Development of poly(vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene) films and its quasi-static and dynamic strain response
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ABSTRACT

Thin films of poly(vinylidene fluoride-trifluoroethylene) P(VDF-TrFE) were prepared using solvent cast method and characterized for structural, mechanical and surface morphological properties to investigate the presence of β-phase through X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, differential scanning calorimeter, Raman and Infrared spectra, and tensile testing. The conditions to achieve β-phase of P(VDF-TrFE) have been discussed in detail. Following the material characterization, the fabricated β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) sensors have been tested for dynamic strain sensing application. Time response from the β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) sensor due to the free vibration and impact on beam structure is obtained and is compared with β-phase PVDF sensor and conventional piezoelectric wafer type sensor. The variations in the frequency response spectra due to free vibration and impact loading conditions are also reported, which reveal the fact that the sensitivity of the β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) sensor to various modes of vibration is same as the β-phase PVDF sensor. The resonant and anti-resonant peaks in the frequency response of β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) films match well with that of β-phase PVDF sensor and Lead zirconate titanate (PZT) wafer sensors. Thus the fabricated β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) sensors can be effectively used as the dynamic strain sensor.

INTRODUCTION

Uniaxially oriented polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) is well known to exhibit appreciable ferroelectric, piezoelectric and pyroelectric behavior[11,18]. In addition copolymers of vinylidene fluoride with other ferroelectric polymers also show significant electroactive response[22]. P(VDF–TrFE) crystallizes into various crystal forms depending on their molar content ratios of VDF (x) and TrFE (1–x), and on crystallization conditions[9]. P(VDF-TrFE) shows excellent ferroelectric and piezoelectric properties for composition range of 55 to 85 mole% vinylidene fluoride content and have essentially a similar structure and confirmation as PVDF but with larger lattice parameters in the crystal a–b plane due to the extra fluorine atom on the TrFE monomers[10]. These copolymers also show increase in the Curie transition temperature as the vinylidene fluoride content increases.
They crystallize from the melt into a crystal form analogous to the polar phase I or β-phase of PVDF, characterized by an all-trans confirmation of the polymer chains, pseudo-hexagonal chain packaging and a polar unit cell. Therefore these polymers do not need stretching before poling. It has tremendous applications in electronic industry, such as soft transducers, infrared imaging, and compact capacitors and holds a promising future in the field of non-volatile memory\textsuperscript{[14]}. It also finds many applications in electromechanical devices, to perform energy conversion between the electric and mechanical forms such as artificial muscles, smart skins for drag reduction, actuators for active noise and vibration controls, and micro-fluidic systems for drug delivery and micro-reactors\textsuperscript{[6]}. One of the most unique applications of P(VDF-TrFE) is their utilization as active piezoelectric elements in self-powered, nanosecond time-resolved, dynamic stress gauges for the study of shock-wave compression phenomena\textsuperscript{[11]}. The films made by the Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) method are polycrystalline with chains parallel to the film, as revealed by X-ray diffraction and polarized infrared spectra, and seem to be without lamellar structure\textsuperscript{[17]}. X-ray diffraction studies showed that the films in ferroelectric phase (β-phase) with all-trans conformation have (110) orientation\textsuperscript{[20]} studied the phase transition and piezoelectric properties of P(VDF-TrFE). Further\textsuperscript{[16]}, reported the combination of P(VDF-TrFE) has been applicable to transducers, sensors, actuators, and high-density memories. But its electron emission capability under an applied field has been ignored. In this paper, thin films of P(VDF-TrFE) were prepared by solvent cast method and are characterized for structural, mechanical, surface and piezoelectric properties. The presence of β-phase is established by comparing the properties of films of β-phase PVDF polymer\textsuperscript{[21]} with those of P(VDF-TrFE) films. The performance of P(VDF-TrFE) films has also been evaluated by recording the vibration modes of cantilever beam and by comparing the response with that of PVDF and PZT wafer sensor.

**EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

The pellets of PVDF Figure 1(a) were procured from Pennwalt India Ltd and PTrFE polytrifluoroethylene Figure 1(b) were procured from Nikunj Eximp Enterprises India Pvt Ltd. The films of ~100 micrometer thickness were prepared using solvent cast method. PVDF and PTrFE were taken in the compositions of 80/20 mol%. Saturated solution of P(VDF-TrFE) is formed by dissolving pellets in dimethyl formamide and were cast on to a glass plate. The solution is evaporated in an oven to obtain a β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) film.

**CHARACTERIZATION OF P(VDF-TRFE) FILMS**

P(VDF-TrFE) are known to exhibit higher electroactivity than that of PVDF homopolymer due to higher abundance of the polymer all-trans conformation. Diffraction patterns were recorded with Rigaku D/max Ultima 2200 X-ray diffractometer using CuKα radiation with graphite monochromator to identify the
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 shows the P(VDF-TrFE) film with thickness ~100 μm obtained from solvent cast technique from PVDF and PTrFE pallets.

### X-ray diffraction

Figure 3 shows the X-ray diffraction of P(VDF-TrFE) film prepared by solvent cast method.

From the XRD pattern of P(VDF–TrFE), it is seen that the most intense reflection (110) of β-phase occurs at 2θ = 20°, which is in agreement with the value (2θ = 20°) calculated from the unit cell dimensions given by [7]. Apart from 2θ = 20°, peaks were also observed at 2θ values of 18.4° and 17.8°. This is consistent with the earlier observations of unstretched films exhibiting multiple peaks [19]. The probable cause, as reported, for these peaks were hexagonal packing of 3/1-helical chains generated due to the presence of TG and TG2 defects and similarly packed trans-planar chains.

From the XRD pattern, the crystallite size and micro strains were calculated using 2θ, full width at half maxima and intensity values [8]. It was found that crystallite size (coherently scattering domain size) = 23.86 nm and micro strain = 0.0075 for P(VDF-TrFE) films.

### Film microstructure

The micrographs features of α- and β-phase PVDF films are shown in the Figure 4(a) and 4(b). The α-phase film shows grain-like features measuring ~50 μm. The grain-like features disappear after hot-stretching the α-phase films as the PVDF film transforms to polar β-phase; surface shows oriented fibril-like structure [3]. Due to the long molecular chain structure of polymeric materials, a fully crystalline state is usually hard to obtain. This is consistent with the XRD and IR results. The molecular arrangement in P(VDF-TrFE) film is such that it is in β-phase without mechanical stretching Figure 5. The surface features of the PVDF films shows that grain-like features which are observed in α-phase, are not
Infrared spectroscopy

Phase transitions in the P(VDF-TrFE) films were also reflected by the molecular conformational change. Detailed interpretation of the vibrational spectra of PVDF and its copolymers with various crystalline modifications enables the reasonable assignment of the absorption bands to the characteristic sequences of Trans and Gauche states. The Infrared spectroscopy measurements were carried out in order to investigate the changes in the copolymer due to the presence of \( \beta \)-phase. Figure 6 shows the Infrared spectra of P(VDF-TrFE) in the 400-1200 cm\(^{-1} \) region. Although, there are several peaks for each type of copolymer conformation, the obtained spectra represent the \( \beta \)-phase at the bands marked 473, 505, 614, 845, 882, 1078, and 1107 cm\(^{-1} \), which corresponds to the presence of all-trans ferroelectric \( \beta \)-phase of P(VDF-TrFE)\(^9\). No indication for alternating trans-gauche conformation is observed.

Raman spectroscopy

Figure 7 shows the Raman spectra of \( \beta \)-phase P(VDF-TrFE) film. It is clear from the pattern that the Raman bands were found to be at 754.2 (very strong band B2, CH2 rocking), 872.7 (B2, CH2 rocking), 1053 cm\(^{-1} \) (B2, CF2 Antisymmetric stretching), corresponds to \( \beta \)-phase of P(VDF-TrFE) film\(^{23}\).

Differential scanning calorimeter (DSC)

The correct temperature for annealing and poling was chosen only after a careful investigation of the transition temperatures. DSC was used to characterize phase transitions.
transitions of the P(VDF-TrFE) film and the results are shown in (Figure 8).

Figure 8(a) and 8(b) shows typical DSC traces for the β-phase of PVDF and PVDF-TrFE films. The melting peak occurs at 168.23°C for the β-PVDF and 168.36°C for the β-P(VDF-TrFE). The marginally higher density of the β-P(VDF-TrFE) (TABLE 2) reflects in the higher melting point on the DSC curves. The films in both α- and β-phases contain substantial fraction of the amorphous phase. One of the methods to estimate the degree of crystallinity is to use the enthalpy of the melting peak obtained from DSC scans[20]. The degree of crystallinity $\Delta X_c$ is given by,

$$\Delta X_c = \left( \frac{\Delta H_f}{\Delta H_{100}} \right) \times 100$$

(1)

In Eq. (1), $\Delta H_f$ is the enthalpy of the melting peak $\Delta H_{100}$ and is enthalpy of the fully crystallized PVDF. Similarly, the degree of crystallinity of the P(VDF-TrFE) was calculated according to the following equation of Eq. (2):

$$\Delta X_c = \left( \frac{\Delta H_m}{\Delta H_{m}^{0}(P(VDF-TrFE))} \right) \times Wt\% P(VDF-TrFE)$$

(2)

Where $\Delta H_m$ and Wt% P(VDF-TrFE) are, respectively, the apparent melting enthalpy and the weight fraction of P(VDF-TrFE) in the composites and $\Delta H_{m}^{0}(P(VDF-TrFE))$ is the value of enthalpy corresponding to a 100% crystalline P(VDF-TrFE) (80/20) copolymer, taken as 91.45 J/g[3,12,23].

**Tensile properties**

Studies on the deformation and fracture mechanisms under pure or combined mechanical and electric loads can help to better understand the electromechanical coupling effects in the P(VDF-TrFE) film. The films are subjected to standard tensile tests in the Instron testing machine and the tensile properties of PVDF and P(VDF-TrFE) films are determined in TABLE 1. The literature value[4] of Young’s modulus (2-2.5 GPa), tensile strength (35-50 MPa) of PVDF show a considerable spread. The major factors contributing to this spread are different crystalline-to-amorphous ratio and porosity in the specimens. The present values are measured on P(VDF-TrFE) thin films. It is found that young’s modulus was found to be 2.24 GPa for PVDF and 4.89 GPa for P(VDF-TrFE) and tensile stress as 244 MPa for PVDF and 44.19 MPa for P(VDF-TrFE).
In previous sections, the β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) films are characterized for material properties. In this section, the performance of the β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) film in sensing the dynamic strain is studied. The β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) film is subjected to dynamic strains induced due to the free vibration and transient impact loading on a beam structure, as done in [21]. The cantilever setup with the β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) film bonded at the root of the cantilever is shown in Figure 9. The material of the beam structure is aluminum. The beam has a length, width and thickness of 0.3m, 0.025m and 0.002m respectively. Identical operating conditions are ensured between the β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) film and the other two types sensors considered for comparison, by preparing three identical cantilever beam specimens and bonding the sensors at identical locations, at the root of the cantilever. During the impact or free vibration testing, the sensors are connected to the Data Acquisition System (DAQ), run by lab VIEW as a virtual controller. First the sensitivity of the bonded β-phase P(VDF-TrFE) film to the dynamic strains induced from the free vibration of the beam structure is studied. The beam is given an initial displacement and left it to vibrate on its own, inducing the free vibrations. During the free vibration of the beam structure, the voltage response of the P(VDF-TrFE) film was recorded using a DAQ. The free vibrations are induced in the beam structures in a similar way and the voltage responses from the PVDF film and PZT-5H wafer sensor are recorded for comparison. The voltage responses are normalized with the thickness for the three types of sensors and are compared. This normalization eliminates the variations in the voltage response due to the difference in thickness of the sensors. A close matching of the waveform of the voltage responses for the three sensors are seen in Figure 10(a). The magnitude of the voltage response of the P(VDF-TrFE) film is almost the same as that of the PVDF film sensor with a small difference of 8%. Thus, the sensitivity of the P(VDF-TrFE) film is almost same to that of the PVDF film sensor and the PZT wafer. Using the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of the normalized voltage history, the frequency response was obtained as shown in Figure 10(b). The peaks reveal the resonance frequencies of various different modes of vibration of the cantilever beam. The peaks as well as the mean levels captured by the P(VDF-TrFE) film sensor are in good agreement and show comparable sensitivities with the PVDF film sensor and PZT wafer sensor.

![Figure 9: Experimental setup showing a cantilever beam with P(VDF-TrFE) film bonded on it, for free vibration and impact testing](image-url)
Having compared the responses of the P(VDF-TrFE) film, PVDF film and PZT wafer sensors for free vibration, the response for an impact is compared here. Response of the P(VDF-TrFE) film sensor to the dynamic strains induced by an impact loading is studied by dropping a steel ball at the tip of the beam structure from a known height, as shown in Figure 9. A steel ball of diameter \( d = 0.0045 \text{m} \) was dropped from a height of \( h = 0.01 \text{ m} \) at the tip of the cantilever beam. During the event of an impact, the steel ball hits the beam tip and falls off with negligible rebound of 1-2 mm. Neglecting the energy of rebound, the magnitude of impact in terms of energy in this case is found to be \( E = mgh = 3.74 \times 10^{-5} \text{ N-m} \). Response of the P(VDF-TrFE) film, PVDF film and PZT wafer sensors for an impact type of loading are compared in Figure 11(a). The responses have similar waveforms. The frequency responses obtained by taking the FFT of the sensor signals are compared in Figure 11(b). The frequency response of the P(VDF-TrFE) is comparable to that of the response of the PVDF film and PZT wafer sensors. Thus, the peaks in the frequency response representing different natural frequencies of the beam are effectively captured by the P(VDF-TrFE) film sensor like the standard PZT wafer sensor. The additional peaks in the response of the P(VDF-TrFE) film and PVDF film indicates the sensitivity to the additional modes of vibration of the beam. The sensitivity to additional modes is also seen in the similar studies done on PVDF film earlier\(^{[21]}\).
Sensitivity to the different magnitudes of dynamic strains is studied by impacting the cantilever beam with a steel ball from different heights by adjusting the length of the frame (See Figure 9). Two different magnitudes of impact are obtained by dropping the steel ball from a height of 0.01 m and 0.02 m to give the impact magnitude of $3.74 \times 10^{-5}$ N-m and $7.49 \times 10^{-5}$ N-m respectively. The response of the P(VDF-TrFE) sensor to different levels of impact is compared with the response of PVDF film and the PZT wafer as shown in Figure 12(a) and 12(b). The peak voltage in the P(VDF-TrFE) sensor response for higher magnitude of impact is found to be higher than the peak voltage for a lower magnitude of impact. This shows that the P(VDF-TrFE) sensor is sensitive to the varying levels of dynamic strains. Fast Fourier transform of the voltage response of the P(VDF-TrFE) film shows the presence of additional modes in higher frequency range in case of impact event with higher magnitude. Except at a few resonant peaks, the frequency response is different for both the magnitude of impacts. Due to the sensitivity of the P(VDF-TrFE) film sensor to the additional modes of vibration, the P(VDF-TrFE) film sensor can be used more effectively in the quasi-static and dynamic strain sensing applications.
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