



April 2007

Volume 5 Issue 1-6

Trade Science Inc.

Analytical CHEMISTRY

An Indian Journal

Full Paper

ACAIJ, 5(1-6), 2007 [83-88]

Determination Of Acifluorfen And Fluorodifen In Soils And Grains By Differential Pulse Polarography



Corresponding Author

T.Raveendranath Babu
Department of Chemistry, S.V.University,
Tirupati-517502. (INDIA)
Tel.: +91-877-2250556; Fax: +91-877-2261274
E-mail: sai_chiranjeevi@rediffmail.com

Received: 22nd December, 2006

Accepted: 27th December, 2006

Web Publication Date : 15th April, 2007



Co-Author

N.Y.Sreedhar
Department of Chemistry, S.V.University, Tirupati-517502.
(INDIA)

ABSTRACT

The electrochemical behavior of the herbicides acifluorfen and fluorodifen was studied using differential pulse polarography in universal buffers of pH range 2.0 to 12.0. The cathodic peak observed for the herbicides are attributed to the reduction of nitro groups and showed to be pH dependent. Differential pulse polarography at dropping mercury electrode was used to establish an electroanalytical procedure for the determination of the herbicides. Acifluorfen and fluorodifen in formulations, soils and grains. Quantitative measurements were successful in the concentration range of 1.25×10^{-5} to 2.8×10^{-9} M, the lower concentration representing the detection limit by differential pulse polarography.

© 2007 Trade Science Inc. - INDIA

KEYWORDS

Acifluorfen;
Fluorodifen;
Differential pulse
polarography.

INTRODUCTION

Acifluorfen [5-(2-Chloro- α, α, α -trifluoro-p-tolyloxy)-2-nitrobenzoic acid] and fluorodifen [4-nitrophenyl α, α, α -trifluoro-2-nitro-p-tolyl ether] are selective herbicides, which act by killing weed seeds as they germinate. They are used for the control of many annual grasses and broad-leaved weeds in brassicas, ornamentals, cereals and vegetables^[1,2]. The herbicide acifluorfen was classified as a carcinogen based herbicide^[3]. They absorbed by the soil, and are extremely resistant to leaching. Hence, reliable

analytical methods are necessary for their determination. Acifluorfen in soil and water is determined by using chromatograph equipped with a UV detector was developed^[4]. Polarographic-determination of acifluorfen by adsorption on lignin^[5] mass spectrometry and ¹HNMR are using for analysis of photochemical studies of acifluorfen^[6]. Water dissolved acifluorfen was irradiated and determined the numerous photoproducts by ¹HNMR and HPLC-MS/MS^[7] studied the kinetics of the inhibition of mitochondrial protoporphyrinogen oxidase (PPO) from liver and placenta of 3 mammalian species by the

Full Paper

diphenyl ether herbicide acifluorfen^[8] studied the effect of the herbicide acifluorfen on microbial biomass and on hydrolytic capacity, and its persistence in a clay-loam soil before and after enrichment with glucose^[9]. Determination of fluorodifen in roots and leaves of soybean, grain sorghum, peanut and morning glory by gas chromatography, autoradiography and liquid scintillation spectrometry^[10] studied the fluorodifen and monuron on transpiration and photosynthetic oxygen output effect on *Eupatorium odoratum* plants^[11]. Differential pulse polarography (DPP) is one of the several voltametric methods possessing the intrinsic sensitivity and functional group specificity required for its use in the determination of herbicides in environmental samples. In comparison with spectrometry and chromatographic techniques, DPP technique employed in this study is cheaper, fast and easier to carry out^[12]. Moreover, direct polarographic analysis can be carried out on samples for which direct use of spectrophotometry is not possible and the use of optical and chromatographic methods would involve preliminary separations and tedious sample handling. Substituted dini-troanilines were determined by polarography conducted in aqueous EtOH^[13]. Treflan was extracted from soil with MeOH-water and determined by polarography^[14]. DPP determination of some nitro group containing pesticides were discussed^[15]. Reviewing the literature revealed that, up to the present time nothing has been published concerning their determination in soils, grains and formulations using differential pulse polarography.

This Paper explores the reduction behavior of the herbicides acifluorfen and fluorodifen and describes a highly sensitive differential pulse polarographic method for measuring trace levels of these herbicides in formulations, soils and grains.

EXPERIMENTAL

Apparatus

Differential pulse polarography was performed with Metrohm E 506 polarecord connected to E 616 VA-Scanner. The electrode assembly consisted of a dropping mercury electrode of area 0.0223 cm² as the working electrode; a saturated Ag/AgCl(s), Cl⁻ as the reference electrode, and a platinum wire as the aux-

iliary electrode were used. pH measurements were carried out with Elico digital pH meter. Dissolved air was removed from the solutions by degassing with oxygen-free nitrogen for 10 minutes. All the experiments were performed at 28±1°C.

Chemicals

Acifluorfen and fluorodifen was obtained from Promochem, Germany. The purity of the compounds was tested by a melting point determination. Stock solutions were prepared by dissolving the required amounts of compounds in dimethylformamide. Universal buffers of pH range 2.0 to 12.0 were prepared using 0.2M boric acid, 0.05 M citric acid and 0.1M trisodium ortho phosphate^[16]. All the chemicals used were of analytical grade.


RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Differential pulse polarographic behavior

A single, well-defined polarographic peak has been observed over the entire pH range studied. This single peak is attributed to the facile simultaneous reduction of two nitro groups present in the title compounds in eight electron reduction process to the corresponding hydroxylamine group. Typical differential pulse polarogram for acifluorfen and fluorodifen are shown in figure 1. The electrode process for the two herbicides are found to be free from adsorption and diffusion controlled in nature which is confirmed through the linear plots of i_m versus concentration, and i_m versus $t^{2/3}$ passing through the origin^[17,18]. The slight variation of the peak potential values of the title compounds were found to be pH dependent and to shift towards more negative values along with an increase in the pH of the buffer system indicating the electrode process to be irreversible^[19].

Procedure

Analysis is carried out using the currents obtained for nitro group reduction in acifluorfen and fluorodifen. It is observed that at pH 2.0, the nitro group reduction appears at the start of the potential. In alkaline solution (pH 8.0 to 12.0), the reduction of nitro group is not easily facilitated owing to less availability of protons. In pH 6.0 the peak observed to be less due to less number of protons available. As a result pro-



tonated species concentration is lowered. Hence peak current is also lowered. But in pH 4.0 the concentration of protonated species is more hence high peak current is observed. Therefore, pH 4.0 was chosen as optimum pH for further studies. Polarographic half wave or peak potential is characteristic property for each electro active species. Even though functional group(reducible site) present in the molecule, molecular structure, molecular weight, surrounding environment make the reduction at either less or more potentials possible, the reduction helps in their determinations in environmental samples. Before the analysis we have to prepare blank run for the samples(grains or soils) which are under investi-

gation. A definite amount of the herbicide is then added to samples and polarographic studies were carried out. If the blank gives any response based on their E_m values we can assume the other foreign substance to be present in the sample. This is the major advantage of polarography rather than other techniques. In the present investigation within the potential range(0.0V to -0.40V) no signal other than title compound is observed. This indicated that the proposed method is free from interferences. The peak heights were found to be linear over the concentration range 1.25×10^{-5} to 2.0×10^{-9} and 1.4×10^{-5} to 2.85×10^{-9} M for acifluorfen and fluorodifen respectively. The lower detection limits were found to be 1.02×10^{-9} M and 1.05×10^{-9} M for the respective compounds. The detection limit was calculated using the expression^[20] $dl = 3 \times Sd/m$, where Sd is standard deviation and m, the slope of the calibration plot.

Recommended analytical procedure

A stock solution(1×10^{-5} M) is prepared by dissolution of the appropriate amount of the electro active species in dimethylformamide. 1ml of the standard solution is transferred into polarographic cell and made up with 9ml of the supporting electrolyte and then deoxygenated with nitrogen gas for 10min. After recording the polarogram, small increments (0.5ml) of standard solution were added, and the polarogram are recorded after each addition under similar conditions. In the present study, the best precision was obtained at pH 4.0 with a drop time of 2 sec, a pulse amplitude of 50 mV, and an applied potential(peak potential) of -0.16 V and -0.21 V for acifluorfen and fluorodifen respectively. The relative standard deviation and correlation coefficients were found to be 1.24% and 0.999 and 1.30% and 0.999 for the respective compounds for 10 replicates.

Analysis of formulations

The title compounds are available in different formulations. But both the compounds are not available in single formulation. Though these compounds having same activity, the acting upon the pest is varied. In India the title compounds are used individually for the same purpose. So it is the possibility for the presence of two compounds in a single real sample though they are not present in a single formulation. Hence the simultaneous method is applicable for

Full Paper

TABLE 1: Estimation of acifluorfen and fluorodifen in formulations by differential pulse polarography

Compound	Labeled	Amount found (mg)	Recovery* %	R.S.D. (%)	AOAC Method ^[21]
Acifluorfen formulation acritet	2.00	1.96	98.0	0.26	1.90
	6.00	5.95	99.1	0.13	5.84
	10.00	9.95	99.5	0.10	9.82
	15.00	14.9	99.3	0.14	14.6
	20.0	19.7	98.5	0.08	20.0
Acifluorfen sodium salt	3.00	2.94	98.3	0.47	2.91
	5.00	4.98	99.6	0.22	4.88
	7.00	6.96	99.4	0.10	6.94
	10.0	9.98	99.8	0.12	9.80
	12.0	11.95	99.5	0.14	11.9
Fluorodifen formulation perform	2.00	1.98	99.0	0.78	1.91
	6.00	5.95	99.1	0.17	5.85
	10.00	9.99	99.9	0.09	9.89
	15.00	14.9	99.3	0.12	14.4
	20.0	19.8	99.0	0.11	19.9
Soyex	3.00	2.98	99.3	0.23	2.96
	5.00	4.96	99.2	0.08	4.86
	7.00	6.98	99.8	0.15	6.91
	10.00	9.98	99.8	0.09	9.92
	12.0	11.98	99.8	0.14	11.9

* Each value is an average of three determinations

their estimation of spiked as well as real samples. In general, the usual concentration of real sample is found to be in microgram level. The developed analytical method is useful even in the order of nano gram level. Hence it is very easy to estimate these herbicides in real samples.

The required quantity of formulations corresponding to a stock solution of concentration of 10^{-3} M was accurately measured and transferred into a 100ml calibrated flask containing 50ml of dimethyl-formamide. A solution of approximately 10^5 M was prepared by dilution of this stock solution with appropriate universal buffer. Assay results for acifluorfen (Acritet, Acifluorfen sodium salt) and fluorodifen (Preforan, Soyex) in formulations at pH 4.0 are given in TABLE 1. Values obtained by the gas chromatographic method proposed in AOAC official methods of analysis^[21], for pure pesticides were also obtained for comparison purposes. Comparison of the results indicates that the values obtained for the pure pesticides by both methods are in close agreement.

Analysis of acifluorfen and fluorodifen in grains and soils known amounts of acifluorfen and fluorodifen (pure and formation) were sprayed on grain (rice

or wheat) samples (25g) or soil sample (10g) and left for 1-2 hrs. The extracts were prepared by treatment of a crushed sample with two 50ml portions of acetone and evaporated to dryness. The residue of acifluorfen and fluorodifen was dissolved in dimethyl formamide and transferred to a 100ml volumetric flask. Results obtained for the determination of the herbicides in grains and soil are presented in TABLE 2. Recoveries of acifluorfen and fluorodifen ranged from 96.8 to 99.3%, which indicates the accuracy and reproducibility of the proposed differential pulse polarographic method.

The same procedure is applied for the analysis of residues of acifluorfen and fluorodifen in real samples. For this, the grains (rice and wheat) and soil fields were sprayed with 500g a.i./ha of herbicidal formulations. The spraying was done with foot sprayer. Nozzle was adjusted carefully to deliver uniform deposit. Then the samples (25g of wheat and rice, 10g of soil) were collected in randomized manner from the respective fields and left for 1-2 hours. The extracts were prepared by treatment of a crushed sample with two 50ml portions of acetone. The ex-

TABLE 2: Recoveries of acifluorfen and fluorodifen in grains and soils

Pesticide	Amount added(mg)	Wheat	Recovery* % Rice	Soil
Acifluorfen (pure)	1.0	99.0	99.1	99.3
	3.0	98.9	98.5	97.9
	5.0	99.0	90.0	98.8
	8.0	97.8	97.3	98.7
Fluorodifen (pure)	1.0	98.6	98.8	99.0
	3.0	98.8	98.4	97.2
	5.0	98.2	97.2	96.9
	8.0	98.5	98.2	99.2
Acritet (Acifluorfen formulation)	1.0	99.1	97.6	98.9
	3.0	99.0	98.8	97.9
	5.0	99.1	97.3	98.1
	8.0	97.2	98.4	99.1
Acifluorfen (Acifluorfen formulation)	1.0	98.7	99.2	97.4
	3.0	98.5	98.1	96.9
	5.0	96.8	98.3	98.9
	8.0	99.0	98.5	99.2
Preforan (Fluorodifen formulation)	1.0	98.0	98.0	97.5
	3.0	98.5	98.5	97.8
	5.0	97.7	97.7	98.9
	8.0	98.6	98.6	97.9
Soyex (Fluorodifen formulation)	1.0	97.5	97.8	97.2
	3.0	98.5	98.1	98.7
	5.0	98.8	98.3	98.7
	8.0	97.4	99.1	98.6

Each value is an average of three determinations

TABLE 3: Residues of acifluorfen and fluorodifen in grains and soil

Pesticide	Dosage g a.i./ha	Sampling interval (days)	Residue level mg kg ⁻¹			Standard deviation			Relative standard deviation			Recovery %		
			Wheat	Rice	Soil	Wheat	Rice	Soil	Wheat	Rice	Soil	Wheat	Rice	Soil
Acritet (Acifluorfen formulation)	500	0	5.06	4.93	4.53	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.51	0.22	0.98	99.3	97.6	97.5
		3	1.03	1.05	1.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.99	0.97	0.98	95.7	91.5	90.3
		6	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	90.5	87.4	84.5
Acifluorfen sodium salt (Acifluorfen formulation)	500	0	4.99	5.02	4.86	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.74	1.03	0.95	98.5	99.4	97.5
		3	1.03	1.15	1.03	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.98	0.89	0.99	93.5	92.5	97.7
		6	0.08	1.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	1.02	0.01	89.3	88.9	83.5
Preforan (Fluorodifen formulation)	500	0	5.25	5.17	4.93	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.58	0.99	0.98	97.8	97.8	97.6
		3	1.07	1.02	1.01	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.93	0.98	1.08	93.3	92.9	91.3
		6	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	89.5	89.9	85.5
Soyex (Fluorodifen formulation)	500	0	4.95	4.86	4.63	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.25	0.89	0.98	97.6	97.9	97.8
		3	1.00	1.02	1.01	0.05	0.06	0.05	1.01	0.98	0.99	92.9	93.3	91.5
		6	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	89.9	99.5	86.7

tract was evaporated to dryness. The residue of acifluorfen and fluorodifen was dissolved in dimethylformamide and transferred to a 100ml volumetric flask. The residues of acifluorfen and fluorodifen were determined by DPP method. The samples of grains and soil were drawn at 0,3 and 6 days after the application to study the carry-over of the residues. Results were presented in TABLE 3. With time the residue levels of these herbicides are gradually reduced.

The initial average deposits of acifluorfen applied to rice, wheat and soil at 500g a.i./ha found to be 4.92, 5.04 and 4.54 for acritet and 5.05, 4.97 and 4.83 for acifluorfen sodium salt respectively. Similarly the initial average deposits of fluorodifen applied to rice, wheat and soil are as follows: 5.16, 5.23 and 4.92 for preforan and 4.84, 4.96 and 4.62 for soyex respectively. For further sampling intervals (3rd and 6th day) the deposits were shown in TABLE 3. These results suggested that even after 6 days of application of the herbicides fell within the detection limit. Residues of acifluorfen and fluorodifen range from 99.1 to 83.3% which indicates the accuracy and reproducibility of the proposed differential pulse polarographic method. The proposed methods are simple, rapid, reliable, and sensitive and free the interferences of other herbicides and hence can be used in environmental samples.

Full Paper

REFERENCES

- [1] W.J.Hayes; 'Pesticides Studied in Man', Willans & Wilkins Publication, London, (1982).
- [2] E.M.R.Donald; 'Chemistry of the Pesticides', Von Nostrand, New York, (1952).
- [3] J.A.Quest, W.Phang, K.L.Hamernik, M.van Gemert, B.Fisher, R.Levy, T.M.Faber, W.L.Burnam, R.Engler; Regul.Toxicol.Pharmacol., **10(2)**, 149 (1989).
- [4] M.Gennari, M.Negre, A.Cignetti; J.Assoc. Of Anal. Chem., **73(4)**, 599 (1990).
- [5] E.Rupp, Q.P.Zhong, P.Zuman; Electroanalysis, **4(1)**, 11 (1992).
- [6] L.Scrano, S.A.Bufo, M.D'Auria, P.Meallier, A.Behecti, K.W.Shramm; J.Environ.Qual., **31(1)**, 268 (2002)
- [7] D.Vialation, D.Baglio, A.Paya-Perez, C.Richard; Pest Manag.Sci., **57(4)**, 372 (2001).
- [8] A.V.Corrigall, R.J.Hift, P.A.Adams, R.E. Kirsch; Biochem.Mol.Biol.Int., **34(6)**, 1283 (1994).
- [9] P.Perucci, L.Scarponi; Zentralbl., **148(1)**, 16 (1993).
- [10] J.P.Walter, E.F.Eastin, M.G.Merkle; Weed Research, **10(2)**, 165 (1970).
- [11] E.O.Etejere; Weed Research, **22(6)**, 313 (1982).
- [12] E.B.Rupp, P.Zuman, I.Sestakova, V.Horak; J.Agric. Food Chem., **40**, 2016 (1992).
- [13] Keszthelyi, P.Csaba, Kenney, A.Barbara, Swinney, M.Shawn; Proc.La.Acad.Sci., **39**, 69 (1976).
- [14] L.S.Kopanskaya, N.S.Odobesku, Yu.D.Sister; Zh. Anal.Khim., **36(8)**, 1594 (1981).
- [15] Benadikova, Popl, Jakubickova; Czechoslovak Chem.Comm., **48**, 2636 (1983).
- [16] D.D.Perrin, D.Boyd; 'Buffers for pH and Metal ion Control', Chapman and Hall, London, (1954).
- [17] N.Y.Sreedhar, P.R.K.Reddy, S.J.Reddy; Bulletin Electrochem., **3**, 88 (1997).
- [18] Y.V.Reddy, P.R.K.Reddy, S.K.Mohan, S.J.Reddy; Bulletin Electrochem., **12**, 446 (1996).
- [19] N.Y.Sreedhar, P.R.K.Reddy, S.J.Reddy; J.Indian Chem. Soc., **74**, 477 (1997).
- [20] M.R.Smyth, J.G.Osteryoung; Anal.Chem., **50**, 1632-1637 (1978).
- [21] G.M.Gentry, E.D.Jackson, T.L.Jensen, P.D. Jung, J.E.Launer, L.Torma; AOAC Official Methods of Analysis, AOAC International Publishers, Virginia, USA, (1984).