Journal of Physics Astronomy

Mini Review | Vol 14 Iss 1

A Comparative Review of Quantum Bits: Superconducting, Topological, Spin, and Emerging Qubit Technologies

Akash Chohan*

Department of Physics, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab, India

***Corresponding author:** Akash Chohan, Department of Physics, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab, India; E-mail: akashchohan470@gmail.com

Received: October 10 2024, Manuscript No. TSPA-24-149962; **Editor assigned:** October 14, 2024, PreQC No. TSPA-24-149962 (PQ); **Reviewed:** October 29, 2024, QC No. TSPA-24-149962; **Revised:** March 18, 2026, Manuscript No. TSPA-24-149962 (R); **Published:** March 25, 2026, DOI. 10.37532/2320-6756.2026.14(1).450

Abstract

Quantum computing operates using qubits, the basic units of quantum information, which enable quantum algorithms to solve complex problems that classical computers cannot efficiently handle. This review provides a comparative analysis of several promising qubit technologies, including superconducting qubits, topological qubits, spin qubits, photonic qubits, ion trap qubits, and Nitrogen-Vacancy (NV) centers in diamonds. We discuss the operational principles, key researchers and institutions in each field, current advancements, challenges, and future directions for these technologies. This paper aims to present a thorough overview of the different approaches in qubit technologies and their potential impacts on quantum computing's future landscape.

Keywords: Nitrogen-Vacancy (NV); Topological qubits; Photonic qubits; Current advancements

Introduction

Quantum computing is one of the most exciting frontiers in technology, offering the potential to solve problems that are beyond the reach of classical computing. At its core, quantum computing uses qubits, which, unlike classical bits, can exist in superposition's of states. Various physical implementations of qubits have been proposed and are currently under development, including superconducting qubits, topological qubits, spin qubits, photonic qubits, ion trap qubits, and diamond Nitrogen-Vacancy (NV) centers [1]. Each technology offers unique advantages and challenges. In this review, we explore these different qubit technologies in detail, focusing on how they operate, the key players driving innovation, and their potential for future quantum computing applications [2,3].

Literature Review

Overview of qubit technologies

Superconducting qubits

Superconducting qubits are based on circuits that operate at extremely low temperatures, where electrical resistance disappears and quantum effects become dominant.

Citation: Chohan A. A Comparative Review of Quantum Bits: Superconducting, Topological, Spin, and Emerging Qubit Technologies. J Phys Astron. 2026;14(1):450.

These qubits use Josephson junctions to form two distinct energy states that can represent quantum information.

Key players: Companies such as Google, IBM, and Rigetti Computing are pioneers in this field. Google’s 2019 quantum supremacy claim with the Sycamore processor, which used superconducting qubits, marked a major milestone.

Future prospects: Superconducting qubits are the most developed technology, but they face challenges such as relatively short coherence times and gate errors. Efforts to extend coherence times and enhance quantum error correction methods, such as surface codes, are critical for improving performance in future quantum processors.

Topological qubits

Topological qubits leverage anyons quasiparticles that can encode quantum information through their braiding statistics. The main advantage of topological qubits is their robustness against local noise and decoherence, making them inherently fault-tolerant [4,5].

Key players: Microsoft’s station Q group has been at the forefront of topological quantum computing, investing in the search for Majorana fermions to build fault-tolerant qubits.

Future prospects: Despite their theoretical robustness, topological qubits are still awaiting experimental validation of the key building blocks, such as non-abelian anyons. If breakthroughs are made, topological qubits could drastically reduce the need for quantum error correction.

Spin qubits

Spin qubits utilize the spin of electrons or nuclei, which can be manipulated using magnetic fields or microwave pulses. These qubits are often built in semiconductor structures such as silicon or gallium arsenide, offering the potential to leverage existing semiconductor manufacturing infrastructure [6,7].

Key players: Intel and QuTech, alongside several academic institutions like Princeton University and UNSW Sydney, are making strides in silicon-based spin qubits.

Future prospects: Spin qubits have shown promise in terms of long coherence times and scalability. Their ability to integrate with conventional semiconductor technology could make them attractive for hybrid quantum-classical systems. However, challenges like controlling multiple qubits with precision and maintaining low error rates need to be overcome.

Photonic qubits

Photonic qubits use the properties of photons, such as polarization or phase, to encode quantum information. These qubits are naturally immune to many types of noise and can travel long distances, making them ideal for quantum communication and networking [8,9].

Key players: Xanadu and PsiQuantum are key industry players in this field. Xanadu has made significant progress with its photonic quantum processor, and PsiQuantum is focused on building fault-tolerant photonic quantum computers using silicon photonics.

Future prospects: Photonic qubits offer advantages like room-temperature operation and ease of long-distance transmission. However, they face challenges in scaling up, especially in achieving deterministic entanglement. Advances in photon generation and detection will be critical for their future development.

Ion trap qubits

Ion trap qubits use charged ions, confined in electromagnetic fields, to store quantum information. These qubits are manipulated using laser pulses, and they have some of the highest fidelities and longest coherence times of any qubit technology [10,11].

Key players: IonQ and Honeywell have been at the forefront of ion trap quantum computing. IonQ’s systems use trapped ytterbium ions and have demonstrated high connectivity between qubits.

Future prospects: The primary challenge with ion trap qubits is scalability. While they offer high fidelity, the systems required to maintain and operate ion traps are physically large and complex. Researchers are exploring ways to miniaturize these systems using micro fabricated trap arrays and integrated optics.

Diamond Nitrogen-Vacancy (NV) centers

Diamond NV centers are defects in diamond where a nitrogen atom replaces a carbon atom adjacent to a vacant site. These defects can store quantum information in the electron spin and be manipulated using microwave fields and optical transitions, making NV centers one of the most stable and versatile qubit systems [12].

Key players: Research institutions such as Harvard University, Delft University of Technology, and the Max Planck Institute have made significant advances in diamond NV qubits. Companies like quantum diamond technologies are exploring their potential in quantum sensing and networks.

Future prospects: NV centers have shown great promise for applications in quantum sensing and communication, but they are still in the early stages for quantum computing. One key challenge is improving the scalability of NV center qubit systems. Nevertheless, their potential for integration into quantum networks and sensing applications makes them a critical area of research for the future.

Discussion

Each qubit technology faces unique challenges in scalability, error rates, and coherence times. Superconducting qubits are leading in terms of practical implementation, but they still struggle with short coherence times. Topological qubits promise better stability but are far from practical use. Spin qubits offer a natural route to integrate with existing semiconductor technologies, while photonic and ion trap qubits provide alternative approaches for distributed and precision-based quantum computing. Diamond NV centers, on the other hand, are emerging as a promising candidate for quantum sensing and networking, though they face challenges in scaling for general-purpose quantum computing.

Future directions: The future of quantum computing will likely involve a hybrid approach, combining different qubit technologies to leverage their unique advantages. Supercon ducting qubits are expected to dominate the near-term landscape, with ongoing efforts in error correction and coherence improvements. Topological qubits may offer a breakthrough in fault-tolerant computing if experimental challenges can be overcome. Spin qubits could lead to the development of scalable, hybrid quantum-classical systems, while photonic and ion trap qubits may see applications in quantum networks and high-precision quantum computations. Diamond NV centers are likely to play a crucial role in quantum sensing and secure communication networks.

Conclusion

The various qubit technologies each offer distinct advantages that make them suitable for different quantum computing applications. Superconducting qubits are currently the most advanced and widely implemented, while topological qubits promise greater stability but require significant breakthroughs before reaching practical use [13]. Spin qubits offer the potential for integration into existing semiconductor technology, making them attractive for future hybrid systems. Photonic and ion trap qubits provide room-temperature and precision-based alternatives, respectively, though both face challenges in scaling. Diamond NV centers are emerging as a promising option for quantum sensing and networks [14]. As research progresses, we may see a combination of these qubit technologies powering the quantum computers of the future.

References

1. Devoret MH, Schoelkopf RJ. Superconducting circuits for quantum information: an outlook. Science. 2013;339(6124):1169-1174.
2. Kjaergaard M, Schwartz ME, Braumüller J, et al. Superconducting qubits: Current state of play. Annu Rev Condens Matter Phys. 2020;11(1):369-395.
3. Nayak C, Simon SH, Stern A, et al. Non-Abelian anyons and topological quantum computation. Rev Mod Phys. 2008;80(3):1083-1159.

4. Karzig T, Knapp C, Lutchyn RM, et al. Scalable designs for quasiparticle-poisoning-protected topological quantum computation with Majorana zero modes. Phys Rev B. 2017;95(23):235305.
5. Vandersypen LMK. Quantum computing with electron spins in silicon. Nature. 2019;568(7751):503-507.
6. Loss D, DiVincenzo DP. Quantum computation with quantum dots. Phys Rev A. 1998;57(1):120.
7. O'brien JL, Furusawa A, Vuckovic J. Photonic quantum technologies. Nat Photonics. 2009;3(12):687-695.
8. Wang J, Sciarrino F, Laing A, Thompson MG. Integrated photonic quantum technologies. Nat Photonics. 2020;14(5):273-284.
9. Monroe C, Kim J. Scaling the ion trap quantum processor. Science. 2013;339(6124):1164-1169.
10. Brown KR, Kim J, Monroe C. Co-designing a scalable quantum computer with trapped atomic ions. NPJ Quantum Inf. 2016;2(1):1-10.
11. Doherty MW, Manson NB, Delaney P, et al. The nitrogen-vacancy colour centre in diamond. Phys Rep. 2013;528(1):1-45.
12. Childress L, Hanson R. Diamond NV. Centers for quantum computing and quantum networks. MRS Bull. 2013;38(2):134-138.
13. Preskill J. Quantum computing in the NISQ era and beyond. Quantum. 2018;2:79.
14. Awschalom DD, Hanson R, Wrachtrup J, et al. Quantum technologies with optically interfaced solid-state spins. Nature Photonics. 2018;12(9):516-527.