

COMPARATIVE ELECTRICAL AND ELECTROCHEMICAL PERFORMANCE OF PROTON CONDUCTING POLYMER NANOCOMPOSITES BASED ON TiO₂ AND Al₂O₃ FILLERS

C. AMBIKA and G. HIRANKUMAR^{*}

Centre for Scientific and Applied Research, PSN College of Engineering and Technology, MELATHEDIYOOR – 627 152 (T.N.) INDIA

ABSTRACT

Two different systems of nanocomposite blend solid proton conducting polymer electrolytes based on PMMA/PVP with MSA as proton provider dispersed with nano fillers such as TiO_2 and Al_2O_3 are prepared by solution casting technique. The maximum conductivity value of 2.82×10^{-5} S/cm is observed for the 1 mol% of TiO_2 dispersed nanocomposite blend solid polymer electrolytes. The maximum conducting sample in each set of TiO_2 and Al_2O_3 dispersed nanocomposite solid polymer electrolyte is used for the construction of proton battery as electrolyte. Electrochemical impedance value is calculated for the fresh cells. The discharge characteristics are monitored for 0.01 mA of current drain. The energy density of the proton battery constructed with TiO_2 and Al_2O_3 dispersed nanocomposite polymer electrolytes are 3.16 and 2.82 Wh/Kg respectively. The cell performance of TiO_2 based nanocomposite polymer electrolyte.

Key words: Nanocomposite solid polymer electrolytes, Electrochemical stability window, Proton battery, Discharge characteristics, Electrochemical impedance.

INTRODUCTION

The particle size and the physical nature of the dispersed particles in polymer electrolyte play a significant role¹. Nowadays, polymeric nanocomposites that are composed of inorganic nanoparticles and polymeric material are of great interest because the addition of nanoparticles enhances properties such as ionic conductivity, thermal stability, mechanical strength etc. The effects of dispersing insulating ceramic filler particles such as TiO₂, Al₂O₃ etc on various electrolytic properties of nanocomposite solid polymer electrolytes are investigated by various workers^{2,3}. The uses of different active and passive fillers have their own technical highlights in the device application and also each filler has

^{*}Author for correspondence; E-mail: hiran.gp@gmail.com

different significant effect in polymer electrolyte systems. Hence in the present work, electrical and electrochemical variation due to the incorporation of two different fillers (TiO_2, Al_2O_3) in PMMA-PVP_{K30}-MSA based blend polymer electrolytes is discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Method

PMMA (poly methyl methacrylate) (HIMEDIA) and PVP (poly vinyl pyrolidone) (HIMEDIA) were chosen as the host polymers. PVP_{K30} was initially dissolved in DMF (N,N-Dimethyl formamide) (Merck) at 70°C. Then, the preheated PMMA was added. Stirring was continued for several hours to obtain a clear blend solution. Required amount of MSA (Methanesulfonic acid) (Sd-fine chem.) was added. After 24 hrs of stirring, calculated quantity of TiO₂ (size-27 nm) (Alfa acer)/Al₂O₃ (size-6.7 nm) was added. Finally, the obtained homogeneous nanocomposite solution was transferred to polypropylene petridishes and kept in oven for 12 hrs for complete evaporation of solvent. Proton battery construction was already discussed in ref⁴.

Electrical study was carried out by using the electrodes as stainless steel and the separator as the prepared nanocomposite polymer electrolytes. AC impedance data for this electrical analysis recorded using IM6 Zahner elektrik workstation, in the frequency range of 100 mHz to 1MHz at room temperature. Electrochemical study of the proton battery is carried out by using Biologic SP-300 work station.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

AC impedance analysis

The complex impedance plot for PMMA-PVP_{K30}-MSA-TiO₂, PMMA-PVP_{K30}-MSA-Al₂O₃ nanocomposite solid polymer electrolytes at different concentration of filler are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. The composition and their conductivity values from the Cole-Cole plot is given in Tables 1 and 2 for PMMA-PVP_{K30}-MSA-TiO₂ and PMMA-PVP_{K30}-MSA-Al₂O₃, respectively. The Cole-Cole plot consists of both semicircular arc and spike. The bulk electrical resistance value (R_B) is calculated from the intercept on the real part of the impedance (Z²) axis and the conductivity value can be calculated from the relation $\sigma = L/R_BA$ where L and A are the thickness and area of the sample respectively. From table 1 and 2, it is inferred that the conductivity value is maximum for 1 mol% TiO₂ and 2 mol% Al₂O₃ filler dispersed blend polymer electrolytes and it is in the order of 10⁻⁵ S/cm.

| Sample code | Compositions (mol%) (PMMA:PVP _{K30} :MSA:TiO ₂) | Conductivity (S/cm) at 303 K |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------|
| TS1 | 42.73 : 42.73 : 14.04 : 0.5 | 2.10×10^{-6} |
| TS2 | 42.49 : 42.49 : 14.02 : 1 | 2.82×10^{-5} |
| TS3 | 42.24: 42.24:14.02 : 1.5 | 2.20×10^{-6} |
| TS4 | 42.01: 42.01 : 13.98: 2 | 1.17×10^{-6} |
| TS5 | 41.77 : 41.77 : 13.96 : 2.5 | 1.07×10^{-6} |

Table 1: Composition and conductivity values of PMMA:PVP_{K30}:MSA:TiO₂ nanocomposite solid polymer electrolytes

Table 2: Composition and conductivity values of PMMA: PVP_{K30}: MSA: Al₂O₃ nanocomposite solid polymer electrolytes

| Sample code | Compositions (mol%) (PMMA:PVP _{K30} : MSA: Al ₂ O ₃) | Conductivity (S/cm) at 303 K |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------|
| AS1 | 42.55 : 42.55 : 13.9 : 1 | 5.79×10^{-6} |
| AS2 | 42.12 : 42.12 : 13.76 : 2 | 1.05×10^{-5} |
| AS3 | 41.69: 41.69:13.62: 3 | 1.90×10^{-7} |
| AS4 | 41.26: 41.26 : 13.48: 4 | $2.50 	imes 10^{-6}$ |



Fig. 1: Cole-Cole plots for (a) PMMA-PVP_{K30}-MSA-TiO₂ (b) PMMA-PVP_{K30}-MSA-Al₂O₃ system of nanocomposite solid polymer electrolytes

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

Primary proton batteries are constructed by using the high conducting membrane (TS2 and AS2) in each of the two different sets of nanocomposite blend solid polymer electrolytes. Fig. 2 (a) and (b) represent the electrochemical impedance of the fresh cell for the cell fabricated with TS2 (cell 1) and AS2 (cell 2), respectively. The internal resistance of the cell 1 and cell 2 are found as 465 ohms and 470 ohms respectively.



Fig. 2: Electrochemical impedance of (a) cell 1 (b) cell 2 before discharge

Discharge characteristics

The discharge profile of cell 1 and cell 2 are shown in Fig. 3 (a) and (b), respectively at constant current of 0.01 mA. In the discharge profile of cell 1, the discharge pattern reaches the cut-off potential of 1 V in 23 hrs and in cell 2, the discharge pattern reaches the cut-off potential of 0.9 V in 23 hrs. From the discharge pattern, it is inferred that the discharge performance is found better for cell 1 (cell constructed with TiO_2 dispersed nanocomposite membrane) than cell 2 (cell constructed with Al_2O_3 dispersed nanocomposite membrane). Even though the internal resistance of cell 1 and cell 2 are nearly equal, the discharge pattern is found better for cell 1.

The calculated energy density (from Fig 3 (a) and (b)) of the proton battery constructed with TiO_2 and Al_2O_3 dispersed nanocomposite polymer electrolytes are 3.16 and 2.82 Wh/Kg, respectively. The enhanced discharge performance of the cell 1 is observed, which may be due to TiO_2 filler particles promote ion transport between electrodeelectrolyte than the Al_2O_3 filler particles.



Fig. 3: Discharge pattern of (a) cell 1 (b) cell 2 at a constant current of 0.01 mA

CONCLUSION

Nanocomposite blend solid proton conducting polymer electrolytes are prepared with two different fillers of TiO_2 and Al_2O_3 by using traditional solution casting technique. The maximum conductivity value is obtained of the order of 10^{-5} S/cm for the two different systems of nanocomposite membranes. The internal resistance of the proton battery constructed with those high conducting membrane is found nearly equal. The discharge behavior is found better for the cell fabricated using TiO_2 dispersed membrane.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Ravi, K. Kiran Kumar, V. Madhu Mohan and V. V. R. Narasimha Rao, Polymer Testing, **33**, 152 (2014).
- 2. M. Forsyth, D. R. Mac Farlene, A. Best, J. Adebahr, P. Jacobsson and A. J. Hill, Solid State Ionics, **147**, 203 (2002).
- 3. J. Xi, X. Qiu, S. Zheng and X. Tang, Polymer, 46, 5702 (2005).
- 4. C. Ambika, G. Hirankumar, S. Karthickprabu and R. S. Daries Bella, Int. J. Chem. Tech Res, 6, 5209 (2014).

Accepted : 16.03.2016