Thermodynamic | \mathbf{R}^2 - | Sc | quared correlati | ion coeffici | ient (coeff | ficient o |
| 30 | Charge–Dipole Energy (CDE) | Thermodynamic | | de | etermination) | | | |
| 31 | Dipole–Dipole Energy (DDE) | Thermodynamic | SD - | St | andard deviati | on | | |
| 32 | Non–1, 4 VDW Energy (E _v) | Thermodynamic | t test | E | or statistical si | anificanc | a and cor | rolation |
| 33 | Stretch Energy (SE) | Thermodynamic | t-test - | 1.0 | | ignificane | | relation |
| 34 | Stretch-Bend Energy (SBE) | Thermodynamic | | m | atrix to show n | nutual corr | elation an | nong th |
| 35 | Torsion Energy (E _t) | Thermodynamic | | pa | arameters. | | | |
| 36 | Total Energy (E) | Thermodynamic | F-test - | R | atio between t | he describ | ed parts a | nd non |
| 37 | Van der Waals e 1,4 Energy (VDWE) | Thermodynamic | 1 0000 | d | accribed port o | f the V ver | ionco | |
| 38 | VDW 1,4 Energy (VDWE) | Thermodynamic | 2 | uc | escribed part o | | | |
| 39 | Partition coefficient | Thermodynamic | r_{BS}^2 - | B | ootstrapped st efficient | rapped sq | uared cor | relation |
| Al | l the calculated descriptors were | considered as | q ² - | So | quared cross-c | orrelation | coefficier | nt |

independent variables and biological activity as dependent variable. The correlation was obtained by stepwise multiple regression analysis employing VALSTAT^[10] software.

To generate QSAR equations, stepwise multiple regression analysis^[11] method was used. The QSAR generated models were cross-validated using the leave one out and bootstrapping method. The best equation was judged by considering the following statistical measures.

| | 14 | 862.583 | 387.447 | 15.732 | 5.642 |
|--------------------|----|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| | 15 | 862.583 | 393.144 | 16.675 | 5.692 |
| | 16 | 940.207 | 448.017 | 12.518 | 8.437 |
| | 17 | 918.839 | 440.937 | 17.497 | 7.867 |
| | 18 | 958.902 | 458.297 | 15.398 | 9.150 |
| | 19 | 933.112 | 457.467 | 12.884 | 8.223 |
| | 20 | 933.112 | 437.803 | 12.701 | 8.223 |
| n | - | Number of samp | ole in regres | ssion | |
| R | - | Correlation coef | ficient | | |
| \mathbb{R}^2 | - | Squared correlat | ion coefficie | ent (coeffi | icient of |
| | | determination) | | | |
| SD | - | Standard deviati | on | | |
| t-test | - | For statistical st | ignificance | and corr | elation |
| | | matrix to show n | nutual corre | lation am | ong the |
| | | parameters. | | | |
| F-test | - | Ratio between t | he describe | ed parts a | nd non- |
| | | described part o | f the Y vari | ance | |
| r_{BS}^2 | - | Bootstrapped st | rapped squ | ared cori | relation |
| | | coefficient | | | |
| q^2 | - | Squared cross-c | orrelation c | oefficien | t |
| S_{DEP} | - | Standard deviat | ion of error | of predic | tion |
| S _{PRESS} | - | Standard deviat | ion of sum | of square | e of dif- |
| | | ference betwee | n predicte | d and ob | oserved |
| | | values | | | |
| | | | | | |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the calculated descriptors and $\log IC_{50}$ value of the 20 compounds were subjected to stepwise multiple regression analysis and several models were generated.

Organic CHEMISTRY

An Indian Journal

Full Paper

Among these models obtained, model-I and model-II were considered based on the statistical criterion: correlation coefficient > 0.8 and chance correlation < 0.001.

MODEL-I

 $\begin{aligned} pIC_{_{50}} &= 10.539 \ (\pm 0.733) - 0.005(\pm 0.001)BP - \\ 0.025(\pm 0.011)SE + 0.185(\pm 0.041) \ PC \\ n &= 20, \ R &= \ 0.946, \ R^2 &= \ 0.894, \ F &= 45.456, \\ variance &= 0.004, \ SD &= 0.063. \end{aligned}$

MODEL-II

 $pIC_{50} = 9.035(\pm 0.524) - 0.006(\pm 0.001)BP + 0.009$ $(\pm 0.001)CMA - 0.030(\pm 0.0115) SE$ n=20, R= 0.949, R² = 0.901, F=48.538,variance=0.003, SD = 0.061.

Model -I shows good correlation (R=0.946) between descriptors (BP, SE, PC) and biological activity. The thermodynamic descriptor boiling point (BP) and stretch energy (SE) shows negative contribution while partition coefficient (PC) shows positive contribution with anti-inflammatory activity. BP is the temperature at which the kinetic energy of molecule is sufficient to overcome attractive forces. Negative contribution of BP indicates decrease in intermolecular attractive forces and is conducive for activity. Stretch energy, a thermodynamic parameter, deals with the stretching or the conformational flexibility of the molecule. The descriptor in first model bears a negative coefficient, indicating, substituents that decrease the flexibility of phenothiazines and will enhance the anti-inflammatory activity. Partition coefficient calculated using atom based approach and represents the hydrophobicity of the molecules^[12]. Partition coefficient is positively correlated with the biological activity. This property assumes significance in the present case because of the fact that the molecules under study contain lipophilic groups. Thus, model-I suggests that partition coefficient is of significance having high value of t-test indicating statistical significance of calculated regression coefficient.

To confirm these results, the value of pIC_{50} was estimated using leave one-out and correlated with observed value pIC_{50} . The value of bootstrapping r², chance and q² in randomized biological activity indicates the statistical significance of the model as given below.

 $r_{BS}^2 = 0.901$, chance = <0.001, $q^2 = 0.836$, S_{PRESS}

An Indian Journal

Organic CHEMISTRY

 $= 0.078, S_{\text{DFP}} = 0.070.$

The predicted activity data of model-I is shown in TABLE 4. A plot of observed activity versus predicted activity of the compounds is shown in Figure 2.

| TABL | E 4 : Predic | ted activity data fo | r model-I |
|--------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Compd. | Log | Calculated | Predicted |
| No. | BA | Activity | Activity |
| 1 | 6.87 | 6.831 | 6.825 |
| 2 | 6.84 | 6.875 | 6.884 |
| 3 | 6.73 | 6.822 | 6.832 |
| 4 | 7.01 | 6.953 | 6.931 |
| 5 | 7.05 | 6.989 | 6.965 |
| 6 | 6.53 | 6.495 | 6.489 |
| 7 | 6.41 | 6.463 | 6.475 |
| 8 | 6.46 | 6.412 | 6.398 |
| 9 | 6.56 | 6.532 | 6.523 |
| 10 | 6.49 | 6.598 | 6.639 |
| 11 | 6.72 | 6.730 | 6.732 |
| 12 | 6.59 | 6.661 | 6.683 |
| 13 | 6.64 | 6.584 | 6.576 |
| 14 | 6.71 | 6.695 | 6.692 |
| 15 | 6.77 | 6.718 | 6.707 |
| 16 | 6.84 | 6.856 | 6.860 |
| 17 | 6.75 | 6.774 | 6.782 |
| 18 | 6.81 | 6.745 | 6.728 |
| 19 | 6.91 | 6.974 | 7.009 |
| 20 | 6.85 | 6.802 | 6.794 |





Model-II shows good correlation (r=0.949) between descriptors (BP, CMA, SE) and biological activity. BP, SE negatively contributed to the biological activity. BP and SE are thermodynamic parameters. It plays an important role in governing molecular reactivity and properties. The model suggests that BP is of significance indicating that lowering the BP will favor the activity. Stretch energy, a thermodynamic parameter, deals with the stretching or the conformational flexibility of the molecule. The descriptor in the model bears a negative coefficient, indicating, substituents that decrease the flexibility of phenothiazines and will enhance the anti-inflammatory activity. Connolly's solvent molecular area (CMA), a steric descriptor, represents the surface area that is in contact with the solvent. The descriptor bears positive coefficient in the model, suggesting decrease in the bulkiness of the substituents and molecular solvent molecular surface area is not conducive to the activity.

To confirm these results, the value of pIC_{50} was estimated using leave one-out and correlated with observed value pIC_{50} . The value of bootstrapping r², chance and q² in randomized biological activity indicates the statistical significance of the model as follows.

 $r_{BS}^{2} = 0.911$, chance = <0.001, $q^{2} = 0.835$, $S_{PRESS} = 0.079$, $S_{DEP} = 0.070$.

The predicted activity data of model-II is shown in TABLE 5. A plot of observed activity versus predicted activity of the compounds is shown in Figure 3.

| Compd. | Log | Calculated | Predicted |
|--------|------|------------|-----------|
| No. | BA | Activity | Activity |
| 1 | 6.87 | 6.824 | 6.817 |
| 2 | 6.84 | 6.935 | 6.961 |
| 3 | 6.73 | 6.747 | 6.750 |
| 4 | 7.01 | 6.959 | 6.939 |
| 5 | 7.05 | 7.009 | 6.992 |
| 6 | 6.53 | 6.449 | 6.429 |
| 7 | 6.41 | 6.449 | 6.459 |
| 8 | 6.46 | 6.468 | 6.470 |
| 9 | 6.56 | 6.534 | 6.525 |
| 10 | 6.49 | 6.567 | 6.599 |
| 11 | 6.70 | 6.770 | 6.774 |
| 12 | 6.59 | 6.648 | 6.667 |
| 13 | 6.64 | 6.712 | 6.720 |
| 14 | 6.71 | 6.666 | 6.660 |
| 15 | 6.77 | 6.652 | 6.626 |
| 16 | 6.84 | 6.860 | 6.866 |
| 17 | 6.75 | 6.740 | 6.738 |
| 18 | 6.81 | 6.822 | 6.827 |
| 19 | 6.91 | 6.848 | 6.834 |
| 20 | 6.85 | 6.853 | 6.853 |

TABLE 5 : Predicted activity data for model-II



Figure 3 : Graph between observed activity and predicted activity of model-II.

Comparison of model-I and model-II reveals that model-II shows better correlation (r=0.949) between descriptors and biological activity than model-I (r=0.946). The bootstrapping r^2 (r^2_{BS} = 0.911) reflects the significance of model-II when compared to model-I. But the correlation matrix for model-I and model-II in TABLE 6 and TABLE 7, respectively, reveals that descriptors in the model-II are not significantly intercorelated indicating that their contribution is independent to the biological activity. By evaluating both the models, it was concluded that thermodynamic (BP, SE, CMA and PC) descriptors play an important role for the activity. From the above analysis, it was inferred that model-II could be used as theoretical prediction of biological activity for design of new molecules.

| BP | SE | РС |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1.000 | - | - |
| 0.300 | 1.000 | - |
| 0.842 | 0.345 | 1.000 |
| | | |
| Correlation ma | atrix of mode | I-II |
| Correlation ma BP | atrix of mode CMA | I-II SE |
| Correlation ma BP 1.000 | atrix of mode CMA - | I-II SE - |
| Correlation ma BP 1.000 0.887 | atrix of mode CMA - 1.000 | I-II SE - - |
| | BP 1.000 0.300 0.842 | BP SE 1.000 - 0.300 1.000 0.842 0.345 |

CONCLUSION

QSAR analysis was performed on a series of antiinflammatory phenothiazines using molecular modeling program Chemoffice 2001. QSAR models were proposed for anti-inflammatory activity of the phenothiazines using ChemSAR descriptors employing sequential multiple regression analysis method. The selected models



Full Paper

were checked for multicolinearity. The predictive power of each model was estimated with bootstrapping r^2 method and leaves one-out cross validation method. It was observed from the selected models that biological activity of phenothiazine derivatives is governed by thermodynamic and steric properties of the molecules. The models also provide valuable insight into the mechanism of action of these compounds. The result of the study suggests involvement of partition coefficient in the mechanism of anti-inflammatory action of phenothiazine and bulky substituents are favorable for activity. The study will be helpful in the design of better anti-inflammatory analogs of phenothiazine derivatives for antiinflammatory activity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

One of the authors, Ashok Narute, likes to thank All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE) for providing fellowship. The authors wish to thank specially Principal, Yash Institute of Pharmacy, Aurangabad for providing the necessary facilities for undertaking this research work.

ABBREVIATIONS

NSAIDs - Non-Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Agents; QSAR - Quantitative Structural-Activity Relationship.

Organic CHEMISTRY

An Indian Journal

REFERENCES

- [1] S.Ajmani, S.Chaturvedi; Eur.J.Med.Chem., **33**, 47 (**1988**).
- [2] S.Ajmani, S.Chaturvedi; Indian J.Pharm.Chem., 63B, 114 (2001).
- [3] K.Saxena, V.Srivastava, A.Kumar; Indian Drugs, 35(4), 216 (1998).
- [4] A.Hopfinger, B.Burke; 'Concept and Application of Molecular Similarity', 2nd Ed., John Wiley; New York, (1990).
- [5] P.Gund, N.Jensen; 'Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship of Drugs', 1st Ed., Academic Press; New York, (1983).
- [6] M.Verma, V.Gujrati, A.Saxena, K.Sankar; J.Indian Chem.Soc., 58(11), 1119 (1981).
- [7] C.S.Chem.Office; Version 6.0, Cambridge Soft Corporation, Software Publishers Association, 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 700, Washington D.C. 20036 (202), 452-1600 USA.
- [8] C.Hansch, A.Leo, D.Hoekman; 'Exploring QSAR; Hydrophobic, Electronic and Steric constants', 2, American Chemical Society; Washington DC, (1995).
- [9] C.Hansch, A.Leo; 'Substituent constants for correlation analysis in Chemistry and Biology', John Wiley; New York, (1996).
- [10] A.K.Gupta, M.A.Babu, S.G.Kaskhedikar; Indian J.Pharm.Sci., 66, 396 (2004).
- [11] N.Draper, H.Smith; 'Applied Regression Analysis', John Wiley; New York, (1996).
- [12] V.M.Viswanathan, A.K.Goshe, G.R.Revanker, R.K.Robbins; J.Chem.Inf.Comput.Sci., 29, 163 (1989).