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## ABSTRACT

Anti-HIV drug discovery has been increasingly focusing on HIV-protease as a potential therapeutic target. QSAR study of three sets of peptidic HIV-protease inhibitors has been studied. The descriptor that have been used are $\log \mathrm{P}$ for the measurement of pharmacokinetics, topological indices (chi0 v and chi1v and KierA1) for the molecular structure quantization, and steric parameters (MR and MW) for the measurement of electronic effect and dipole-dipole interaction at the active site. The values of descriptors that have been used for QSAR models have been evaluated by CAChe software using the by PM3 method. The relationship between various descriptors and inhibitory activity has been presented. The combination of descriptors that provide best QSAR model and have correlation coefficient value above 0.80 is $\log$ P, chilv, KierA1 and MR. The compounds having higher inhibitory activity have been identified on the basis of pharmacokinetics. © 2008 Trade Science Inc. - INDIA
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## INTRODUCTION

Anti-HIV drug discovery has been increasingly focusing on HIV-protease as a potential therapeutic target ${ }^{[1-3]}$. Since HIV-protease is an aspartic protease and its substrate is peptide in nature, a number of peptide derived compounds have been identified as HIV-protease inhibitors ${ }^{[4]}$. These peptidic HIV-protease inhibitors bind to the substrate binding site pocket of the enzyme that has a considerable number of hydrophobic residues ${ }^{[5-7]}$. The amino acids that make up these pockets are Valine-32, Isoleucine-47, Isoleucine-50 and Iso-leucine-84 in each monomer of homodimeric polypeptides of the HIV-protease. Isoleucine and valine have
their hydropathy index 4.5 and 4.2 and are the top hydrophobic amino acids respectively. According to Huff ${ }^{81}$, the inhibitor-enzyme binding is dominated by hydrophobic interaction. Hence the inhibitors must have higher hydrophobicity ${ }^{[9]}$ for strong and effective hydrophobic interaction with hydrophobic amino acids of the binding pocket. Although, the activity of inhibitors increases as their hydrophobicity $(\log P)$ increases but $\log P$ above 5.0 show poor pharmacokinetics ${ }^{[10]}$, including low oral bioavailability and rapid excretion. Since, the pharmacokinetics of a drug is as important to its efficacy as is its pharmacodynamics, both must be optimized in producing a medicinally useful drug. One of the most important empirically based rule ${ }^{[11]}$ formulated by Chris-
topher Lipinski is that a compound is likely to exhibit poor absorption or permeation if its value of $\log \mathrm{P}$ is greater than 5.0. Thus, the most effective drugs are usually a compromise; they are neither too hydrophobic nor too hydrophilic. Recently, $\mathrm{QSAR}^{[12,13]}$ has gained importance in the field of pharmacological sciences. In this paper we present QSARstudy of three sets of peptidic HIV-protease inhibitors from the literature ${ }^{[14,15]}$ with the help of following descriptors:
(i). Log P for the measurement of pharmacokinetics, (ii). Topological indices (chi0v and chilv and KierA1) for the molecular structure quantization, and
(iii). Steric parameters (MR and MW) for the measurement of electronic effect and dipole-dipole interaction at the active site.

## THEORY

If the hydrophobicity of a drug is important for its biological activity, then changing the substituents on the drug so as to alter its hydrophobicity will affect its activity. Of course, the biological activities of these drugs (peptidic HIV-protease inhibitors) depend on their hydrophobicities. A measure of the drug's hydrophobicity is its partition coefficient $(\mathrm{P})$ between two immiscible solvents, octanol and water at equilibrium ${ }^{[16,17]}$ :
$\log P=\log \left[\frac{\text { Concentration of drug in octanol }}{\text { Concentration of drug in water }}\right]$
Biological activity may be expressed as $1 / C$, where C is the drug concentration required to achieve a specified level of biological function and can be expressed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (1 / C)=k_{1} \log P+k_{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ are constants, where optimum values in this QSAR can be determined by computerized curve-fitting methods.

For compounds with a larger range of $\log \mathrm{P}$ values it is better described by quadratic equation:
$\log (\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{C})=k_{1}(\log P) \mathbf{2}+k_{2} \log P+k_{3}$
The topological indices are molecular connectivity indices and shape index. Molecular connectivity is a method of molecular structure quantization in which weighted counts of substructure fragments are incorporated into numerical indices such as size, branching, unsaturation, hetero atom content and cyclicity which are encoded. Substructures for molecular skeleton are
defined by the decomposition of the skeleton into fragments of atom (zero order, $\mathrm{m}=0$ ) and one bond paths (first order, $m=1$ ). The calculation of the indices begins with the reduction of the molecule to hydrogen-suppressed skeleton. The molecular connectivity indices are symbolized by ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}$. The valence connectivity index ${ }^{[18]}$ of zero (chi0v $={ }^{0} \chi_{t}^{v}$ ) and first order (chilv $={ }^{1} \chi_{t}^{v}$ ) is given respectively by eqn- 4 and eqn- 5

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{0} \chi_{t}^{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{N s}{ }^{0} C_{i}^{v}  \tag{4}\\
& { }^{0} \chi_{t}^{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{N s}{ }^{1} C_{i}^{v} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ci}^{\mathrm{i}}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Ci}^{\mathrm{v}}$ are given by eqn- 6 and eqn- 7

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0} C_{i}^{v}=\prod_{k=1}^{m+1}\left(\delta_{k}{ }^{\mathrm{v}}\right)^{-0.5} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{1} C_{i}^{v}=\prod_{k=1}^{m+1}\left(\delta_{k}{ }^{v}\right)^{-0.5} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kappa shape indices ${ }^{[19]}\left({ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{K}\right)$ are also a method of molecular structure quantization in which attributes of molecular shape are encoded into kappa values ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~K}$ for first order, ${ }^{2}$ Kfor second order, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~K}$ for third order, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ for kappa alfa first order). The values of the kappa alpha, order $1\left(\right.$ KierA1 $\left.={ }^{1} \mathrm{~K} \alpha\right)$ can be calculated directly from the equation-.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{~K} \alpha=\frac{(\mathrm{A}+\alpha)[(\mathrm{A}+\alpha)-1]^{2}}{\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{Pi}+\alpha\right)^{2}}$
where $\alpha$ is given by
$\alpha=r(x) / r\left[C\left(s p^{3}\right)\right]-1$
here $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{x})$ is the covalent radius of atom x and $\mathrm{r}\left[\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)\right]$ is the covalent radius of carbon in sp ${ }^{3}$ state.

Molecular refractivity (MR) is used as a steric parameter ${ }^{[20]}$ and measures the electronic effect also and may reflect the dipole-dipole interaction at the active site,
$M R=\frac{\left[\left(n^{2}-1\right) /\left(n^{2}+2\right)\right] M W}{d}$
where n is the refractive index for the sodium D line, MW is the molecular weight and $d$ is the density of the compound. Molecular weight (MW) is also used as steric parameter.

## Full Papor

TABLE 1: First set of derivatives containing 15 compounds and their biological activity in terms of inhibitory activity

| Comp. <br> no. | Substituents |  |  |  | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| activity(A) |  |  |  |  |  |

Cbz= Carbobenzyloxy, Qua=Quinolinyl-2-Carboxamide
TABLE 2: Second set of derivatives containing 15 compounds and their biological activity in terms of inhibitory activity

| Comp. <br> no. | Substituents |  |  | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | R2 | R3 |  |
| 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.60 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | Me | H | 8.11 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | OH | 9.72 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.59 |
| 20 | (E) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | H | H | 9.64 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | H | H | 9.22 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.54 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.51 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.57 |
| 25 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4$-OHPh | H | H | 9.80 |
| 26 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | H | 7.56 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-4-IPh | H | H | 9.14 |
| 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 8.27 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SPh}$ | H | H | 9.60 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CMe}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.77 |



Figure 1: Skeleton structure of parent compound of first set

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Since the skeleton structures of parent compound

TABLE 3: Third set of derivatives containing 11 compounds and their biological activity in terms of inhibitory activity

| Comp. no. | Substituents (X) | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.94 |
| 32 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.47 |
| 33 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.16 |
| 34 | HOOC-CH(i-pr)NH- | 6.79 |
| 35 | $\mathrm{MeOOC}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.18 |
| 36 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.67 |
| 37 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$-NH- | 6.91 |
| 38 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.39 |
| 39 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$-NH- | 6.89 |
| 40 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.84 |
| 41 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.41 |

TABLE 4.1 : Relationship between $\log P$ and activity of compounds of first set

| Comp. no. | Substituents |  |  |  | Inhibitory activity(A) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{L o g} \\ \mathbf{P} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | X | Y | Z |  |  |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | Me | 5.82 | 1.558 |
| 4 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | Et | 6.48 | 1.901 |
| 5 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | i-Pr | 6.59 | 2.314 |
| 12 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | t-Bu | 7.72 | 2.908 |
| 9 | Qua | H | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH} \\ & \mathrm{Me}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | t-Bu | 8.52 | 3.077 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Cbz | H | 4-Py | t-Bu | 6.98 | 1.643 |
| 6 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | t-Bu | 7.46 | 2.392 |
| 8 | Cbz | H | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH} \\ & \mathrm{Me}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | t-Bu | 7.89 | 2.716 |
| 11 | Qua | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 8.30 | 3.359 |
| Subgroup-C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 6.29 | 2.369 |
| 2 | Qua | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 6.90 | 2.922 |
| 10 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 7.54 | 3.72 |
| 7* | Qua | H | CHMe2 | t-Bu | 8.22 | 2.549 |
| 15* | Qua | H | 4-Py | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 7.72 | 1.664 |
| 13* | Qua | H | C6H5 | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 8.52 | 2.07 |

Cbz = Carbobenzyloxy, Qua=Quinolinyl-2-Carboxamide


Figure 2: Skeleton structure of parent compound of second set
(Figures 1-3) are different, the peptidic HIV-protease inhibitors have been divided in three sets, which along with their biological activity are presented in TABLES


Figure 3: Skeleton structure of parent compound of third set

TABLE 4.2 : Relationship between chi0 v and activity of compounds of first set

| Comp. no. | Substituents |  |  |  | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ | chi0v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | X | Y | Z |  |  |
| Subgroup -A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , | Cbz |  | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | Me | 5.82 | 22.631 |
| 4 | Cbz |  | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | Et | 6.48 | 23.339 |
| 5 | Cbz |  | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | i-Pr | 6.59 | 24.209 |
| 14 | Cbz |  | 4-Py | t-Bu | 6.98 | 25.811 |
| 8 | Cbz |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 7.89 | 25.839 |
| 7 | Qua |  | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | t-Bu | 8.22 | 26.449 |
| 9 | Qua |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 8.52 | 26.667 |
| 13 | Qua |  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 8.52 | 27.258 |
| Subgroup -B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Cbz |  | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 6.29 | 24.046 |
| 6 | Cbz |  | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 7.46 | 25.131 |
| 10 | Cbz |  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 7.54 | 27.156 |
| 15 | Qua |  | $4-\mathrm{Py}$ | t-Bu | 7.72 | 28.018 |
| 11 | Qua |  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 8.30 | 28.277 |
| 2* | Qua |  | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 6.90 | 26.07 |
| 12* | Cbz |  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | t-Bu | 7.72 | 27.013 |

Cbz= Carbobenzyloxy, Qua=Quinolinyl-2-Carboxamide, chi0v = valence connectivity index of zero order
TABLE 4.3: Relationship between chilv and activity of compounds of first set

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Comp. } \\ \text { no. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Substituents |  |  |  | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ | chilv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | X | Y | Z |  |  |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | Me | 5.82 | 18.583 |
| 4 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | Et | 6.48 | 19.083 |
| 5 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | i-Pr | 6.59 | 19.438 |
| 6 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | t-Bu | 7.46 | 19.729 |
| 8 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | t-Bu | 7.89 | 20.229 |
| 7 | Qua | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | t-Bu | 8.22 | 21.212 |
| 9 | Qua | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | t-Bu | 8.52 | 21.391 |
| 13 | Qua | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | t-Bu | 8.52 | 23.036 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 6.29 | 19.583 |
| 14 | Cbz | H | 4-Py | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 6.98 | 21.391 |
| 10 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 7.54 | 21.802 |
| 11 | Qua | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 8.30 | 22.874 |
| 2* | Qua | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 6.90 | 21.566 |
| 12* | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | t-Bu | 7.72 | 21.415 |
| 15* | Qua | H | 4-Py | t-Bu | 7.72 | 23.514 |

Cbz= Carbobenzyloxy, Qua=Quinolinyl-2-Carboxamide, chi1v = valence connectivity index of first order

TABLE 4.4 : Relationship between KierA1and activity of compounds of first set

| Comp. <br> no. | Substituents |  |  |  | $\underset{-\operatorname{Inhibitory}}{\text { activity }(\mathrm{A})} \text { KierA1 }$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | X | Y | Z |  |  |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | Me | 5.82 | 32.054 |
| 4 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | Et | 6.48 | 33.049 |
| 5 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | i-Pr | 6.59 | 34.043 |
| 6 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 7.46 | 35.038 |
| 10 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 7.54 | 35.268 |
| 8 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | t-Bu | 7.89 | 36.033 |
| 9 | Qua | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 8.52 | 36.31 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | t-Bu | 7.72 | 32.038 |
| 15 | Qua | H | 4-Py | t-Bu | 7.72 | 32.21 |
| 7 | Qua | H | CHMe2 | t-Bu | 8.22 | 35.726 |
| 11 | Qua | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 8.30 | 37.075 |
| 13* | Qua | H | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 8.52 | 32.599 |
| 3* | Cbz | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 6.29 | 34.043 |
| 14* | Cbz | H | 4-Py | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 6.98 | 35.469 |
| 2* | Qua | H | $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 6.90 | 35.726 |

Cbz= Carbobenzyloxy, Qua=Quinolinyl-2-Carboxamide, KierA1 = kappa alfa first order
TABLE 4.5 : Relationship between MR and activity of compounds of first set

| Comp. <br> no. | Substituents |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R | X | Y | Z | activity | (A) | MR

Cbz= Carbobenzyloxy, Qua=Quinolinyl-2-Carboxamide, MR = molar refractivity
1-3. The reactivity indices, $\log P$, chi0v, chi1v, KierA1, MR and MW of the corresponding derivatives are presented in TABLES 4.1-4.6 for first set; in TABLES 5.1-5.6 for second set and in TABLES 6.1-6.6 for third set. Each table has been divided into subgroups in order to demonstrate better sequential relationship between the biological activity and the reactivity indices. The QSAR study of each set is presented below

## Fula Paper

TABLE 4.6: Relationship between $M W$ and activity of compounds of first set

| Comp. no. | Substituents |  |  | Inhibitory activity(A) | MW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | X Y | Z |  |  |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |  |  |
| , | Cbz | $\mathrm{HCHMe}_{2}$ | Me | 5.82 | 541.646 |
| 4 | Cbz | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | Et | 6.48 | 555.673 |
| 5 | Cbz | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | i-Pr | 6.59 | 569.7 |
| 6 | Cbz | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{CHMe2}$ | t-Bu | 7.46 | 583.726 |
| 8 | Cbz | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | t-Bu | 7.89 | 597.753 |
| 7 | Qua | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 8.22 | 620.747 |
| 9 | Qua | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | t-Bu | 8.52 | 623.791 |
| 13* | Qua | H $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 8.52 | 654.764 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Cbz | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 6.29 | 569.7 |
| 14 | Cbz | H 4-Py | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 6.98 | 618.731 |
| 2 | Qua | $\mathrm{H}^{\text {CHMe }}$ | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 6.90 | 620.747 |
| 12 | Cbz | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | t-Bu | 7.72 | 623.791 |
| 11 | Qua | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 8.30 | 660.812 |
| 10* | Cbz | $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | t-Bu | 7.54 | 634.774 |
| 15* | Qua | H 4-Py | $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 7.72 | 669.779 |

Cbz= Carbobenzyloxy, Qua=Quinolinyl-2-Carboxamide, MW = molecular weight
TABLE 5.1: Relationship between Log Pand activity of compounds of second set

| Comp. no. | Substituents |  |  | Inhibitory activity(A) | $\log P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | R2 | R3 |  |  |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | H | 7.56 | 4.352 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | Me | H | 8.11 | 4.994 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.51 | 4.605 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.54 | 5.855 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.59 | 6.271 |
| 20 | (E) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | H | H | 9.64 | 6.317 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CMe}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.77 | 7.015 |
| 16* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.60 | 5.388 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4$-OHPh | H | H | 9.80 | 5.104 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SPh}$ | H | H | 9.60 | 5.175 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.57 | 5.436 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | H | H | 9.22 | 6.086 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{IPh}$ | H | H | 9.14 | 6.646 |
| 18* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | OH | 9.72 | 5.784 |
| 28* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 8.27 | 4.461 |

## First set

The first set consists of fifteen urea isostere derivatives and their biological activity has been measured in terms of inhibitory activity ${ }^{[14]}$.

The values of reactivity indices, $\log \mathrm{P}$; chi0v; chi1v; KherA1; MR and MW, of this set of compounds alongwith their reported inhibitory activity are placed in TABLES 4.1-4.6 respectively. A close look at the TABLES indicates that successive addition of

TABLE 5.2: Relationship between chi0v and activity of compounds of second set

| Comp. no. | Substituents |  |  | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathrm{A})$ | chi0v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | R2 |  |  |  |
| Subgroup - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | H | 7.56 | 21.315 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.51 | 23.917 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.54 | 24.340 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.57 | 24.604 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SPh}$ | H | H | 9.60 | 24.642 |
| 20 | (E) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | H | H | 9.64 | 25.279 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CMe}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.77 | 26.84 |
| Subgroup -B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-4-OHPh | H | H | 9.80 | 23.787 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | OH | 9.72 | 24.124 |
| 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 8.27 | 24.326 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | Me | H | 8.11 | 24.522 |
| Subgroup -C |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.60 | 23.417 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.59 | 24.974 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-4-IPh | H | H | 9.14 | 25.875 |
| 21* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | H | H | 9.22 | 24.920 |

chi0v = valence connectivity index of zero order
TABLE 5.3: Relationship between chilv and activity of compounds of second set

| Comp. no. | Substituents |  |  | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(A)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | R2 | R3 |  |  |
| Subgroup -A |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | H | 7.56 | 17.069 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | Me | H | 8.11 | 19.432 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-4-IPh | H | H | 9.14 | 19.480 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.51 | 19.480 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.54 | 19.480 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.57 | 20.518 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.59 | 20.692 |
| 30* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CMe}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.77 | 20.692 |
| Subgroup -B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{OHPh}$ | H | H | 9.80 | 19.480 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | OH | 9.72 | 19.586 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SPh}$ | H | H | 9.60 | 19.586 |
| 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 8.27 | 19.997 |
| 20* | (E) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | H | H | 9.64 | 20.586 |
| 21* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | H | H | 9.22 | 21.157 |
| 16* | CH2Ph | H | H | 9.60 | 19.086 |

chi1v = valence connectivity index of first order


Figure 4: Graphic representation of relationship between Log Pand Activity of compounds of first set
subsititutents $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}, 4-\mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{CHMe} 2$,

TABLE 5.4: Relationship between KierA1 and activity of compounds of second set

| Comp. <br> no. | Substituents |  |  | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathrm{A})$ | KierA1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | R2 | R3 |  |  |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | H | 7.56 | 27.900 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.51 | 30.712 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.54 | 30.752 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.57 | 32.278 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.59 | 33.482 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CMe}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.77 | 33.688 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | Me | H | 8.11 | 30.918 |
| 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 8.27 | 31.407 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-4-IPh | H | H | 9.14 | 31.465 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | H | H | 9.22 | 34.326 |
| Subgroup-C |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.60 | 29.775 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SPh}$ | H | H | 9.60 | 31.094 |
| 20 | (E) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | H | H | 9.64 | 32.454 |
| 18* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | OH | 9.72 | 30.752 |
| 25* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4$-OHPh | H | H | 9.80 | 30.712 |

KierA1 = kappa alfa first order
TABLE 5.5: Relationship between MR and activity of compounds of second set

| Comp. no. | Substituents |  |  | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ | MR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | R2 | R3 |  |  |
| Subgroup -A |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | H | H | 9.22 | 155.954 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.51 | 159.573 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.54 | 159.914 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.57 | 161.796 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SPh}$ | H | H | 9.60 | 162.654 |
| 20 | (E) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | H | H | 9.64 | 169.792 |
| Subgroup -B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | H | 7.56 | 139.423 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | Me | H | 8.11 | 160.050 |
| 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 8.27 | 160.260 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-4-IPh | H | H | 9.14 | 167.281 |
| Subgroup -C |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.60 | 154.872 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | OH | 9.72 | 159.473 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CMe}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.77 | 173.538 |
| 25* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{OHPh}$ | H | H | 9.80 | 156.567 |
| 19* | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | H | 9.59 | 160.846 |

$M R=$ molar refractivity


Figure 5: Graphic representation of relationship between chi0v and Activity of compounds of first set

TABLE 5.6 : Relationship between MW and activity of compounds of second set

| Comp. <br> no. | Substituents |  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  | R2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inhibitory | R3 | activity(A) |  |  |  | MW

MW = molecular weight
CH2CHMe2, carbobenzyloxy and quinolinyl-2carboxamide increases the hydrophobicity and also the inhibitory activity. The relationship is well demonstrated by graph (Figures 4-6) drawn between the inhibitory activity and reactivity indices. Although there is a direct relationship but there is no sequential rise or fall. In order to provide sequential relationship we have divided the TABLES 4.1-4.6 into subgroups: TABLE 4.1 into three subgroups-A, B, and C; while TABLES 4.2-4.6 into two subgroups-A and B . The compounds in each subgroup show the sequential relationship very clearly. Compounds which do not follow the sequential trend are indicated by *.

## Second set

Second set of isostere derivatives also contains fifteen compounds and their biological activity is also shown in term of inhibitory activity ${ }^{[15]}$.


Figure 6 : Graphic representation of relationship between chilv and Activity of compounds of first set

## Pula Papor

TABLE 6.1: Relationship between $\log P$ and activity of compounds of third set

| Comp. no. | Substituents(X) | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{L o g} \\ \mathbf{P} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |
| 33 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.16 | 3.489 |
| 36 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.67 | 3.367 |
| 34 | HOOC-CH(i-pr)NH- | 6.79 | 2.784 |
| 37 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.91 | 2.649 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |
| 40 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.84 | 4.145 |
| 39 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$-NH- | 6.89 | 3.913 |
| 38 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.39 | 3.732 |
| 41 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.41 | 3.359 |
| 35* | $\mathrm{MeOOC}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.18 | 4.080 |
| 31* | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.94 | 3.609 |
| 32* | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}$-NH- | 7.47 | 4.058 |

TABLE 6.2: Relationship between chi0v and Activity of compounds of third set

| Comp. no. | Substituents (X) | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ | chi0v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |
| 33 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH2}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.16 | 19.66 |
| 34 | HOOC-CH(i-pr)NH- | 6.79 | 18.345 |
| 37 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$-NH- | 6.91 | 18.265 |
| 31 | Ph CH2NH- | 6.94 | 17.929 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |
| 36 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.67 | 20.376 |
| 40 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.84 | 19.525 |
| 39 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.89 | 19.136 |
| 38 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.39 | 18.655 |
| 32* | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.47 | 19.136 |
| 35* | $\mathrm{MeOOC}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.18 | 20.914 |
| 41* | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.41 | 19.679 |

chi0 $\mathrm{v}=$ valence connectivity index of zero order
TABLE 6.3: Relationship between chilv and activity of compounds of third set

| Comp. no. | Substituents (X) | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ | chi1v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup -A |  |  |  |
| 34 | HOOC-CH(i-pr)NH- | 6.79 | 13.886 |
| 40 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.84 | 14.637 |
| 39 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.89 | 15.192 |
| 32 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.47 | 15.209 |
| Subgroup -B |  |  |  |
| 37 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.91 | 14.137 |
| 31 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH2NH-}$ | 6.94 | 14.226 |
| 41 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.41 | 15.175 |
| Subgroup -C |  |  |  |
| 33 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.16 | 15.658 |
| 36 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.67 | 15.976 |
| 35 | $\mathrm{MeOOC}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.18 | 16.531 |
| 38* | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.39 | 14.226 |

The biological activity and the reactivity indices, $\log$

TABLE 6.4: Relationship between KierA1 and activity of compounds of third set

| Comp. no. | Substituents (X) | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ | KierA1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |
| 33 | Ph CH2-CH(CH3OH)NH- | 6.16 | 26.829 |
| 36 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.67 | 26.189 |
| 34 | HOOC-CH(i-pr)NH- | 6.79 | 26.066 |
| 40 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.84 | 25.659 |
| 39 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.89 | 24.266 |
| 31 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH2NH-}$ | 6.94 | 23.895 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |
| 37 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.91 | 24.628 |
| 38 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.39 | 24.667 |
| 41 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.41 | 26.611 |
| 35* | $\mathrm{MeOOC}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.18 | 26.887 |
| 32* | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.47 | 24.266 |

KierA1 = kappa alfa first order
TABLE 6.5: Relationship between MR and activity of compounds of third set

| Comp. no. | Substituents (X) | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathbf{A})$ | MR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |
| 37 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.91 | 114.655 |
| 31 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH2NH-}$ | 6.94 | 116.893 |
| 38 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.39 | 118.025 |
| 41 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.41 | 123.988 |
| 32 | HO-C $5_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}$-NH- | 7.47 | 124.473 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |
| 34 | HOOC-CH(i-pr)NH- | 6.79 | 111.854 |
| 40 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.84 | 122.443 |
| 39 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.89 | 124.627 |
| 35 | $\mathrm{MeOOC}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.18 | 134.111 |
| 33* | Ph CH2-CH( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.16 | 127.61 |
| 36* | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.67 | 130.473 |

MR = molar refractivity
TABLE 6.6: Relationship between MW and activity of compounds of third set

| Comp. no. | Substituents (X) | Inhibitory $\operatorname{activity}(\mathrm{A})$ | MV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup-A |  |  |  |
| 34 | HOOC-CH(i-pr)NH- | 6.79 | 422.52 |
| 40 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.84 | 432.602 |
| 39 | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.89 | 438.566 |
| 32 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.47 | 438.566 |
| Subgroup-B |  |  |  |
| 33 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH} 2^{-}$ <br> $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.16 | 456.581 |
| 36 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.67 | 468.592 |
| 35 | $\mathrm{MeOOC}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.18 | 480.603 |
| Subgroup-C |  |  |  |
| 31 | $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.94 | 412.528 |
| 38 | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.39 | 418.575 |
| 41 | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ | 7.41 | 448.601 |
| 37* | $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}-\mathrm{NH}-$ | 6.91 | 420.548 |

MW = molecular weight

P; chi0v; chi1v; kierA1; MR and MW, of these derivatives are given in TABLES 5.1-5.6. A close look at the TABLE 5.1 indicates that successive addition of substitutents, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} ;-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph} ;-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-$ $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph} ;-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ph} ;-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Ph} ;-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ $=\mathrm{CHPh}(\mathrm{E})$ and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{CMe}_{3} \mathrm{Ph}$ increase hydrophobicity and also the inhibitory activity. But successive addition of substitutents, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{OHPh} ;-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SPh}$;-$\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph} ;-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4$-IPh increase hydrophobicity but decrease the activity. It also indicates that there is a direct relationship between reactivity indices, KierA1; MR and MW, and inhibitory activity of this set of compounds. We have divided the compounds of TABLE 5.1 into two subgroups-A and B; and TABLES 5.2-5.6 into three subgroups-A, $B$ and C. All the subgroups of TABLES 5.1, 5.2, 5.4 and 5.5 show direct relationships very clearly, except subgroupB of TABLES 5.1-5.3 and subgroup-C of TABLE 5.2. Compounds which do not follow the sequential trend are indicated by*.

## Third set

Third set contain eleven derivatives and their biological activity is also shown in term of inhibitory activity ${ }^{[15]}$.

The inhibitory activity alongwith reactivity indices, $\log$ P; chi0v; chilv; KierA1; MR and MW, are given in TABLES 6.1-6.6. Examination of TABLES 6.1 show that successive addition of substitutents, $\mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{2}-$ $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-; \mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-; \mathrm{HOOC}-$ $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{pr}) \mathrm{NH}-$; and $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}-\mathrm{NH}-$ in subgroup-A while $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-; \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}-\mathrm{NH}-; \mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}-$ NH- and $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$ in subgroup-B decrease hydrophobicity but increase the activity. TABLE 6.2 shows inverse relationship. TABLES 6.3-6.6 show that biological activity has direct relationships with chilv; KierA1; MR and MW. The inverse and direct relationship can be better represented by dividing the TABLES 6.1, 6.2, 6.4 and 6.5 into two sub groups: A and B, while the remaining TABLES 6.3 and 6.6 into three subgroups: A, B and C. Compounds which do not follow the sequential trend are indicated by*.

## QSAR models

Multi linear regression analysis using the descriptors, Log P, chi0v, chi1v, KierA1 MR and MW, in dif-

TABLE 7: Predicted activity from PA1-PA7 as obtained from regression equations, RE1-RE7

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Comp. } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | Inhibitory activity <br> (A) | PA1 | PA2 | PA3 | PA4 | PA5 | PA6 | PA7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.82 | 6.505 | 6.955 | 7.061 | 6.993 | 6.377 | 6.344 | 6.364 |
| 2 | 6.9 | 7.352 | 7.961 | 8.04 | 8.002 | 7.774 | 7.746 | 7.77 |
| 3 | 6.29 | 7.009 | 7.29 | 7.324 | 7.277 | 7.028 | 6.993 | 6.99 |
| 4 | 6.48 | 6.718 | 7.135 | 7.204 | 7.145 | 6.676 | 6.64 | 6.647 |
| 5 | 6.59 | 6.974 | 7.314 | 7.289 | 7.267 | 6.995 | 6.97 | 6.939 |
| 6 | 7.46 | 7.023 | 7.533 | 7.387 | 7.409 | 7.159 | 7.143 | 7.053 |
| 7 | 8.22 | 7.12 | 8.041 | 7.975 | 7.996 | 7.586 | 7.577 | 7.526 |
| 8 | 7.89 | 7.224 | 7.705 | 7.523 | 7.554 | 7.445 | 7.428 | 7.325 |
| 9 | 8.52 | 7.447 | 8.157 | 8.073 | 8.087 | 7.917 | 7.899 | 7.844 |
| 10 | 7.54 | 7.847 | 8.17 | 8.136 | 8.215 | 8.268 | 8.307 | 8.306 |
| 11 | 8.3 | 7.623 | 7.999 | 7.999 | 8.101 | 8.141 | 8.191 | 8.21 |
| 12 | 7.72 | 7.343 | 6.831 | 6.93 | 7.227 | 7.257 | 7.469 | 7.591 |
| 13 | 8.52 | 6.823 | 9.895 | 10.08 | 10.056 | 8.23 | 8.205 | 8.224 |
| 14 | 6.98 | 6.558 | 7.98 | 8.065 | 8.004 | 7.128 | 7.083 | 7.08 |
| 15 | 7.72 | 6.571 | 8.755 | 9.032 | 9.159 | 7.611 | 7.711 | 7.829 |
| 16 | 9.6 | 8.881 | 8.657 | 8.699 | 8.688 | 8.98 | 8.964 | 8.993 |
| 17 | 8.11 | 8.636 | 8.889 | 8.79 | 8.843 | 8.922 | 8.915 | 8.867 |
| 18 | 9.72 | 9.127 | 8.824 | 8.831 | 8.831 | 9.301 | 9.284 | 9.302 |
| 19 | 9.59 | 9.429 | 8.107 | 8.21 | 8.108 | 9.381 | 9.384 | 9.482 |
| 20 | 9.64 | 9.457 | 9.335 | 9.306 | 9.29 | 9.881 | 9.842 | 9.839 |
| 21 | 9.22 | 9.314 | 7.273 | 7.529 | 7.371 | 9.004 | 9.034 | 9.23 |
| 22 | 9.54 | 9.171 | 8.899 | 8.843 | 8.872 | 9.355 | 9.349 | 9.338 |
| 23 | 9.51 | 8.395 | 8.87 | 8.882 | 8.846 | 8.724 | 8.686 | 8.676 |
| 24 | 9.57 | 8.911 | 8.515 | 8.641 | 8.57 | 9.106 | 9.084 | 9.162 |
| 25 | 9.8 | 8.705 | 8.541 | 8.597 | 8.562 | 8.839 | 8.82 | 8.854 |
| 26 | 7.56 | 8.238 | 7.874 | 7.89 | 7.868 | 7.945 | 7.927 | 7.932 |
| 27 | 9.14 | 9.661 | 9.55 | 9.189 | 9.025 | 9.986 | 10.039 | 9.89 |
| 28 | 8.27 | 8.306 | 8.666 | 8.729 | 8.697 | 8.627 | 8.6 | 8.622 |
| 29 | 9.6 | 8.749 | 9.098 | 8.995 | 8.985 | 9.108 | 9.092 | 9.039 |
| 30 | 9.77 | 9.89 | 9.451 | 9.161 | 9.283 | 10.294 | 10.31 | 10.207 |
| 31 | 6.94 | 7.778 | 6.985 | 7.053 | 7.018 | 6.914 | 6.897 | 6.922 |
| 32 | 7.47 | 8.056 | 7.489 | 7.549 | 7.551 | 7.434 | 7.435 | 7.462 |
| 33 | 6.16 | 7.703 | 7.165 | 7.195 | 7.151 | 7.09 | 7.063 | 7.067 |
| 34 | 6.79 | 7.266 | 6.047 | 5.978 | 5.976 | 6.116 | 6.127 | 6.099 |
| 35 | 7.18 | 8.069 | 7.647 | 7.648 | 7.668 | 7.657 | 7.664 | 7.667 |
| 36 | 6.67 | 7.628 | 7.538 | 7.523 | 7.539 | 7.201 | 7.205 | 7.185 |
| 37 | 6.91 | 7.182 | 6.603 | 6.606 | 6.601 | 6.282 | 6.285 | 6.275 |
| 38 | 7.39 | 7.854 | 6.941 | 6.878 | 6.913 | 6.964 | 6.972 | 6.945 |
| 39 | 6.89 | 7.966 | 7.51 | 7.565 | 7.566 | 7.369 | 7.367 | 7.388 |
| 40 | 6.84 | 8.11 | 7.107 | 6.963 | 7.035 | 7.283 | 7.302 | 7.244 |
| 41 | 7.41 | 7.623 | 6.936 | 6.876 | 6.892 | 6.886 | 6.887 | 6.856 |

ferent combinations have been tried but only the following equations have provided better results, which can be used as QSAR models. The cross validation coefficient and correlation coefficient of these models are presented in TABLE 8. The QSAR models have been divided in three sets:

The first set (RE1) has been developed using only $\log \mathrm{P}$. The correlation value of the model is below 0.60 . RE1 $=0.620278 \log \mathrm{P}+5.53898$
rCV $\wedge=0.566415 r^{\wedge} 2=0.59635$
The second set (RE2-RE4) has been developed using the descriptors: chi1v, KierA1 MR and MW. The
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Figure 7: Graphic representation of relationship between fifth predicted activity (PA5) obtained from fifth regression equation (RE5) and observed activity (A) of the compounds


Figure 8 : Graphic representation of relationship between sixth predicted activity (PA6) obtained from sixth regression equation (RE6) and observed activity (A) of the compounds


Figure 9: Graphic representation of relationship between seventh predicted activity (PA7) obtained from seventh regression (RE7) equation and observed activity (A) of the compounds

TABLE 8: The values of cross validation coefficient and correlation coefficient with combination of descriptors

| Regression <br> equation | rCV^2 $^{\wedge}$ | $\mathbf{r}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$ | Descriptor(s) used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RE1 | 0.566415 | 0.59635 | Log P |
| RE2 | 0.48182 | 0.555834 | chi1v, KierA1, MR |
| RE3 | 0.424793 | 0.543502 | chi0v, KierA1, MR |
| RE4 | 0.500887 | 0.538817 | KierA1, MW, MR |
| RE5 | 0.77206 | 0.802924 | Log P, KierA1, MW, MR |
| RE6 | 0.770993 | 0.804 | Log P, chi0v, KierA1, MR |
| RE7 | 0.760549 | 0.80768 | Log P, chi1v, KierA1, MR |

correlation values of these models are also below 0.60 , hence can not considered as high class models.
RE2 $=-0.24875$ chi1 $v-0.216955$ KierA1 +0.109446 MR +2.91411 $\mathrm{rCV}^{\wedge} 2=0.48182 \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.555834$
RE3 $=-0.152724$ chi0v -0.230804 KierA1+0.101157 MR+3.48108 $\mathrm{rCV}^{\wedge} 2=0.424793 \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.543502$
RE4 $=-0.248168$ KierA1-0.00320675 MW +0.0935459MR +3.33581
$\mathrm{rCV}^{\wedge} 2=0.500887 \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.538817$
The third set (RE5-RE7) has been developed by
using the combination of four reactivity indices e.g., log P; chi0v; chi1v; KherA1; MR and MW. The correlation values of these models are above 0.80 hence can be considered as high class models.
RE5 $=0.495205$ Log P -0.0758793 KierA1-0.000262398MW
+0.0438808 MR +1.91849
$\mathrm{rCV}^{\wedge} 2=0.77206 \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.802924$
RE6 $=0.50345 \log P+0.0576518$ chi0v- 0.0895402
KierA1+0.0363927MR +1.93135
$\mathrm{rCV}^{\wedge} 2=0.770993 \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.804$
RE7 $=0.524553 \log P+0.130978$ chil v- 0.0945474
KierA1 +0.0278393 MR +2.17043
$\mathrm{rCV}^{\wedge} 2=0.760549 \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.80768$
The predicted inhibitory activity of various derivatives as obtained from regression equations RE1-7 are presented in TABLE 7. A reference to these TABLES clearly indicates that predicted activities are close to observed activity. In order to adjudge their quality the values of cross validation coefficient and correlation coefficient, are collectively presented in TABLE 8
alongwith combination of descriptors. The values of correlation coefficients of QSAR models RE5, RE6 and RE7 are above 0.80 , hence are considered as best models having reliable predictive power. The combinations of descriptors of these models are also shown in the TABLE 8. Graphs (Figures 7-9) between predicted activity and observed activity have been drawn for QSAR models RE5-RE7 to demonstrate the quality of prediction.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The study materials of this paper are protease inhibitors and are presented in TABLES 1-3. TABLE 1 includes derivatives of urea isosteres ${ }^{[19]}$ and TABLE 2, 3 includes derivatives of other isosteres ${ }^{[20]}$. The biological activity of these derivatives has been measured in term of inhibitory activity. For QSAR prediction, the 3D modeling and geometry optimization of all the derivatives of protease inhibitors have been done with the help of PCMODEL software using the semiemipical PM3 Hamiltonian ${ }^{[21]}$. The MOPAC calculations have been performed with Win MOPAC 7.21 software by applying key words: PM 3 , Charge $=0$, Gnorm $=0.1$, Bonds, Geo-OK, Vectors Density, and all the values required for the determination of the value of $\log P$, valence connectivity index order 0 , valence connectivity index order 1 , shape index order 1 , molar refractivity and molecular weight have been obtained from this software by solving the equations given in theory and result are reported in TABLES 4.1-6.6.

## CONCLUSION

1. Out of the six descriptors that have used for studying the relationship with inhibitory activity of HIVprotease inhibitors, the $\log \mathrm{P}$ is the best. In other words the hydrophobicity provides better relationship as compared with topological or steric indices.
2. $\log \mathrm{P}$ also is the essential descriptor of all combinations providing good QSAR model.
3. The best QSAR model (RE7) is provided by the combination of four descriptors, which are $\log P$, chilv, KherA1 and MR. The correlation coefficient values of this model is 0.80768 .
4. Addition of substituent which increases the hydrophobicity also increases the inhibitory activity. Such substituents are Me , Et , i-Pr, t-Bu, 4-Py, $\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$. While substituents which decrease the hydrophobicity but increase the inhibitory activity are $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{NH}-$, $\mathrm{HO}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-$, $\mathrm{HOOC}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{pr}) \mathrm{NH}-$ and $\mathrm{HO}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}$-NH-.
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