

ELECTROCHEMICAL REDUCTIVE DEHALOGENATION OF BROMINATED AND CHLORINATED ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IN WATER-METHANOL MEDIA ON A BORON - DOPED DIAMOND ELECTRODE: A VOLTAMMETRIC STUDY

S. M. KULIKOV^{*} and K. M. JUETTNER

Dept. of Biological and Chemical Sciences, University of the West Indies, Cave Hill Campus, POB 64, Bridgetown, BARBADOS (W. I.) ^aKarl-Winnacker-Institute of DECHEMA e.V., D-60486 Frankfurt/M, GERMANY

ABSTRACT

Electrochemical reduction of the 1,2-dibromoethane, chloroform, and bromobenzene on the Boron Doped Diamond Electrode (BDDE) was studied in aqueous methanol media. The reaction was studied at room temperature in the potential region between 0.0 and - 2.2 V vs. SHE by the linear sweep voltammetry method. In the presence of halogen-organic compounds, the cathodic current at a given potential was significantly higher than the background current.

Key words : Halogen-organic compounds, BDD electrode, Electrochemical reduction.

INTRODUCTION

Electrochemical reductive dehalogenation is one of the promising methods of detoxification of halogen organic compounds^{1,2}. The choice of electrode material is very important for successful reduction because of the necessity to carry out electrolysis at very negative potentials, sometimes close to - 2V and even less than - 2V vs. SHE^{1,2}. Carbon-based electrodes (Carbon Cloth, Glassy Carbon) were considered to be most suitable for this purpose^{2,3}. The recently discovered Boron Doped Diamond Electrode (BDDE) has a wide potential window as it is very stable at oxidative conditions and has been widely investigated for the purpose of oxidation. However, possible use of BDDE as a cathode was not investigated on the same scale. Reduction of 1, 4-benzoquinone in acetonitrile on BDDE has been reported⁴, Reduction of Ni²⁺ and nitrate in aqueous solution on fluorinated

^{*} Author for correspondence; Email : kulikov@uwichill.edu.bb

BDDE was studied by Sine et al.⁵ The hydrogen evolution in acidified aqueous solution has been studied⁶. According to them in 1M HClO₄ and 0.5M sulfuric acid, significant evolution of hydrogen starts at - 0.5 V and - 0.75 V, respectively^{5,6}. Modification of BDDE with fluorine shifts evolution of hydrogen to - 1.25V, which allows the reduction of Ni²⁺ and nitrate⁵, but is not enough for the reduction of majority of halogen organic compounds. In neutral aqueous solutions, potential can be shifted as far as -1.8 V⁷, which would be enough for the reduction of aliphatic halogen organic compounds^{1,2}. However, solubility of halogen organic compounds in water is usually very small and needs to be increased. One of the possible ways is use of alcohol², or a water-alcohol mixture³. In this study, we used a 60 : 40 and 30 : 70 mixture of methanol and water as a solvent; 1,2-dibromoethane, chloroform and bromobenzene were used as examples of aliphatic and aromatic halogen organic compounds.

EXPERIMENTAL

In this work, a polycrystalline thin-film Boron-Doped Diamond electrode (5 micrometer thickness), prepared by hot filament chemical vapour deposition on niobium substrate, was used. Boron content was 3000 ppm, apparent electrode area was 1.6 cm². (The Boron-Doped Diamond Electrode used in this work was provided by Fraunhofer Institut fuer Schicht- und Oberflachentechnik, IST Brauenschweig/Germany).

Electrochemical measurements were made in a conventional three-electrode glass cell at room temperature. An Hg/Hg₂SO₄/K₂SO₄ (sat) electrode served as the reference electrode, and Pt (1 cm²) as counter electrode. For comparison, measurements were also performed on Pt, and Carbon Cloth "Busofit"² electrodes. As a supporting electrolyte, Na₂SO₄ (0.04M) was used. All chemicals used were of analytical grade (> 99%), except 1,2-dibromoethane (98%, Aldrich). All solutions were prepared with bi-distilled water, and deoxygenated by purging with N₂. Stock solutions of 1, 2-dibromoethane (0.116 M), chloroform (0.123 M) and bromobenzene (0.095 M in methanol) were kept in the dark, and aliquots of these solutions were used to prepare working solutions of different concentrations. A computer-controlled Zahner IM6 electrochemical workstation (Zahner-elektric GmbH & Co., Germany) was used for the voltammetry measurements.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 1 shows the voltammograms corresponding to BDDE, Carbon Cloth and Pt electrodes obtained in 60% methanol aqueous solution, in neutral media. In agreement with Becker et al.⁷, BDDE showed a wide negative potential window from 0.0 V to -2 V vs.

SHE without significant water decomposition. Pt, and even Carbon Cloth electrodes, recorded for comparison at the same conditions, showed significant hydrogen evolution at much less negative potentials (Fig. 1). BDDE has practically zero background current until -1.7 V, and even at -2.2 V the current was only approximately 2 mA/cm². According to the literature¹, aliphatic Br-organic compounds can be relatively dehalogenated easily when compared to Cl-organic compounds, and/or aromatic halogenorganic compounds¹. The overall electrochemical reaction is -



Potential, V

Fig. 1. Linear potential sweep voltammetry of BDDE, Carbon Cloth (CC) and Pt. Recorded in 60:40 MeOH: Water (volume), 0.04M Na₂SO₄ supporting electrolyte, scan rate 100 mV/s.

Fig. 2 shows typical cathodic polarization curves for BDDE in 60% volume methanol solutions with different concentrations of 1,2-dibromoethane. The first electrochemical step is transition of one electron to an adsorbed molecule of 1,2-dibromoethane. Cathodic current increases at potentials between - 0.5 V and - 1.2 V, and reaches an intermediate current limit at approximately -1.2V. Upon further shift into the negative potential region, current starts to increase rapidly. This can be attributed to the transition of the second electron to the substrate – the partially reduced molecule of 1,2-dibromoethane, which is adsorbed on the surface.



Potential, V vs SHE

Fig. 2. Linear potential sweep voltammetry of BDDE with different dibromoethane concentrations. 1- solvent, 2 – 0.58 mM, 3 – 1.16 mM, 4 – 2.32 mM. Recorded in 60 : 40 MeOH: Water (volume), 0.04M Na₂SO₄ supporting electrolyte, scan rate 100 mV/s.



Fig.3. Dependence of current density (absolute values) on the concentration of 1,2dibromoethane at different potentials. -0.84V (1), -1.0V (2), -1.24V (3). Recorded in 60:40 MeOH: Water (volume), 0.04M Na₂SO₄ supporting electrolyte, scan rate 100 mV/s

Int. J. Chem. Sci.: 7(2), 2009

Current density at potentials between -0.5V and -1.25V is directly proportional to the concentration of 1,2-dibromoethane (Fig.3). According to results presented in Fig.2 and Fig.3, the optimal potential for reduction of dibromoethane lies between -1.5V and -1.6V.



Potential, V vs SHE

Fig. 4. Linear potential sweep voltammetry of BDDE with different water concentrations. 1 – solvent, 4 – 1.16 mM dibromethane in 60:40 MeOH: Water (volume), 2 – solvent, 3 – 1.16 mM dibromethane in 30:70 MeOH:Water (volume), 0.04M Na₂SO₄ supporting electrolyte, scan rate 100 mV/s.

At more negative potentials, hydrogen evolution will reduce current efficiency. Fig. 4 illustrates the influence of water on electrochemical behaviour of BDDE at negative potentials. A switch from 60% MeOH to 30% MeOH causes a negative influence on current density of 1,2-dibromoethane reduction, especially in the region between - 0.5 V and - 1.2 V. However, at potentials around -1.5 V current density in 30% MeOH is close to that in 60% MeOH. Thus, practical electrolysis can be done at relatively small alcohol concentration, if solubility of substrate is still high enough. Fig. 5 illustrates the Cl-organic (chloroform) and Br-organic (1,2aliphatic comparison between dibromoethane) compounds. Reduction of chloroform is more difficult and this is consistent with previous findings¹; significant current density is reached at potentials more negative than - 1.75 V, where hydrogen evolution certainly decreases current efficiency. Electrochemical reductive dehalogenation of aromatic halogenorganic compounds is more difficult compared to aliphatic ones. Electrochemical reductive dechlorination of polychlorinated benzenes start at -1.8 V^{1,2}. Removal of the last Cl atom from chlorobenzene requires a potential as low as -2.44 V^{1,2}. Successful dehalogenation at such potentials has been achieved only in relatively non-polar organic solvents, like acetonitrile and DMSO by electrocatalytic reduction^{1,2}. In MeOH, such reaction was unsuccessful². Brominated organic compounds can be dehalogenated more easily compared to chlorinated compounds¹ (Fig. 4).



Potential, V vs SHE

Fig. 5. Linear potential sweep voltammetry of BDDE in 60 : 40 MeOH : Water (volume), 0.04M Na₂SO₄ supporting electrolyte, scan rate 100 mV/s. 1 – solvent, 2 – chloroform (3 mM), 3- dibromethane (2.32 mM)

Data in Fig. 6 show a cathodic polarization curve in the presence of bromobenzene. Starting from a potential of - 1.5 V, the cathodic current was significantly higher than the background current. This can be attributed to reduction of bromobenzene (Eq.1). However, bromobenzene could also be hydrated into bromocyclohexadiene, without debromination (Eq. 2)

$$C_6H_5Br + 2e^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow C_6H_7Br$$
 ...(2)

Partial electrochemical hydration of the aromatic ring has been described⁸.

Electrochemical hydration of phthalic acid into dihydrophthalic acid in a dioxane/water mixture is actually an industrial process carried out with high current efficiency⁸. Thus, without product analysis we can not be sure, whether debromination or hydration, or a combination of both is reflected by the polarization curve (Fig. 6).



Potential, V vs SHE

Fig. 6. Linear potential sweep voltammetry of BDDE in 60 : 40 MeOH : Water (volume), 0.04M Na₂SO₄ supporting electrolyte, scan rate 100 mV/s. 1 – solvent, 2 – dibromethane (2.32 mM), 3- brombenzene (4.3 mM).

REFERENCES

- 1. H. Lund, M. Baizer (Eds.), Organic Electrochemistry, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1991).
- 2. S. M. Kulikov, V. P. Plekhanov, A. I. Tsyganok, C. Schlimm and E. Heitz, Electrochimica Acta, **41(4)**, 527 (1996).
- V. M. Molina, V. Montiel, M. Dominiques and A. Aldaz, Electrochem. Commun., 5(3), 246 (2003).
- 4. G. Pastor-Moreno and D. Jason Riley, Electrochem. Commun., 4(3), 218 (2002).

- 5. G. Sine, L. Ouattara, M. Panizza and Ch. Comninellis, Electrochem and Solid-St. Lett., **6(9)**, D9 (2003).
- H. B. Suffredini, S. A. S. Machado and L. A. Avaca, J. Braz. Chem. Soc., 15(1), 16, (2004).
- 7. D. Becker and K. Juettner, New Diamond & Frontier Carbon Technology, **13(2)**, 67 (2003).
- 8. E. Heitz and G. Kreysa, Principles of Electrochemical Engineering, VCH Publishers, New York, (1986).

Accepted : 09.07.2008