Enhancement of image quality with scatter correction for Cone Beam CT
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ABSTRACT

The scattering phenomenon has an important influence on the reconstructed image in Cone Beam CT (CBCT) imaging systems, and is a long-lasting research topic on CBCT. Focusing on the scatter artifacts in the cone beam CT system, we proposed a powerful scatter correction method using a scatter detecting blocker (SDB) between the X-ray source and the tested object, and then deduced the scatter correction algorithm based on the Beer theorem. The result shows that our proposed method can effectively reduce the scatter artifacts and increase the quality of the reconstructed slice image. Our approach reduce the magnitude of cupping from 42.3% to 1.3% and increase the CNR from 1.75 to 2.35. This method is computationally efficient, easy to implement, and provides scatter correction using a single scan acquisition without the loss of real-time imaging capabilities.
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INTRODUCTION

An x-ray system with a large area detector, as commonly used for cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), is more susceptible to scatter-related artifacts. To alleviate this problem, various correction methods using software-based[1-6], hardware-based[7-11], or combined hybrid approaches[13-14] have been proposed in the literature. Approaches proposed to estimate the spatial distribution of scatter on the detector plane includes model-based analytical calculation[15-16] and Monte Carlo simulation[17]. Generally speaking, the model in the analytical scheme is usually regular in geometry and homogeneous in radiation properties[15], and thus the estimated scatter may not reflect the real situation. Collimator- and blocker-shaded schemes are based on the fact that scatter distribution in space is rather smooth so that it could be reconstructed by knowing the scatter in some regions. However, other types of noise and artifacts in the imaging system could cause difficulty in estimating the algorithm parameters for reconstruction and thus resulting in either under- or overcorrection of scatter, although the difficulty can be somewhat alleviated by adding more shaded regions. Scatter estimation from Monte Carlo simulation is accurate if the information on the scattering medium is known from, for example, computed tomography CT. However, formidably heavy computation in Monte Carlo scatter estimation hinders its real life applications. So in this paper, we propose an improved method to correct for scatter and gain information on the scattering object at the same time.

METHOD

The system geometry and implementation

Figure 1 shows the system geometry with the insertion of the SDB. In this new scatter correction method, a SDB was added between the X-ray source and the tested object. In addition, the method was evaluated on tabletop CBCT systems.

![Figure 1: Geometric configuration of the x-ray system with the insertion of the SDB.](image)

A 130 mm×130 mm SDB with 30×30 holes made by aluminium is shown in Figure 2, which was designed to investigate the impact of SDB on the scatter correction performance. The diameter of the circular hole is 2 mm, and the distance between the two hole’s center is 4mm. The base thickness of the SDB is 2 mm. As in Figure 1, the SDB is placed between the object and the X-ray source. In our experiments, the distance between X-ray source and the object is 1000 mm, between X-ray source and the SDB is 230 mm, while between X-ray source and detector is 1400 mm.

![Figure 2: The SDB. Unit: mm](image)

The scatter correction algorithm for the modified system with the insertion of the SDB is divided into the following steps, embedded in the conventional image processing stage of x-ray CT:

Step 1 Do two air pre-scans with and without the SDB, and estimate the blocker hole shadow position.
Step 2 Process the air scan image with the SDB used to obtain an attenuation coefficient $\beta$, which is the ratio of the scan image with and without SDB.
Step 3 Acquire projection images of the object with the SDB in place.
Step 4 For each projection image:
Step 4.1 downsample the projection $P$ at the centers of the blocker hole shadows;
Step 4.2 using the border upon blocker shadows as $C_1$ and $C_2$ which is defined in Section II.B, and then computer the scatter fluence $S$.
Step 4.3 upsample the scatter fluence $S$ using cubic interpolation; this provides an estimate of the whole-field scatter estimate $S'$. 
Step 4.4 do subtraction $P - S$
Step 4.5 weight it by matrix $\beta$.
Step 5 Reconstruct using the processed projection images.

The algorithm of scatter estimation

As shown in Figure 3, the SDB is placed between the object and the X-ray source. $I_0$ is the fluence of the incident X-ray. $S_1, S_2$ are the scatter fluence through the object. After the attenuation of the SDB, the photons fluence are $I_{11}, I_{21}, I_{12}, I_{22}$ are the photons fluence through the object. $C_1, C_2$ are the measured fluence which arrive in the detector ($C_1 = I_1 + S_1$, $C_2 = I_2 + S_2$).

![Figure 3: Scatter correction algorithm diagram](image)

Figure 3: Scatter correction algorithm diagram

The BEER theorem is given by:

$$I_{11} = I_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$I_{21} = I_0 e^{-u_d},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

here $d = 2mm$ is the thickness of the SDB and $u_d$ is the attenuation coefficient of the x-ray beam in the SDB. As the low-frequency components still dominate in the scatter distribution, so $S_2 = S_1$ in a small area.

$$I_{22} = C_2 - S_2 = I_{21} e^{-u_d l},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$I_{12} = C_1 - S_1 = I_{11} e^{-u_d l'},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

here $l$ and $l'$ are the thicknesses of the object. $u_2$ and $u_2'$ are the attenuation coefficient of the x-ray beam in the object. To this problem, we noticed that the projections of most objects vary continuously in most space. That is to say, we could find some shaded pixels and their adjacent without-shade pixels which have the nearly identical $u_2$ and $u_2'$. In the same way, $l$ and $l'$ are subequal.

Dividing (3) by (4), we can get:
\[ I_{21} = \frac{C_2 - S_2}{C_1 - S_1} \]

Substituting (1) and (2) into (5), we obtain:

\[ e^{-\mu d} = \frac{C_2 - S_2}{C_1 - S_1}, \]

(6)

\[ S_1 = S_2 = \frac{C_2 - C_1 e^{-\mu d}}{1 - e^{-\mu d}} \]

(7)

**Evaluation of scatter correction**

Quantitative image quality parameters were analysed according to Ref.\[18\]. For the Contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) two regions of interest (ROIs) at the same radius of the contrast-and-resolution phantom were analysed. The measured (denoted by the subscript M) mean values \( (u_{M,1}, u_{M,2}) \) and the standard deviations \( (\sigma_{M,1}, \sigma_{M,2}) \). The voxel noise \( \sigma_M \) is calculated as \( \sigma_M = (\sigma_{M,1} + \sigma_{M,2}) / 2 \) and the CNR was calculated as \( \text{CNR} = |u_{M,1} - u_{M,2}| / \sigma_M \).

The magnitude of cupping \( \tau_{\text{cup}} = 100(u_{M,\text{edge}} - u_{M,\text{center}}) / u_{M,\text{edge}} \) was extracted in terms of voxel values at the center \( u_{M,\text{center}} \) and edge \( u_{M,\text{edge}} \) of the water phantom.

The error of the CT number in the ROIs was calculated as the square root of the mean square error RMSE, defined as

\[ E_{\text{RMSE}} = \sqrt{\text{mean}[(\mu_i - \mu)的同时]}, \]

where \( i \) is the index of the ROI, \( \mu_i \) is the mean reconstructed value in HU inside the ROI, and \( \mu \) is the corresponding value measured in the ground-truth image.

**EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS**

**The tabletop CBCT systems and the SDB**

The system parameters of the tabletop CBCT systems (figure 4) used in this work are summarized in TABLE 1. This system had geometries that were conceptually equivalent to that of clinical CBCT systems, except that the phantom was rotated, which provided an ideal circular trajectory. No bow-tie filter or anti-scatter grid was used on this system. We mounted the SDB on the outside surface of the collimator.

**TABLE 1 : Imaging parameters of the physical experiments.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>System Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-ray focal spot</td>
<td>0.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray energy</td>
<td>120 kV, 75 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector size</td>
<td>TOSHIBA FXD4343R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source-to-imager distance</td>
<td>430×439 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source-to-object center distance</td>
<td>1400 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source-to-SDB distance</td>
<td>230 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-scatter grid</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bow-tie filter</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experiments on Phantom I

Phantom I is a 15mm iron wall plus 90mm-diameter aluminium cylinder. Figure 5 show the reconstructed images. As in Figure 5(a), the image distortion (cupping etc.) due to the scatter is obvious in the reconstructed images without scatter correction. The fan beam reconstruction shown in Figure 5(b) is used as the reference. The scatter artifacts are greatly reduced in the images using the proposed method, which can be seen in the Figure 5(c).

The 1D horizontal profile with and without scatter signals can be seen in Figure 6. As in the figure, a reduction in the cupping artifacts when the image is corrected for scatter radiation is observed. For a quantitative analysis of the reconstructed images, we measured the CNR and the magnitude of cupping in Figure 5(a). The results can be found in TABLE 2. Our approach increase the CNR from 1.75 to 2.35 and reduce the $r_{cup}$ from 42.3% to 1.3%. As show in TABLE 2, these artifacts are significantly suppressed when the proposed method is used with the SDB.

Figure 5: Image reconstructions of the phantom. (a) CBCT without scatter correction; (b) CT acquired in fan beam geometry; (c) scatter correction using the SDB method.

Figure 6: The 1D horizontal profile of the measured and estimated scatter signals on the phantom: The column is the profiles of the projection images which is at row 256 in Figure 4.
TABLE 2: Quantitative image quality investigation for the different modalities: CBCT without scatter correction (CBCT NONE), scatter correction using the SDB method (CBCT SC), fan beam geometry (FBCT). CNR and $\tau_{\text{cup}}$ are taken from the phantom.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{cup}}$ in [%]</th>
<th>Average CNR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBCT NONE</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBCT</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBCT SC</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experiments on Phantom II:

The phantom II is a 16cm×40cm×40cm cuboid solid water phantom. In this experiments, we only testify that SDB method can work well on projected image. As show in TABLE 3, Our approach reduce the $\tau_{\text{cup}}$ from 57.1% to 11.2% and can walk as well as Beam Stop Array (BSA) method which need two scan for one projected image.

![Projected image of the phantom II](image)

Figure7: Projected image of the phantom II. (a) CBCT without scatter correction; (b) BSA method; (c) scatter correction using the method. The selected as ROIs for CNR show in (a).

TABLE 3: Quantitative image quality investigation for the different modalities: CBCT without scatter correction (CBCT NONE), CBCT with scatter correction (CBCT SC), fan beam geometry (FBCT). CNR and $\tau_{\text{cup}}$ are taken from the ROIs of the phantom II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>$E_{\text{RMS}}$ (edge)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{RMS}}$ (center)</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{cup}}$ in [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB_NONE</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB_SC</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, the improved scatter correction algorithm using SDB is evaluated using physical experiments on the phantom. The result shows that our algorithm produces substantial image quality improvements. Firstly, the proposed method reduces contrast-to-noise ratio of CBCT. Secondly, the magnitude of cupping is greatly descended. Our method easy to implement and without the loss of real-time imaging capabilities, which makes treatment planning using CBCT images a viable option.
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