

EFFECT OF SURFACTANT ON THE OXIDATION OF m-NITROPHENOL IN AQUEOUS ACETIC ACID MEDIUM BY CHLORAMINE-T : A KINETIC STUDY

GEETHA SARASAN^{*}

Department of Chemistry, Govt. Holkar Science College, INDORE (M.P) INDIA

ABSTRACT

Oxidation of m-Nitrophenol by Chloramine-T has been investigated both in the presence and absence of the surfactant, Cetyl pyridinium bromide (CPBr). The reaction could be studied only at a moderate concentration of acid (acetic acid). Kinetic investigation revealed that the order of the reaction with respect to Chloramine-T is one in the absence of Cetyl pyridinium bromide (CPBr). But in the presence of surfactant, the values of first order rate constant increases with increasing concentrations of Chloramine-T. It is observed that the rate has almost ten times acceleration in the presence of cetyl pyridinium bromide due to the catalysis by micelle. The order with respect to substrate (m-nitrophenol) is found to be zero in the absence of the surfactant and fractional in the presence of the surfactant. The plot of log k versus 1/T for various values of temperature is a straight line, which proved the validity of Arrhenius equation. Activation parameters like ΔE^* , ΔH^* , ΔS^* , ΔG^* , are evaluated and a plausible mechanism is proposed.

Key Words: Surfactants, Chloramine-T, Cetyl pyridinium bromide.

INTRODUCTION

N-halo amides are versatile oxidising agents and their reactivity is a consequence of their ability to act as sources of

- (a) Halonium cation with halogen in + 1 oxidation state
- (b) Hypohalite species, and
- (c) N-anions

Sodium derivative of N-chloro p-toluene sulphonamide (Chloramine-T)¹⁻⁸ is a

^{*}Author for correspondence; E-mail: sarasan.geetha@yahoo.com

powerful oxidising agent and has been used for the estimation of several inorganic and organic compounds. The main reactive species between pH 3 and 11 are Ar-SO₂-NHCI, HOCl and OCI⁻. The Chloramine-T system has a high value of redox potential in acidic medium. Research on oxidation of phenols and substituted phenols by various oxidants have been reported⁹⁻¹¹. Oxidation of m-nitrophenol by CAT has been studied kinetically both in the presence and absence of the surfactant cetyl pyridinium bromide (CPBr). Cetyl pyridinium bromide is selected as a surfactant because only a very few study has been undergone in the field of micellar catalysis by cetyl pyridinium bromide. The micelles formed from cetyl pyridinium bromide plays a vital role in this oxidation reaction. Generally micelles play an indispensable role in various sytems like living systems¹²⁻²³. In this case even though before CMC, due to the formation of pre-micellar agregates the rate of reaction enhances almost ten times as that without the surfactant. Here, Chloramine-T and m-nitrophenol interact in a molar ratio of 2:1 both in the presnece and absence of cetyl pyridinium bromide (CPBr) in aqueous acetic acid medium. The reaction follows a first order kinetics in chloramine-T and zero order in m-nitrophenol in the absence of CPBr.

EXPERIMENTAL

All chemicals used were AR or GR level (grade). The reaction has been investigated in 10% acetic acid medium. Before using acetic acid, it was distilled. The reaction was conducted in brown bottles. Solution of chloramine-T was stored in bottles covered with black paper. Ostwald's isolation method is used to investigate the reaction. Effect of a particular reactant is studied by varying its concentration keeping the concentration of all other reactants constant. The reaction is started with the addition of thermostated solution of chloramine-T to the thermostated solution of mixture of the other reactants. The reaction was monitored by estimating the unreacted chloramine-T iodometrically. The first order rate constant values obtained are reproducible within $\pm 5\%$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of [Chloramine-T] on the reaction rate

It is observed that the initial concentration of chloramine-T does not affect the rate of the reaction. The plot of k values versus concentration of [Chloramine-T] is a straight line graph parallel to X-axis (Series-1 in Fig. 1) showing the first order dependence of the reaction with respect to [Chloramine-T]. By the addition of surfactant, the reaction rate

increases due to the catalysis by micelles formed from the surfactant, cetyl pyridinium bromide $(CPBr)^{24}$ (Series-2 in Fig 1).

[CAT] x 10 ⁻⁴ mol dm ⁻³	k x 10 ⁻⁵ sec ⁻¹ (in the absence of CPBr)	k x 10 ⁻⁵ sec ⁻¹ (in the presence of CPBr)
2.50	8.70	90.78
3.75	8.75	95.12
5.00	8.83	98.27
6.25	9.05	100.70
7.50	9.10	102.53

Table 1: Effect of [Chloramine-T]



Fig. 1: Effect of [Chloramine-T]

Effect of [m-Nitrophenol]

The rate of the reaction does not depend on the initial concentration of m-nitrophenol in the absense of the surfactant (Table 2) but in the presence of the surfactant the rate increases with the increase in concentration of m-nitrophenol (Table 2). The plot of rate constant values versus [m-Nitrophenol] is a straight line shown as in Series-1 and Series-2 in Fig. 2. The order of the reaction with respect to m-nitrophenol is zero²⁵⁻²⁷ in the absence of the surfactant and fractional in the presence of the surfactant.

[m-Nitrophenol] x 10 ⁻³ mol.dm ⁻³	k x 10 ⁻⁵ sec ⁻¹ (in the absence of CPBr)	k x 10 ⁻⁵ sec ⁻¹ (in the presence of CPBr)
2.50	8.33	79.00
3.125	8.70	90.00
5.00	9.42	105.00
7.50	10.00	120.00
10.0	10.85	130.00

Table 2: Effect of [m-Nitrophenol]



Fig. 2: Effect of [m-Nitrophenol]

Effect of [Acetic acid] on the reaction rate

The effect of acetic acid is retarding²⁸ in both the cases studied i.e. as we increase the acid strength the rate of the reaction decreases to a minimum and then remains constant with the further addition of acid (Table 3 and Fig. 3).

[Acetic acid] % v/v	k x 10 ⁻⁵ sec ⁻¹ (in the absence of CPBr)	k x 10 ⁻⁵ sec ⁻¹ (in the presence of CPBr)
5	17.00	152.00
10	8.70	90.78
15	6.02	50.30
20	5.03	42.02
25	3.68	35.00



Fig. 3: Effect of [Acetic acid]

Effect of surfactant

The rate of the reaction has an interesting acceleration in the presence of the surfactant, cetyl pyridinium bromide (CPBr). Upto a concentration of 3.75×10^{-4} mol.dm⁻³, the rate of acceleration is moderate and above that the acceleration of reaction rate is very rapid showing the micellar activity of the surfactant (Table 4). The plot of rate constant values versus [CPBr] is given in Fig. 4. The accelerating activity of the surfactant below the CMC value is due to the formation of pre-micellar aggregates²⁹⁻³¹.

[CPBr] x 10 ⁻⁴ mol.dm ⁻³	k x 10 ⁻⁵ sec ⁻¹
0.0	8.70
1.25	22.32
2.5	57.69
3.75	90.78
5.0	201.10
6.25	364.80

Table 4:	Effect	of S	urfac	tant
----------	--------	------	-------	------



Fig. 4: Effect of surfactant

Effect of temperature

Effect of temperature has been studied for both the cases mentioned (Table 5). The activation parameters evaluated are given in Table 6. In presence of surfactant, the energy of activation is less than that in the absence of the surfactant.

The validity of Arrhenius plot has been verified by plotting log k, versus reciprocal of temperature (Fig. 5). In the absence of surfactant, the effect of temperature is more.

Temp. (k)	1/Temp.	K ₁ x 10 ⁻⁵ sec ⁻¹ (In the absence of CPBr)	$5 + Log k_1$	k x 10 ⁻⁵ sec ⁻¹ (in the presence of CPBr)	5 + Log k
303	0.0033	5.20	0.7100	27.41	1.4380
308	0.00325	6.85	0.8357	40.60	1.6085
313	0.0032	8.70	0.9395	57.69	1.7618
318	0.003145	10.40	1.0170	85.52	1.9221
323	0.0031	12.20	1.0864	111.00	2.0453

Table 5: Effect of temperature



Fig. 5: Effect of temperature

Table 6: Activation para	ameters
--------------------------	---------

Activation parameters	In the presence of CPBr	In the absence of CPBr
$\Delta E^*(KJ mol^{-1})$	58.69	40.53
$\Delta H^*(KJmol^{-1})$	56.08	37.98
$\Delta S^*(JK^{-1}mol^{-1})$	-123.5	-197.1
$\Delta G^*(KJ \text{ mol}^{-1})$	94.74	99.53

Stoichiometry

It is observed that two molecules of chloramine-T reacts with one molecule of m-nitrophenol. The final product is found to be the corresponding chloro substituted m-nitrophenol.

 $2 \text{ CH}_3\text{-}C_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_2\text{NNaCl} + \text{R}\text{-}C_6\text{H}_4\text{OH} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2 \text{ CH}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_2\text{NH}_2 + \text{R}\text{-}C_6\text{ClH}_3\text{OH} + 2 \text{ Na}^+ + \text{Cl}^-(\text{Where } \text{R} = \text{NO}_2)$

MECHANISM

From the results of experimental work lead to the following reaction mechanism, which is much probable and well consistent with the experimental data.

Case – 1

$$CAT + S \stackrel{K}{\longleftrightarrow} X \text{ (where' S ' is m-Nitrophenol)}$$

$$X \stackrel{K_w}{\longrightarrow} X' \text{ (Slow and rate determining step)}$$

$$X' + n CAT \longrightarrow Products$$
Therefore the reaction rate is -
$$-d[CAT] / dt = K_w [X]$$

$$= K_w k [CAT] [S] / 1 + k [S]$$

Where K_w is the rate constant in the absence of the surfactant.

Case – II : Oxidation in the presence of the surfactant.

$$n D \implies D_n$$
$$D_n + S \implies Kd = D_nS$$

Where D_nS is the micelle associated with substrate

$$D_{n}S + CAT \xrightarrow{K_{S}} X^{"} \quad (Slow)$$

$$X^{"} + n CAT \longrightarrow Products \quad (Fast)$$

$$Total rate, \frac{-d[CAT]}{dt} = \frac{kw k [CAT][S]}{1 + k [S]} + \sum Ks [DnS] [CAT]$$

Where Ks is the rate constant in the presence of the micelle .

By doing appropriate approximation and rearrangement, the overall rate expression is – $% \left({{{\mathbf{x}}_{i}}} \right)$

$$K_{ohs} = \frac{-d[CAT]}{dt} = \frac{K_w K_s K_d [D_n]}{1 + K_d [D_n]}$$

which is applicable to both the cases, i.e., in the absence and presence of the surfactant.

REFERENCES

- 1. S. Jain, M. Bakhru and R. Nagwanshi, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 88, 963 (2011).
- 2. P. S. Radhakrishnamurthy and S. C. Patil, Indian J. Chem., 16A, 541 (1978).
- 3. K. Selvaraj, V. Venkateshwara and Ramarajan, Indian J. Chem., 36A, 328 (1997).
- 4. Puttaswamy and D. S. Mahadevappa, Indian J. Chem., **32A**, 409 (1993).
- 5. V. Sukla and S. K. Upayaya, Indian J. Chem., **47A**, 1032 (2008).
- 6. B. Pare, A. Manisha and S. V. Jonnalagadda, Indian J. Chem., 47A, 1222 (2008).
- 7. Puttaswamy and N. Suresh, Indian J. Chem., 47A, 1649 (2008).
- 8. K. Mohan and M. B. Jagadiseesh, Indian J. Chem., 48A, 339 (2009).
- 9. V. P. Raut and P. S. Jassal J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 88, 813 (2011).
- 10. B. S. Moodithaya and B. T. Gowda J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 79 (2002).
- 11. S. Zhao, X. Wang and M. Huo, Applied Catalysis B : Environmental, **97**, 1-2, 127 (2010).
- 12. N. Dubey, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 88, 387 (2011).
- 13. J. Liu, Y. Weiping and T. Lo, Electrinic J. Biotechnol., 2, 2 (1999).
- 14. Hernawan, B. Purloono and T. D. Wahyunngrsih, Int. J. Engg. & Technol., **12**, 1 (2012).
- 15. E. P. Zhultosa, L. A. Kudryavtseva and R. A Shagidilina, Russian Chem. Bulletin, **48**, 2, 274 (1999).
- L. Zhang, W. Zhao and Z. Ma, G. Nie Yuanchen Cui, European Polymer J., 48, 3, 580 (2012).
- 17. Birendrakumar and Kallol K. Gosh, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 88, 193 (2011).
- 18. M. Sarkar and P. Majumdar J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 88, 537 (2011)
- 19. R. Bayen, M. Islam and A. K. Das, Ind. J. Chem., 48A, 1055 (2009).
- 20. A. P. Mishra, K. Raj and R. P. Ravi, Ind. J. Chem., 48A, 1228 (2009).
- 21. C. Snigda and I. Kochi, Ind. J. Chem., **48A**, 775 (2009).
- 22. M. K. Niyaz and I. Emran, Ind. J. Chem., **48A**, 781 (2009).
- 23. P. K.Gupta, Shipra and N. R. Dhamiwal, Ind. J. Chem., 47A, 1384 (2008).

- 24. Puttaswamy and A. Sukhdev, Ind. J. Chem., **48A**, 339 (2009).
- 25. P. S. Radhakrishnamurti and B. M. Sasmal, Ind. J. Chem., 16A, 884 (1978).
- 26. K. L. Mittal and B. Lindman, Surfactants in Solution, Plenum Press, New York, 2, 1015 (1984).
- 27. G. Sarasan, J. Ind. Council of Chem., 24, 79 (2007).
- 28. E. S. Amis, Solvent Effect on Reaction Rate and Mechanism, Academic Press, New York and London, Ch., **2** (1966) p. 42.
- 29. J. C. Bruce, J. Katzhendler and L. Fedor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 1333 (1968).
- 30. B. Pare, V. W. Bhagwat and V. R. Shashtry, Asian J. Chem., 13, 1518 (2001).
- 31. G. Sarasan, Asian J. Chem., **15**, 133 (2003).

Accepted : 08.11.2012