INTRODUCTION

The Phenylephrine Hydrochloride is an \( \alpha_1 \)-adrenergic receptor agonist used primarily as a decongestant, as an agent to dilate the pupil, and to increase blood pressure. The primary side-effect of phenylephrine is hypertension. Patients with congestion and hypertension are typically advised to avoid products containing phenylephrine\(^{[1,2]}\). Prostatic hyperplasia can also be symptomatically worsened by use, and chronic use can lead to rebound hyperemia.\(^{[3]}\) Patients with a history of epilepsy and on anticonvulsant medication should not take this substance. The drug interaction might produce seizures. Some patients have been shown to have
For the production of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride, production areas of larger complexity are necessary due to the numerous risks associated to occupational exposure and related to cross contamination. The production and cleaning operations involved in the production area should follow strict good manufacturing practices. Among these are cleaning validations, which is critical for patients’ safety and person involved in the production. Moreover, the cleaning validation is integral part of quality assurance that embodies all the necessary steps to guarantee the quality of medications be inside the adopted standards, be safe and effective for therapeutic application. The objective of cleaning validation is to prove, through validated analytical method, that the cleaning procedure is efficient in removing product residues and excipients, degradation products, cleaning substance and other possible contaminants. This way cross contamination risk in production area can be reduced substantially.

During the cleaning validation following factors should be taken into consideration: equipment construction material, sealing part and parts that offers greater risk of contamination. It is important to standardize cleaning procedures and cleaning material, verification of residues chemical products and post-cleaning microbial load. Other factors such as time that the equipment can be considered clean, sampling procedure and analysis of contaminating residues in the equipment should also be considered. The analysis method and selected sampling procedure should be validated and presents adequate extraction-recovery to favor the analysis of possible contaminating residues.

The acceptable limit for residue in the equipments is not established in the current regulations. However, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) mention that the limit should be based on logical criteria, involving the risk associated to residues of a determining product. The calculation of acceptable residual limit for active products in production equipments should be based on therapeutical doses, pharmacological activity and toxicological index. Several mathematical formulas were proposed that can be used to establish acceptable residual limit. The aim of this study was to validate simple analytical method and its application in the determination of residual Phenylephrine Hydrochloride in production area equipments and to confirm efficiency of cleaning procedure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

The Phenylephrine Hydrochloride raw material was received from local pharmaceutical industry and was used as standard (99.5%). Methanol (HPLC grade) and acetic acid (analytical grade) were obtained from Merck (India). High purity water was prepared by using Waters Milli-Q® plus purification system. The extraction-recovery sampling was done with “Alpha® Swab” (6.8mm×16.8 mm) model TX761 TEXWIPE® and stainless steel standard plates AISI 316 (25 cm²) were used to simulate equipment surface.

Equipment

High performance liquid chromatographic system, Agilent 1200 series with UV detector

Chromatographic condition

Analytical conditions were optimized through the LC system using Cosmosil C18 (4.6mm×250 mm, 5µm). The mobile phase was constituted of methanol–water–acetic acid (30:70:1, v/v/v), at a flow rate of 1.0mLmin⁻¹. UV detection was made at 257 nm. The volume of injection was fixed at 20 µL. All analyses were done at room temperature 25±2°C, approximately. The mobile phase was prepared fresh each day, vacuum-filtered through a 0.45 µm Millex® (HV) hydrophilic membrane and degassed by ultrasonication for 20 min.

Standard solution preparation

Amount of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride standard,
equivalent to 25.0 mg was accurately weighed and transferred to a 100mL volumetric flask. Approximately, 40mL of methanol was added and content of the flask was ultrasonicated for 10 min. The solution in the flask was diluted to volume with the same solvent. The final concentration of solution was 250.0 µg/mL of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride. All solutions were stored refrigerated until injection (2–5°C). Appropriate dilutions were made with mobile phase to obtain solution containing 50.0, 20.0 and 10.0 µg/mL of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride.

**Recovery of sample from stainless plates**

Stainless steel standard plates (25 cm²) were contaminated with 1mL of solution containing 10.0 µg/mL of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride and plates were dried in oven at 50±2°C. Sampling was done with polyester swab previously humidified with purified water. In brief, swab was passed on the board in zig-zag manner from right to left, returning from left to right, from top to bottom and returning upwards. For recovery of residues removed from plate, the sampling swab was immersed in 2.0mL of mobile phase and the solution with swab immersed was put in ultrasonication bath for 10 min. The resulting solutions were filtered through Millex® filtration units and injected into the chromatographic system.

**Sample solution for determination of inter and intra-day repeatability**

The sample solutions were prepared as described in procedure for recovery of samples from stainless steel plates. The precision, intermediate precision and reproducibility were determined by inter and intra-day repeatability of responses and are expressed as standard deviation (S.D.) and relative standard deviation (R.S.D.).

**Sample preparation for recovery test**

A standard solution was prepared separately as described above to obtain solution containing 20.0 µg/mL of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride. The sample solution containing 10.0 µg/mL was obtained from stock solution containing 50.0 µg/mL of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride. For that, an aliquot of 2.0mL was transferred to a 10mL volumetric flask and volume was completed with mobile phase. A polyester swab was immersed into the flask and ultrasonicated during 10 min in order to simulate samples to be analyzed. Three separate aliquots of sample solution (2.0mL) were spiked with 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0mL of standard solutions in three separate 10mL volumetric flasks. The volumes were completed with mobile phase to obtain solutions containing 4.0, 6.0 and 8.0µg/mL of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride, approximately. Method accuracy was assessed by determining the agreement between the measured standard concentration and known concentration of standard actually used to spike the sample solutions (TABLE 3). All determinations were made in triplicate at three concentration levels. All standard and sample solutions were filtered through 0.45µm Millipore® (Millex HV) hydrophilic membrane, before injection into the system.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Acceptance limit calculation (LA)**

The acceptance limit was calculated based on total production line equipments area for Phenylephrine Hydrochloride, the least unit therapeutical dose that promotes effect, maximum daily doses and size of produced batch in question[5,6]. For Phenylephrine Hydrochloride, least unit therapeutical dose (DT) is 4mg while minimum production batch size is 25,500,000 mg, taking into consideration production of reduced batches, which can be 50% of the original batch size. The maximum daily dose (LD) is 600 mg with a safety factor of 1/1000. The safety factor depends on route of administration and the toxicity of the product. For an oral formulation, the safety factor is generally set at 1000 or a higher value[6]. The calculated maximum acceptable residue (MA) value was found to be 170.7 mg. The simulated standard sampling area (A) was a stainless steel plate surface of 25 cm² and simulated total production line area (AT) was 76,651.33 cm². As the sampling method recovery factor is yet unknown, a value of 30% was used[6]. An acceptance limit value of 16.7 µg of residue in each swab analyzed was obtained. Therefore, the initial value for the recovery tests with swab will be 10.0µg, so that interferences below standardized concentration (16.7µg) could be verified. The calculation was made using Equations. (1) and (2). The lot size, daily dose are expressed in milligram units, to-
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product, A the sampling area, fr the recovery factor of sampling method, AT is the total production line area and LA is acceptance limit.

Validation of proposed method

Linearity

Linearity of method was studied by analyzing standard solutions at seven different concentration levels ranging from 2.0 to 22.0 µg/mL, with triplicate determination at each level. The calibration curve was constructed by plotting mean response area against corresponding concentration injected, using the least square method. The calibration curve values of slope, intercept and correlation coefficient for Phenylephrine Hydrochloride are presented in Table 1 and indicate good linearity.

Detection limit (DL) and quantitation limit (QL)

The detection limit (DL) and quantitation limit (QL) were determined based on the standard deviation amongst response and slope of the curve at low concentration levels [7]. The DL and QL were 0.08 and 0.28 µg/mL, respectively (Table 1). The obtained theoretical values for DL and QL were actually prepared and were cross checked by actual analysis using proposed methods. At QL, standard deviation amongst responses was 30.1 and 1.7%, respectively.

Precision

The precision of the method was evaluated by inter and intraday repeatability. Intermediate precision was determined by two analysts. The intra-day repeatability was determined by analyzing 10 replicates of extraction-recovery samples and is expressed in terms of R.S.D. The results are presented in Table 2. The inter-day repeatability (reproducibility) was determined by analyzing same sample solutions on 2 consecutive days, at the same concentration level. The inter-day repeatability is expressed in terms of R.S.D. values and indicates a good reproducibility of method (Table 2). Two different analysts used the same method and same equipment to analyze same sample and R.S.D. amongst responses on same day was calculated. The method presented good intermediate precision amongst analysts (Table 2).

Specificity

Specificity is the ability of the method to accurately measure the analyte response in the presence of all potential sample components (excipients). In this case, sample solutions containing 10.0 µg/mL of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride were prepared using 50 mg tablet and were analyzed using proposed method (Figure 2). The results were compared with those obtained

Table 1: Linear regression data in the analysis of phenylephrine hydrochloride

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical parameters</th>
<th>Obtained values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration range (µg/mL)</td>
<td>2.0 – 22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression equation</td>
<td>Y=1235.4x – 214.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient (r)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL (µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QL (µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Precision results and statistical data obtained in the determination of phenylephrine extracted samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intra-day</th>
<th>Intra-day</th>
<th>Intra-day</th>
<th>Intra-day</th>
<th>Between analysts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of samples</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 (5 each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of injections</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.S.D</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction-recovery (%)</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Chromatogram of 10 µg mL⁻¹ phenylephrine hydrochloride
in the analysis of placebo and standard solution (10.0 µg mL⁻¹). No interference from excipients was observed within 5 min chromatographic run.

**Accuracy**

The accuracy of the present method was determined by spiking sample solutions with known standard. The accuracy of the method was checked at three concentration levels, i.e. at 4.0, 6.0 and 8.0 µg mL⁻¹. Triplicate analyses were done with HPLC method and accuracy is expressed as percentage of standard recovered from sample matrix with corresponding R.S.D. The recovery data is presented in TABLE 3.

**UV spectrophotometric method**

A UV spectrophotometric method was also developed and validated (results not shown). The proposed UV spectrophotometric for Phenylephrine Hydrochloride presented promising results for cleaning validation as an alternative method to present HPLC method.

**TABLE 3 : Results obtained in the recovery of phenylephrine hydrochloride standard added to the sample solution and analysed by proposed HPLC method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount added (µg mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Amount found (µg mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Mean recovery ±0.50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>98.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>99.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the UV spectrophotometric method was unable to quantify Phenylephrine Hydrochloride below 10.0 µg with precision (R.S.D. near 4%). Nevertheless, the method could be used as a limit method, where concentration values above established limit should be considered rejected.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The proposed method for quantitative determination of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride residue on production area equipments is efficient and sensitive. The results showed that the proposed method is suitable for quantitative determination of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride in production area well below the calculated limit of contamination. The cleaning validation sample impurities as well as excipients of the commercial sample did not interfere in the analysis, which proved the specificity of the method. The ease of sample preparation permits fast and efficient application of the proposed method in quantitation of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride residue with precision and accuracy. The method can be used in routine cleaning validation processes and for quantitative determination of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride in commercial samples. The simplicity of UV spectrophotometric method makes it useful for routine analysis of Phenylephrine Hydrochloride residues on cleaned surface and can be used as limit method to qualify or reject cleaning procedure in production area.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors wish to thank PRIST University, Thanjavur for the support of the study and helpful discussions.

**REFERENCES**