ISSN: 0974 - 7486

Volume 14 Issue 12



Materials Science An Indian Journal FUIN Paper

MSAIJ, 14(12), 2016 [514-526]

Electrochemical corrosion behavior, hardness andthermal properties of copper based alloys

Abu Bakr El-Bediwi^{1*}, S.Bader¹, Zuhor Farag² ¹Metal Physics Lab., Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, (EGYPT) ²Faculty of Education Janzor, University of Tripoli, (LIBYA) E-mail: baker_elbediwi@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Modified copper (high copper based alloys) are used in structural parts and friction materials. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of adding small amount of Al, Ge, Mn, Ni and Sn on microstructure, electrochemical corrosion behavior, hardness and thermal properties of copper. Crystal size of Cu decreased but lattice microstrain increasedafter adding small amount of Al, Ge, Mn, Ni. Corrosion resistance of Cu decreased after adding Al, Ge, Mn, Ni and Sn. Nickel, Germanium and tin have a high lattice microstrain and lowest corrosion rate. Differential scanning calorimeter shows a change in endothermal peak of copper based alloys which correlated to alloy composition and that is agreed with x-ray and scanning electron microscope analysis. A significant increase in copper strength after adding small amount of Al, Ge, Mn, Ni and Sn especially Sn element.

© 2016 Trade Science Inc. - INDIA

INTRODUCTION

Copper alloys are metal alloys that have copper as their principal component. In modern industry there are many different types of copper and copper alloy are used and their compositions roughly grouped into the categories: copper, high copper alloy, brasses, bronzes, copper nickels, coppernickel-zinc, leaded copper and special alloys. High copper alloys contain small amounts of various alloying elements such as beryllium, chromium, zirconium, tin, silver, sulfur or iron. These elements modify one or more of the basic properties of copper, such as strength, creep resistance, machinability or weldability. Most of the uses are similar to

KEYWORDS

Corrosion rate; Hardness: Lattice microstrain; Microstructure: Copper alloys.

those given above for coppers, but the conditions of application are more extreme. The influence of heat treatment on its shape-memory effect of Cu-Zn-Al ternary alloy was examined^[1]. The shape-memory effect is strongly influenced by many heat-treatment parameters, such as betatizing temperature, betatizing duration and rate of quenching. Various additions showed effective on grain refinement of different copper alloys. For bronzes and gun metals, 0.3% Zr with C and or N in the absence of sulfur, 0.2% Ti with 0.03% B and 0.1% Fe or Co with 0.03% B are effective. Zirconium effective in tin bronzes and red brass but it is not silicon bronze. Although sulfur destroys the grain refining effect of zirconium and it could be recovered by adding magnesium^[2]. Adding



0.05% Zr or 1% iron is effective for gun metals and red brass. Iron was effective as grain refiner for aluminum and manganese bronzes. Iron-free aluminum bronzes and beta brasses can be refined by a combination of 0.03% Zr and 0.02% B^[3]. Zirconium (0.3%) and boron (0.02%) together were effective in Fefree beta brass^[4]. Adding hard particles such as SiC, Al_2O_3 , TiB₂ and TiC significantly improve the mechanical properties of copper matrix materials while maintaining high electrical and thermal conductivity^[5-7]. The combination of ceramic particles as reinforcement may also enhance the high temperature mechanical properties, oxidation and wear resistance, without severe worsening of thermal and electrical conductivity of the copper matrix. Low price Al₂O₂ particles have mostly selected as the reinforcement phase^[8,9]. Also the uniform distribution of Al₂O₃ particles can be accomplished by the process of internal oxidation^[10, 11] or by mechanical alloying^{[12,} ^{13]}. Pure copperis used in the electrical and the electronics industries because of its excellent electrical and thermal conductivities. The aim of our research was to improve some physical properties (such as strength, creep resistance, machinability or weldability) by adding alloying elements such as aluminum, tin, nickel, manganese and germanium with minor concentration. This research is important because modified copper (high copper based alloys) are used in structural parts and friction materials.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Commercial bare of copper sheet (purity 99.9%) and $Cu_{98}Sn_2$ (98 wt. % Cu and 2wt. % Sn), $Cu_{98}Al_2$ (98 wt. % Cu and 2 wt. % Al), $Cu_{98}Ni_2$ (98 wt. % Cu and 2 wt. % Ni), $Cu_{98}Mn_2$ (98 wt. % Cu and 2 wt. % Mn) and $Cu_{98}Ge_2$ (98 wt. % Cu and 2 wt. % Ge) alloys were provided via the European Copper Institute, Brussels. The bare samples were cut to suiTABLE dimensions for different measurements. Microstructure of used samples was studied using a Shimadzu x–ray diffractometer (Dx–30, Japan)and scanning electron microscope(JEOL JSM-6510LV, Japan). A digital Vickers micro-hardness tester, (Model-FM-7- Japan), was used to measure Vickers hardness values of used alloys. The polarization studies were performed using Gamry Potentiostat/ Galvanostat with a Gamry framework system based on ESA 300. Gamry applications include software DC105 for corrosion measurements, and Echem Analyst version 5.5 softwarepackages for data fitting. The working electrode was immersed in the acid solution and the constant steady-state (open circuit) potential was recorded when it became virtually constant. The polarization studies were carried out over a potential of +250 to -250 mV with respect to the open circuit potential at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. The linear Tafel segments of the anodic and cathodic curves were extrapolated to obtain corrosion potential (E_{corr}) and corrosion current density (j_{corr}) . DSC measurements above room temperature of used samples were performed using SDT Q600 (V20.9 Build 20) instrument, U.S.A.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microstructure analysis

X-ray diffraction analysis

X-ray diffraction patterns of Cu metal, $Cu_{98}Ge_{2}$, $Cu_{98}Mn_{2}$, $Cu_{98}Al_{2}$, $Cu_{98}Sn_{2}$, and $Cu_{98}Ni_{2}$ alloys have lines corresponding to Cu face centered cubic with Cu₂O(Copper contain Oxygen content, Oxygen is almost insoluble in Cu and forms Cu_2O or and intermetallic phase contain Cu and one of alloying elements as shown in Figure 1 (a, b, c, d, e and f). That is meant that, some atoms of Sn or Al or Ni or Mn or Ge dissolved in Cu matrix and other atoms formed CuSn or CuAl or CuNi or CuMn or CuGe intermediate phase with different compositions. The details of x-ray analysis for Cu metal, $Cu_{98}Ge_2$, $Cu_{98}Mn_2$, $Cu_{98}Al_2$, $Cu_{98}Sn_2$ and Cu_{os}Ni₂alloys are listed in TABLE 1 (a, b, c, d, e and f). From these analyses it is obvious that, small amounts of an alloying element added to molten copper will completely dissolve and form a homogeneous microstructure (a single phase). But at some point, additional amounts of the alloying element will not dissolve; the exact amount is dependent on the solid solubility of the particular element in copper. When that solid solubility limit is exceeded, two distinct microstructures form with different composi-













TABLE (1a)) : X-ra	y analysis	of pure Cu
------------	----------	------------	------------

20	d Å	Int. %	FWHM	Area	Phase	hkl
36.1944	2.48185	5.79	0.7085	1 20.84	Cu ₂ O	111
43.2549	2.0917	100	0.1378	405.82	Cu	111
50.3991	1.81068	57.17	0.3346	563.46	Cu	200
61.3214	1.51178	0.87	0.7872	20.16	Cu ₂ O	220
74.1106	1.27832	87.15	0.288	999.41	Cu	220

tions. Lattice parameters, (a and c), unit volume cell and crystal size of Cu phase in Cu metal and $Cu_{98}X_2$

Full Paper

	T	ABLE (1b) : X-ra	ay analysis of Cu ₉₈	Ge ₂ alloy		
20	d Å	Int. %	FWHM	Area	Phase	h
36.3984	2.46841	1.1	0.6298	24.63	Cu ₂ O	11
43.2646	2.09125	100	0.4133	1466.42	Cu	11
50.3247	1.81318	44.82	0.3936	625.92	Cu	20
73.8939	1.28153	17.7	0.288	244.46	Cu	22
	Т	ABLE (1c) : X-ra	y analysis of Cu ₉₈ N	Mn ₂ alloy		
2θ	d Å	Int. %	FWHM	Area	Phase	hl
34.846	2.57473	1.07	0.6298	21.53	CuMn	
36.3915	2.46886	1.62	0.4723	24.36	Cu_2O	11
40.6248	2.22083	1.53	0.4723	23.05	CuMn	
43.1918	2.09461	22.48	0.2362	169.49	Cu	11
50.349	1.81236	100	0.3936	1256.72	Cu	20
58.7363	1.572	1.2	0.551	21.08	CuMn	
61.2382	1.51364	0.33	0.9446	10.05	Cu_2O	22
73.976	1.28138	58.47	0.2952	551.07	Cu	20
89.7605	1.09165	20.42	0.264	232.64	Cu	31
	Т	ABLE (1d) : X-r	ay analysis of Cu ₉₈	Al ₂ alloy		
2θ	d Å	Int. %	FWHM	Area	Phase	h
36.25	2.47817	0.28	0.09	0.6	Cu ₂ O	11
43.163	2.09594	100	0.3149	1111.47	Cu	11
50.2148	1.81689	61.4	0.3346	725.1	Cu	20
73.7701	1.28444	64.9	0.2952	676.3	Cu	22
89.5338	1.09383	34.91	0.336	559.64	Cu	31
	Т	ABLE (1e) : X-ra	ay analysis of Cu ₉₈	Sn ₂ alloy		
20	d Å	Int. %	FWHM	Area	phase	h
36.3087	2.4743	1.73	0.6298	33.31	Cu ₂ O	11
42.954	2.10565	86.92	0.3346	891.61	Cu	11
49.9872	1.82463	87.16	0.3149	841.44	Cu	20
61.2923	1.51243	0.66	0.9446	19.24	Cu ₂ O	22
73.4219	1.28967	100	0.3346	1025.78	Cu	22
89.0202	1.09971	35.27	0.2558	276.66	Cu	31
89.3947	1.09517	18.9	0.216	169.16	Cu	31
	r	TABLE (1f) : X-r	ay analysis ofCu ₉₈	Ni ₂ alloy		
20	d Å	Int. %	FWHM	Area	phase	hl
36.4602	2.46437	1.9	0.47 23	16.9	Cu ₂ O	11
43.357	2.08701	90.02	0.41 33	699.38	Cu	11
50.4938	1.80751	100	0.3149	591.92	Cu	20
74.1782	1.27838	69.83	0.2362	309.99	Cu	22

(Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni)alloys were deter-

 \mathbf{C}

 $4\tan\theta$ as shown in Figure (1h), the induced internal mined and then listed in TABLE (1g). Also from the lattice microstrain of Cu metal and $Cu_{98}X_2$ (Ge or relation between full width half maximum (β) and Mn or Al or Sn or Ni)alloyswere calculated and

<u> </u>				
Alloys	a Å	V Å ³	τÅ	
Pure Cu	4.299	79.434	409	
$Cu_{98}Ge_2$	3.622	47.523	258	
$Cu_{98}Mn_2$	4.442	87.627	289	
$Cu_{98}Al_2$	4.292	79.082	301	
$Cu_{98}Sn_2$	4.286	78.712	357	
	3.615	47.234	357	

TABLE (1g) : Lattice parameter, unit cell volume and crystal size of $Cu_{98}X_2$ alloys



Alloys	Lattice strain (ε) × 10 ⁻⁴	
Pure Cu	7	
$Cu_{98}Ge_2$	28	
$Cu_{98}Mn_2$	11	
$Cu_{98}Al_2$	9	
$Cu_{98}Sn_2$	19	
$Cu_{98}Ni_2$	24	





Scanning electron micrographs and EDX analysis

Figure 2(a- f) shows scanning electron micrographs, SEM, and EDX of Cu metal and $Cu_{98}X_2$ (Ge





Figure (2a) : SEM and EDX of pure copper



Figure (2b) : SEM and EDX of Cu₉₈Ge₂ alloy







C







Figure (2e) : SEM and EDX of Cu₉₈Sn₂ alloy



Figure (2f) : SEM and EDX of Cu₉₈Ni₂ alloy

or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni) alloyswhich have heterogeneity structure. Figure (2b) shows germanium-copper/ or germanium grains disturbed in Cu matrix as different rod size, shape and orientation with small grains and strips. But EDX analysis show Cu, O, C and Ge atoms appeared in $Cu_{98}Ge_2$ alloy. Manga-

Moterials Science An Indian Journal

$ofCu_{98}X_2$ alloys		
Alloys	H _v kg/mm ²	$\mu_n \text{ kg/mm}^2$
Pure Cu	28.475±1.78	9.4
$Cu_{98}Ge_2$	43.55±5.5	14.37
$Cu_{98}Mn_2$	44.475±3.17	14.68
$Cu_{98}Al_2$	50.55±6.63	16.68
$Cu_{98}Sn_2$	69.675±5.58	22.99
Cu ₉₈ Ni ₂	45±0.5	14.85

 TABLE 2 : Vickers hardness and maximum shear stress

 ofCu₉₈X₂ alloys

nese-copper/ or Manganese grains disturbed in Cu matrix as homogenous narrow dendritic e with small grains ~0.5 μ m. But EDX analysis show Cu, O, C and Mn atoms appeared in Cu₉₈Mn₂ alloy as shown

in Figure (2c). Figure (2d) shows aluminum-copper/ or aluminum grains disturbed in Cu matrix as circular shape like dendrite with different size from ~1 μ m to 20 μ m and strip with ~ 10 μ m. But EDX analysis show Cu, O, C and Al atoms appeared in Cu₉₈Al₂ alloy. Tin-copper/ or tin grains disturbed in Cu matrix at different position with different size from ~1 μ m to 3 μ m and dendrite shape with ~12 μ m and strip with ~ 1 μ m. But EDX analysis show Cu, O, C and Sn atoms appeared in Cu₉₈Sn₂ alloy as shown in Figure (2e). Figure (2f) shows nickel atoms disturbed in Cu matrix as dendrite shape with different grain size from ~ 3 μ m to 30 μ m and some non homogeneous nickel grain disturbed in matrix.



Figure 3 : Electrochemical polarization curves for Cu₉₈X₂ alloys

Materials Science An Indian Journal

Full Paper

Fall	Paper
0 300	

Alloys	-E _{corr} mV	I _{corr} µA cm ⁻²	C. R mpy
Pure Cu	293	5.89	2.994
$Cu_{98}Ge_2$	273	9.93	5.042
$Cu_{98}Mn_2$	509	60	30.47
$Cu_{98}Al_2$	454	46.6	23.68
$Cu_{98}Sn_2$	481	15.4	7.807
$Cu_{98}Ni_2$	296	8.71	4.424

TABLE 2 : Corrosion potential (E_{Corr}), corrosion current (I_{Corr}), and corrosion rate (C. R)ofCu₉₈X₂ alloys







Figure (4c) : DSC thermographofCu₉₈Mn₂ alloy

Also strip with ~ 15 μ m of Cu grains appeared. But EDX analysis show Cu, O, C and Ni atoms appeared in Cu₀₈Ni₂ alloy. These results analysis show that, microstructure of Cu metal, Cu₉₈Ge₂, Cu₉₈Mn₂, $Cu_{08}Al_{2}$, $Cu_{08}Sn_{2}$ and $Cu_{08}Ni_{2}$ alloys shows Cu matrix with other phases have different shape andorientation which agreed with x-ray results.

Vickers microhardness and minimum shear stress

The hardness is the property of material, which gives it the ability to resist being permanently deformed when a load is applied. Vickers hardness of $Cu_{\alpha \alpha}X_{\alpha}$ (Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni) alloys at 10 gram force and indentation time 5 sec are listed in TABLE (2). The maximum shear stress (μ_m) value of Cu₉₈X₂ (Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni) alloys was calculated using the equation $(1)^{[14]}$, where vis Poisson's ratio of the elements in the alloy and then listed in TABLE (2).

$$\mu_m = \frac{1}{2} H_{\nu} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2\nu) + \frac{2}{9} (1 + \nu) [2(1 + \nu)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}_{(1)}$$

The results show that, a significant change in Vickers hardness value of copper after adding Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni. That is because these alloying elements formed hard inclusion in Cu matrix with refinement crystal size of it.

Electrochemical corrosion behavior

Figure (3) shows electrochemical polarization curves of Cu metal and Cu_{os}X₂ (Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni) alloys in 0.25 M HCl. From these results, the corrosion potential of the Cu metal, and $Cu_{\infty}X_{\alpha}$ (Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni) alloysexhibited a negative potential. The cathodic and the anodic polarization curves also showed similar corrosion trends with a variation in shape and position. Cathodic and anodic polarization curves of Mn or Al or Sn have different feature compared to pure copper. The corrosion potential (E_{Corr}), corrosion current (I_{Corr}), and corrosion rate (C. R) of Cu metal and $Cu_{00}X_{2}$ (Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni) alloys in 0.25 M HCl are presented in TABLE (3). The results show that, the corrosion rate of Cu metal in 0.25 M HCl increased after adding Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni. That is because Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni content caused a heterogeneous microstructure (formed a solid solution with other traces of phases) which effected on microsegregation and reactivity of Cu and Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni atoms with HCl solution.

Thermal behavior

Thermal analysis is regularly used to study solid state transformations as well as solid-liquid reac-





tions. The magnitudes of thermal properties depend on the nature of solid phase and on its temperature. The differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermographs were obtained by SDT Q600 (V20.9 Build 20) with heating rate 10 °C /min in the temperature range 0- 1300 °C.

or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni)alloys are shown in Figure 4(a- f). From these graphs the melting point of Cu metal, and Cu₉₈X₂ (Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni) alloysare identified. Thermo-graph (Endothermal peak) of copper has variations in their shape and position. That means that, there is a change in copper matrix structure caused after adding Ge or Mn

DSC thermographs of Cu metal and Cu₉₈X₂ (Ge



or Al or Sn or Ni content and that is agreed with the x-ray diffraction and SEM analysis.

CONCLUSION

Lattice microstrain of copper increased but unit cell volume and crystal size decreased after adding Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni.

Vickers hardness value of copper increased after adding Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni.

Corrosion rate of copper increased after adding Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni.

The melting temperature and thermal behavior of copper changed after adding Ge or Mn or Al or Sn or Ni.

REFERENCES

- M.O.Lai, L.Lu, W.H.Lee; J.Mater.Sci., 31(6), 1537-1543 (1996).
- [2] A.Couture, J.O.Edwards; AFS Trans., 81, 453-361 (1973).
- [3] R.W.Ruddle; Modern Castings, 38, 81-86 (1960).

- [4] A.Cibula; J.Institute of Metals, 82, 513-523 (1953).
- [5] A.Chrysanthou, G.Erbaccio; J.Mat.Sci., 30, 6339– 6344 (1995).
- [6] Y.Z.Zhan, G.D.Zhang; Key Eng.Mat., 249, 227– 232 (2003).
- [7] K.Ichikawa, M.Achikita; Mat.Trans.JIM, 34, 718– 724 (1993).
- [8] R.H Palma, A.O.Sepulveda; Mat.Sci.Forum, **162**, 416-418 (**2003**).
- [9] B.Tiang, P.Liu, K.Song, Y.Li, F.Ren; Mat.Sci.Eng., A, 705, 435-436 (2006).
- [10] Rajković, D.Božić, A.Devečerski; J.Serb.Chem.Soc., 72, 45–53 (2007).
- [11] P.K.Jena, E.A.Brocchi, I.G.Solorzano, M.S.Motta; Mat.Sci.Eng., A, 371, 72–78 (2004).
- [12] J.H.Ahn, I.H.Song, Y.D.Hahn; Mat.Trans.JIM, 37, 733–737 (1996).
- [13] A.Mukhtar, D.L.Zhang, C.Kong, P.Munroe; IOP Conference Series, Mat.Sci.Eng., 4, 012005 (2009).
- [14] S.Timoshenko, J.N.Goddier; "Theory of elasticity, 2nd Ed", McGraw-Hill, New York, 277, (1951).

Materials Science An Indian Journal