

Simultaneous Determination of Metronidazole and Furazolidone in Combined Tablet Dosage Form: Development and Validation of a Stability Indicating HPLC Method

Murali D* and Rambabu C

Department of Biochemistry, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh 522510, India

*Corresponding author: Murali D, Department of Biochemistry, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh 522510, India, E-mail: murali.dadi@gmail.com

Received: 16 May, 2016; Accepted: 20 June, 2016; Published: 25 June, 2016

Abstract

A sensitive, precise and accurate stability-indicating HPLC with ultra violet detection method has been developed for simultaneous determination of metronidazole and furazolidone. Chromatographic separation was achieved on a Purospher® Star RP-18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm; 5 µm particle size) by a mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile and water (90:10, v/v) with a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. The detection wavelength was set at 332 nm. Metronidazole and furazolidone was subjected to different forced degradation conditions. In all the conditions, the degradation products were well resolved from the peaks of metronidazole and furazolidone. The method was linear at a concentration range of 30 µg/mL to 90 µg/mL ($R^2=0.9999$) and 10 µg/mL to 30 µg/mL ($R^2=0.9996$) for metronidazole and furazolidone, respectively. The limit of quantitation was 0.793 µg/mL and 0.230 µg/mL for metronidazole and furazolidone, respectively. The precision of the method was satisfactory; the relative standard deviations values did not exceed 1%. The accuracy of the method was proved; the mean recovery of metronidazole and furazolidone was in the range of 99.66% to 100.28%. The developed and validated method was applied successfully for the assay of metronidazole and furazolidone in combined tablet dosage with good precision and accuracy.

Keywords: Metronidazole; Furazolidone; Stability indicating; HPLC; Tablet; Quantification

Introduction

Metronidazole is a synthetic derivative of nitroimidazole with antibacterial and antiprotozoal activities [1,2]. Chemically it is known as 2-(2-methyl-5-nitroimidazol-1-yl) ethanol. Metronidazole is used in the treatment of trichomoniasis, amebiasis, giardiasis, anaerobic bacterial infections, Crohn's disease, antibiotic-associated diarrhea and rosacea [3].

Citation: Murali D, Rambabu C. Simultaneous Determination of Metronidazole and Furazolidone in Combined Tablet Dosage Form: Development and Validation of a Stability Indicating HPLC Method. Anal Chem Ind J. 2016;16(14):101.

© 2016 Trade Science Inc

Furazolidone is a derivative of nitrofurans with antibacterial and antiprotozoal activities [4-6]. Chemically it is described as 3-[(E)-(5-nitrofur-2-yl) methylideneamino]-1,3-oxazolidin-2-one. Furazolidone is prescribed to treat cholera, diarrhea and enteritis caused by susceptible bacteria or protozoa [7]. In children, it is often used in the treatment of giardiasis [8].

The combination of metronidazole and furazolidone is available in the market as tablet dosage form or as oral suspension [9]. This combination is effective in the treatment of amoebiasis, trichomoniasis, giardiasis, bacterial vaginosis, cholera, bacterial or mixed origin of bacillary dysentery. Few techniques are found in the literature for the simultaneous determination of metronidazole and furazolidone in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage forms. Kale et al. [10], Chemate et al. [11] and Basu and Mahalanabis [12] have determined metronidazole and furazolidone simultaneously using UV spectrophotometry. Though the spectrophotometric methods are simple, they suffer from lack of selectivity.

Elena and Milea [13] have developed an isocratic HPLC procedure for quantitative determination of metronidazole and furazolidone. The chromatographic separation was done using Kromasil C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm; 5 µm particle size) analytical column with mobile phase consisted of methanol and 0.1% phosphoric acid (20:80 v/v), run at flow rate of 1 mL/min. The detection was at 317 nm. A stability-indicating HPLC method for the analysis of metronidazole, furazolidone and its degradation products was developed by Kumar et al. [14]. Separation of metronidazole and furazolidone from its degradation products was achieved by using a mobile phase consisting of acetonitrile, methanol and phosphate buffer (10:40:50 v/v/v) through an XTerra C18 column (150 mm × 4.6 mm; 5 µm particle size) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min with UV-detection at 270 nm.

The present study describes the development and validation of a stability indicating HPLC method for quantitative determination of metronidazole and furazolidone simultaneously in the presence of its degradation products. The applicability of the proposed method was evaluated by the determination of metronidazole and furazolidone in tablet formulations. The summary of reported and proposed HPLC methods is summarized in Table 1. From the data, it was found that the proposed method has the advantages of being more sensitive, precise and accurate than the reported HPLC methods [13,14]. The use of less flow rate and binary solvent system make the proposed method economical [13,14]. Furthermore, the method reported by Kumar et al. [14] is not applied to combined formulation and Elena and Milea [13] method is not stability indicating.

Table1: Comparison between the reported and proposed methods.

Drug	Flow rate (ml/min)	LOD (µg/ml)	LOQ (µg/ml)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)	Reference
Metronidazole	1.0	0.8	2.7	102.75	1.658	13
Furazolidone		0.7	2.3	88.41	0.894	
Metronidazole	1.0	4.637	14.053	99.98	0.1	14
Furazolidone		1.7798	5.3935	98.34	1.3	
Metronidazole	0.8	0.262	0.793	99.96	0.193	proposed
Furazolidone		0.076	0.230	100.02	0.058	

Materials and Methods

Instrumentation

HPLC apparatus consisted of Shimadzu HPLC class LC series equipped with two LC-10 AT, VP pumps and variable wavelength programmable UV detector. Peak areas were integrated using a Shimadzu LC solution software program. The chromatographic separation and quantification were performed on Purospher® Star RP-18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm; particle size 5 µm) analytical column maintained at room temperature. The mobile phase, drug standard solutions, tablet sample solutions and forced degradation samples were filtered through a millipore membrane filter before injection into the HPLC system.

Drugs, chemicals and solvents

The reference standards of metronidazole and furazolidone were obtained from Remedix Pharmaceuticals (Bangalore, India) as gift samples. Dependal M tablets (Glaxo Smithkline Pharmaceuticals Ltd. India) claimed to contain 100 mg of furazolidone and 300 mg of metronidazole were used in this study. Hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide and hydrogen peroxide of analytical reagent grade were from Sdfine-Chem limited (Mumbai, India). Acetonitrile of HPLC grade was from Merck India Limited (Mumbai, India). Milli-Q-water was used throughout the analysis.

Chromatographic conditions

Mobile phase	:	Acetonitrile: Water (90:10 v/v)
Flow rate	:	0.8 mL/min
Detection wavelength	:	332 nm
Column temperature	:	Room temperature
Injection volume	:	20 µL
Run time	:	12 min

Standard drug solution

The mobile phase was used as solvent for the preparation of standard solutions. Standard stock solution of metronidazole (600 µg/mL) and furazolidone (200 µg/mL) was prepared by dissolving an accurately weighed amount of metronidazole (30 mg) and furazolidone (10 mg) in 25 mL of mobile phase in 50 mL volumetric flask. The flask was then made up to the mark with mobile phase. The stock solution was diluted aptly with mobile phase to prepare the working standard solutions of metronidazole (30, 45, 60, 75 and 90 µg/mL) and furazolidone (10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 µg/mL).

Tablet sample solution

Ten tablets were weighed and finely powdered. Stock solution (metronidazole 600 µg/mL and furazolidone 200 µg/mL) was prepared by dissolving tablet powder equivalent to 30 mg metronidazole and 10 mg furazolidone in 25 mL of mobile phase in a 50 mL volumetric flask and sonicated for 5 min. The solution was filtered using millipore membrane filter and the resulting solution was diluted to the mark with mobile phase. The stock solution was diluted appropriately with mobile phase to obtain concentration equal to 60 µg/mL of metronidazole and 20 µg/mL of furazolidone for analysis.

Calibration curve

Calibration curves of the proposed method were prepared over concentration ranges of 30 µg/mL to 90 µg/mL for metronidazole and 10 µg/mL to 30 µg/mL for furazolidone. Each solution was prepared in triplicate and 20 µl of each solution was injected onto the column. The peaks were determined at 332 nm. The calibration curves of metronidazole and furazolidone were constructed by plotting the peak area vs concentration.

Assay of metronidazole and furazolidone in tablets

Twenty µl of the tablet sample solution (metronidazole 60 µg/mL and furazolidone 20 µg/mL) was injected into the HPLC system thrice. The peak areas of the drugs were determined at 332 nm. The concentration of drugs in the tablet was determined either from the corresponding calibration curve or from the corresponding regression equation.

Stress degradation studies

Stress degradation studies was carried out using different ICH prescribed stress conditions such as acidic, basic, oxidative, thermal and photolytic stresses [15].

Acid degradation

Tablet powder equivalent to 60 mg of metronidazole and 20 mg of furazolidone was taken in 100 mL volumetric flask. Five mL of 0.1 N HCl was added to the flask and kept at 80°C reflux condition for 2 h. After completion of the stress, the solution was neutralized by using 0.1 N NaOH and completed up to the mark with mobile phase.

Base degradation

Tablet powder equivalent to 60 mg of metronidazole and 20 mg of furazolidone was taken in 100 mL volumetric flask. Five mL of 0.1 N NaOH was added in the flask and kept at 80°C reflux condition for 2 h. After completion of the stress, the solution was neutralized by using 0.1N HCl and completed up to the mark with mobile phase.

Oxidative degradation

Tablet powder (equivalent to 60 mg of metronidazole; 20 mg of furazolidone) and 5 mL of 20% H₂O₂ were added in 100 mL volumetric flask. The flask was kept at 80°C reflux condition for 2 h. After completion of the stress, the flask was completed up to the mark with mobile phase.

Thermal degradation

For this, tablet powder (equivalent to 60 mg of metronidazole; 20 mg of furazolidone) was taken in glass petri dish and placed in hot air oven at 105°C for 2 h. After specified time, the tablet powder was transferred to a 100 mL volumetric flask and made up to the mark with mobile phase.

Photolytic degradation

For photolytic degradation study, tablet powder equivalent to 60 mg of metronidazole and 20 mg of furazolidone was transferred into a glass petri dish and placed in the direct sunlight for 2 h. After completion of the stress, the tablet powder was transferred to a 100 mL volumetric flask and made up to the mark with mobile phase.

Results and Discussion

Optimization of HPLC conditions

The chromatographic conditions were optimized to separate all the possible degradation products from the peak of metronidazole and furazolidone. During the process of HPLC method optimization, several trials were taken using a different column, different organic phase and different flow rates. Good peak shape was observed when using Purospher® Star RP-18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm; particle size 5 µm) analytical column and acetonitrile: water (90:10 v/v) as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. The effluents were monitored at 332 nm. The retention times for metronidazole and furazolidone were 4.292 min and 8.921 min, respectively (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Chromatogram obtained after method optimization.

Method validation

System suitability, linearity, sensitivity, precision, accuracy, selectivity, specificity, robustness and ruggedness were performed as the method validation parameters as per ICH guidelines [16].

System suitability

The system suitability studies were performed using the working standard solution containing metronidazole (60 µg/mL) and furazolidone (20 µg/mL) by five repeated injections with the optimized method. The results are summarized in Table 2. These results met the method requirements for separation and quantification of metronidazole and furazolidone simultaneously.

Table 2: System suitability.

Parameters	Value		Recommended limits
	Metronidazole	Furazolidone	
Retention time	4.274 (%RSD – 0.876)	8.931 (%RSD – 0.401)	RSD ≤ 2
Peak area	6690755 (%RSD – 0.608)	4211712 (%RSD – 0.167)	RSD ≤ 2
USP plate count	13709.6	6683.4	>2000
USP tailing factor	1.094	1.094	≤ 2
Resolution	-	11.694	> 3

Linearity and range

Under the optimized experimental conditions, a linear relationship was established by plotting the peak area of drug against the drug concentration ($\mu\text{g/mL}$). The concentration range was found to be 30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ to 90 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for metronidazole and 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ to 30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for furazolidone. Linear regression analysis of the data gave the following equations:

$$y = 11151x + 855.3 \quad (R^2 = 0.9999) \text{ for metronidazole}$$

$$y = 21064x - 4041.0 \quad (R^2 = 0.9996) \text{ for furazolidone}$$

Where: y = peak area, x = concentration of the drug ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) and R^2 = Regression coefficient. The high values of regression coefficients with small intercept indicate the good linearity of the calibration curves.

Sensitivity

The sensitivity of the proposed method was assessed by calculating limit of quantitation (LOQ) and limit of detection (LOD). The LOD and LOQ were calculated as follows:

$$\text{LOQ} = 10\text{Sd}/b; \text{LOD} = 3.3\text{Sd}/b$$

Where S_a = standard deviation of the drug response and b = slope of the calibration curve. LOD values were found to be 0.262, 0.076 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ while LOQ values were found to be 0.793, 0.230 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for metronidazole and furazolidone, respectively. These values demonstrate the satisfactory sensitivity of the proposed method for the analysis of selected drug combination.

Precision

The precision was established by analyzing metronidazole and furazolidone at a concentration of 60 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and 20 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively. The system precision was tested by applying the proposed method for the determination of metronidazole and furazolidone in pure form for five successive times. The method precision was tested by repeated analysis of metronidazole and furazolidone in tablet sample for five successive times. The results are summarized in Table 3. The %RSD values for system precision and method precision were $<0.7\%$, indicating that the proposed method has good precision in the simultaneous analysis of metronidazole and furazolidone.

Table 3: Results of precision studies.

Method precision		System precision	
Peak area	Statistical analysis	Peak area	Statistical analysis
Metronidazole (60 $\mu\text{g/mL}$)			
6697550	Mean: 6696261 SD: 3067.75 %RSD: 0.045	6631137	Mean: 6690755 SD: 40682.82 %RSD: 0.608
6699886		6683003	
6695684		6682018	
6691533		6732905	
6696655		6724711	
Furazolidone (20 $\mu\text{g/mL}$)			
4218364	Mean: 4214005 SD: 3227.91 %RSD: 0.076	4191873	Mean: 4211712 SD: 18111.28 %RSD: 0.430
4210488		4204426	
4215986		4200566	
4211498		4228756	
4213692		4232941	

Accuracy

To the pre analysed tablet sample solutions, a known amount of standard solution was added at three different levels, i.e., 50%, 100% and 150%. The solutions were reanalyzed by the proposed method. The results of recovery studies (Table 4) showed that the % recovery was between 99.66% and 100.17% with % RSD<0.6%. The results indicate good accuracy of the method. The selectivity of the method was demonstrated by the noninterference of the excipients with the analysis of the analytes.

Table 4: Results of recovery studies.

Spiked level (%)	Amount of drug		% Recovery	Statistical Analysis
	Added ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Found ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)		
Metronidazole				
50	15	14.93	99.53	Mean: 100.17 SD: 0.585 %RSD: 0.584
	15	15.05	100.33	
	15	15.10	100.67	
100	30	29.98	99.93	Mean: 100.05 SD: 0.273 %RSD: 0.272
	30	30.11	100.37	
	30	29.96	99.87	
150	45	45.06	100.13	Mean: 99.91 SD: 0.194 %RSD: 0.194
	45	44.89	99.76	
	45	44.93	99.84	
Furazolidone				
50	5	4.96	99.20	Mean: 99.66 SD: 0.503 %RSD: 0.505
	5	5.01	100.20	
	5	4.98	99.60	
100	10	9.97	99.70	Mean: 99.83 SD: 0.321 %RSD: 0.321
	10	9.96	99.60	
	10	10.02	100.20	
150	15	14.97	99.80	Mean: 99.84 SD: 0.402 %RSD: 0.403
	15	15.04	100.27	
	15	14.92	99.47	

Ruggedness

The ruggedness of the method is determined for 60 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and 20 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ concentration of metronidazole and furazolidone, respectively by analysis of aliquots by two analysts, two columns and two systems using same experimental conditions. The results are given in Table 5. The low %RSD values (<0.7%) demonstrated the ruggedness of the proposed method for the simultaneous analysis of the selected drug combination.

Table 5: Results of method ruggedness.

Parameter	Metronidazole (60 µg/mL)			Furazolidone (20 µg/mL)		
	Found (µg/mL)	% Recovery	%RSD	Found (µg/mL)	% Recovery	%RSD
Analyst I	60.05	100.08	0.259	19.94	99.70	0.521
Analyst II	59.98	99.97	0.315	19.86	99.30	0.263
Column I	59.95	99.92	0.628	20.10	100.50	0.158
Column II	60.10	100.17	0.125	20.06	100.30	0.254
System I	59.94	99.90	0.458	19.95	99.75	0.168
System II	59.89	99.82	0.264	20.03	100.15	0.627

Robustness

In order to assess the method robustness, the effect of small and deliberate variation of experimental conditions on the peak areas of the analytes was examined. The robustness of the method was checked for 60 µg/mL and 20 µg/mL for metronidazole and furazolidone, respectively. The results are summarized in Table 6. The results revealed that the peak areas of the drugs were unaffected (RSD<1%) by small changes in flow rate, composition of mobile phase, temperature and detection wavelength indicating significant robustness of the method.

Table 6: Results of method robustness.

Parameter	Value	Peak area	
		Metronidazole (60 µg/mL)	Furazolidone (20 µg/mL)
Flow rate (mL/min)	0.7	6686924	4226384
	0.8	6697550	4215628
	0.9	6685291	4205391
	Mean	6689922	4215801
	SD	6656.596	10497.57
	RSD	0.099	0.249
Temperature (°C)	25	6656321	4238164
	27	6697550	4215628
	29	6686324	4224862
	Mean	6680065	4226218
	SD	21315.23	11329.03
	RSD	0.319	0.268
Mobile phase ratio (v/v)	88:12	6684136	4203628
	90:10	6697550	4215628
	92:08	6695175	4203951
	Mean	6692287	4207736
	SD	7158.16	6836.869
	RSD	0.106	0.162
Wavelength (nm)	330	6659318	4226017
	332	6697550	4215628
	334	6662846	4216059
	Mean	6673238	4219235
	SD	21128.58	5877.625
	RSD	0.316	0.139

Specificity

The specificity of the proposed method was investigated using the forced degradation study. The degradation study was done to make sure that the proposed method was able to separate metronidazole and furazolidone from the possible degradation products generated during the forced degradation study. Acid, base, oxidative, photolytic and thermal degradation studies were performed with the tablet sample at a concentration of 60 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and 20 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of metronidazole and furazolidone, respectively. The results of degradation studies are presented in Table 7. The chromatograms are shown in Figures 2-6. More percentage of degradation occurred under alkaline conditions for both the drugs. The percentage of metronidazole degradation is less in thermal degradation whereas for furazoline in photolytic condition. In all the degradation conditions, except base degradation, one degradation product peak is observed. The degradation products produced due to stress did not interfere with the detection of metronidazole and furazolidone, and the method can therefore be regarded as stability- indicating.

TABLE. 7. Results of forced degradation studies.

Type of degradation	Metronidazole (60 $\mu\text{g/mL}$)		Furazolidone (20 $\mu\text{g/mL}$)	
	% Recovery	% Degradation	% Recovery	% Degradation
Undegraded	100.02	0.000	99.97	0.000
Acid	98.459	1.541	98.842	1.158
Base	94.372	5.628	96.517	3.483
Oxidative	95.179	4.821	96.289	3.711
Photolytic	98.114	1.886	99.131	0.869
Thermal	98.882	1.118	99.075	0.925




Figure 2: Chromatogram of acid degradation.




Figure 3: Chromatogram of base degradation.




Figure 4: Chromatogram of oxidative degradation.




Figure 5: Chromatogram of thermal degradation.




Figure 6: Chromatogram of photo degradation.

Application of the method

The application of the method was evaluated by assay of commercially available tablets (Dependal M tablets, Glaxo Smithkline Pharmaceuticals Ltd. India; claimed to contain 100 mg of furazolidone and 300 mg of metronidazole). The percent assay was found to be $99.96\% \pm 0.193\%$ for metronidazole and 100.02 ± 0.058 for furazolidone (Table 8). The good %Recovery and %RSD values indicated that the proposed method was accurate and precise, respectively for the analysis of metronidazole and furazolidone in the combined tablet dosage form.

TABLE. 8. Assay of metronidazole and furazolidone in tablets.

Analyte	Labeled claim (mg/5mL)	Found (mg)	Mean	%Recovery	%RSD
Metronidazole	300	299.84	299.90	99.96	0.193
	300	299.75			
	300	300.12			
Furazolidone	100	99.95	100.02	100.02	0.058
	100	100.04			
	100	100.06			

Conclusion

The developed and validated stability indicating HPLC method for the simultaneous quantification of metronidazole and furazolidone is simple, accurate, precise, sensitive, specific, rugged and robust. The proposed method can thus be applied for routine analysis of metronidazole and furazolidone in combined tablet dosage form.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to authorities of Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur and Chalapathi College of Pharmacy, Guntur for providing facilities to carry out the present work.

REFERENCES

1. Metronidazole. The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists. Retrieved July 31, 2015.
2. Brayfield A. 'Metronidazole', 'Martindale: The Complete Drug Reference', Pharmaceutical Press. Retrieved 3 April, 2014.
3. Löfmark S, Edlund C, Nord CE, et al. Metronidazole is Still the Drug of Choice for Treatment of Anaerobic Infections. Clin Infec Dis. 2010;50(Supplement 1):S16-23.
4. Ali BH. Pharmacological, Therapeutic and Toxicological Properties of Furazolidone: Some Recent Research. Vet Res Commun. 1999;23(6):343-60.
5. Timperio AM, Kuiper HA, Zolla L, et al. Identification of a furazolidone metabolite responsible for the inhibition of amino oxidases. Xenobiotica. 2003;33(2):153-67.
6. Meng J, Mangat SS, Grudzinski IP, et al. Drug Metabol Drug Interact. 1998;14(4):209-19.
7. McEvoy GK. American Hospital Formulary Service-Drug Information 2002. Bethesda, MD: American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, Inc. (Plus Supplements);2002. 861 p.
8. Hardman JG, Limbird LE, Molinoff PB, et al. Goodman and Gilman's the Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics. 9th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill;1996. 1098 p.
9. <http://www.mims.com/india/drug/info/dependal-m>
10. Kale AA, Rasane AB, Bhatiyani AK. Int J Pharm Tech 2012;4(3):4805-13.

11. Chemate SZ, Dongare US, Jadhav SA, et al. Validated spectrophotometric methods for simultaneous estimation of metronidazole and furazolidone in pure and in tablet dosage form. *Int Res J Pharm* 2012;3(5):461-64.
12. Basu D, Mahalanabis KK. *Anal Chim Acta*. 1991;249(2):349-52.
13. Elena GO, Milea B. *Medicamentul Veterinar* 2014;4(2):1-4.
14. Kumar MS, Anjaneyulu A, Mangilal T, et al. *World J Pharm Pharma Sci* 2015;4(5):1944-46.
15. ICH. Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products, Q1A (R2). International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use;2003.
16. ICH. Validation of analytical procedures; Text and methodology; Q2 (R1). International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use;2005.