

## Preparation and evaluation of some surfactants as pour point depressants in oil field

F.M.Ghuiba, T.T.Khidr\*, S.A.Mahmoud  
Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, Nasr City, Cairo (EGYPT)

### ABSTRACT

Three surfactants additives were synthesized by esterification of polyethylene glycol with adipic, phthalic and citric acid then re-esterification of polyethyleneglycol adipate acid (A), phthalate acid (P) and citrate (C) with triethanolamine. The prepared surfactants were evaluated as surfactants by surface tension and critical micelle concentration. It has been found that A additive is more efficient as pour point  $\Delta_{pp}^{2000ppm} = 12^\circ\text{C}$  than P and C,  $\Delta_{pp}^{2000ppm} = 9^\circ\text{C}$  and  $6^\circ\text{C}$ . Blends between the best of additive A with commercial flow improver CFI and Natural wax dispersant NWD were done and evaluated as pour point depressants (500:1000:500),  $\Delta_{pp}^{2000ppm} = -21^\circ\text{C}$ .

© 2014 Trade Science Inc. - INDIA

### KEYWORDS

Surfactants;  
Surface properties;  
Additives;  
Fuel oil;  
Pour point depressant;  
Compatibility.

### INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the behavior middle distillate blends manifold increase with the light oil gradually evaporated, because recurring problems to the oil industry and user alike for the storage, transport and use of petroleum fuels at low temperatures. Essentially these difficulties arise because of the waxy components crystallization in fuel. These waxes separate out from solution as very thin rhombic plates which, when pumped, can readily met together in the form of impermeable cakes which block filters, transfer lines and pumps and lead to eventual engine failure. Use of polymer additives is one of the modern effective solutions to this problem<sup>[1,3-5,15]</sup>. To date, ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymers<sup>[11]</sup>, polyolefins<sup>[10]</sup>, polyacrylates<sup>[6]</sup>, fumarate-olefin copolymers<sup>[13]</sup>, alkyl esters of styrene maleic anhydride copolymers<sup>[2]</sup> have been widely used as flow improvers for oil or oil products, Due to the co-crystallization of normal alkanes and long ( $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$ ) re-

peated unit on polymer, polymer additives at the concentration of part per million (ppm) after the size and habit of waxy precipitates so that the temperature operability range is substantially increased<sup>[3,8,12]</sup>.

In this present work some surfactants dicarboxylate and tricarboxylate types were synthesized and evaluated as pour point depressant for fuel oil. The surface properties of these prepared surfactants were studied. The compatibility of these additives with either commercial flow improver or natural wax dispersion were carried out and evaluated as pour point depressant for fuel oil.

### EXPERIMENTAL

#### Materials

Adipic, phthalic, citric acid, triethanolamine, polyethylene glycol (600). Commercial flow improvers (CFI), Natural wax dispersant (NWD).

## Full Paper

### Fuel oil used

Middle distillate fuel oil derived from the waxy western desert crude oil with physico chemical characteristics given in TABLE 1 was used for evaluation the performance of the synthesized additives. Urea adduction<sup>[9]</sup> was used for determination of n-paraffin carbon number distribution and average carbon number for fuel oil.

TABLE 1 : Physical characteristics of distillate fuel oil

Test	Method	Value
Specific gravity 60/60°F	IP 160/87	0.8412
Kinematic viscosity mm <sup>2</sup> S <sup>-1</sup> at 40°C Cst	IP 71/80	3.7
Cloud point (CP), °C	IP 219/82	26
Pour point (PP), °C	IP 15/67(86)	18
Total sulphur content (wt%)	IP 266/87	0.230
Total paraffins content	Urea adduct	20.3
n-paraffins (wt%)	GLC	19.9
Iso-paraffins (wt%)	GLC	0.40
Average carbon number	calculated	21.3

### Synthesis of additives

#### a- reaction of polyethylene glycol with different acids

Polyethylene glycol of adipic acid, phthalic acid and citric acid were prepared by esterification of these acids (0.1 mole) with polyethylene glycol (0.1 mole) in xylene as solvent. The reaction was heated at 140°C in the presence of (0.001 mole) of p-toluene sulphonic acid as catalyst with continuous stirring, until theoretical amount of water was collected. The solvent was distilled off and the product washed with dry petroleum (60-80°C) several times for further purification<sup>[14]</sup>.

#### b- Re-esterification of polyethyleneglycol adipate, phthalate and citrate with triethanolamine.

These compounds were prepared as the above reaction.

#### Characterization of the synthesized products

These compounds were confirmed by FTIR spectra. Infrared spectra were measured by using AVATAR 230 FTIR spectrometer to measure intensity of absorption bands for the prepared surfactants.

#### Evaluation test

##### Cloud point measurements:

Solution of oil soluble samples A, P and C in xylene

contain 10% active material are prepared, according to IP 219/82 method. Different concentration of cloud point depressant (300, 700, 1000, 1500 and 2000 ppm) solutions were injected into fuel oil were tested.

##### Pour point measurement (ASTM, D97)

Solution of oil soluble samples A, P and C in xylene contain 10% active material are prepared, according to ASTM, D97 method. Different concentration of pour point depressant (300, 700, 1000, 1500 and 2000 ppm) solutions were injected into fuel oil and tested as pour point depressants results are given in Figure 1.

Compatibility of synthesized additive with commer-




Figure 1: Effect of the prepared additives on pour point of the distillate fuel oil

cial flow improver (CFI) and natural wax dispersant (NWD).

The commercial flow improver and natural wax dispersant were dissolved in the appropriate solvent at a concentration lower than the critical concentration of the prepared additive in the same solvent then solutions of the evaluated additives were mixed at the prescribed combination ratio up to total concentration of 2000ppm, shaken manually for five minutes then the mixed solution was injected into the tested fuel oil sample at 90°C, left to cool at 40°C then flow tests, CP and PP were evaluated according to ASTM/IP procedure without reheating results in are given TABLE 2.

#### Surface tension measurements

Surface tensions measurements were obtained using DeNouy Tensiometer (kruss K6 type) Gmburg. Germany) at 25°C results in TABLE 3. Freshly prepared aqueous solution of the product (A, P and C) in deionized water was prepared with a different concentration at ambient temperature. The ring was washed twice after each reading first by ethanol then distilled<sup>[7]</sup>.

**TABLE 2 : Activity and compatibility of the synthesized additives with natural wax dispersant (NWD) and commercial flow improvers (CFI) in terms of pour and cloud point depression.**

Additives combination ratio, ppm	CP	ΔCP	PP	ΔPP
Untreated fuel oil	26	--	18	--
<b>Naturalwaxdispersant(NWD): polyethyleneglycol adipate (A)</b>				
2000 : 0	22	4	3	15
1000 : 1000	22	4	0	18
500 : 1500	23	3	3	15
250 : 1750	23	3	6	12
0 : 2000	23	3	6	12
<b>Naturalwaxdispersant(NWD): polyethyleneglycol phythalate (P)</b>				
2000 : 0	22	4	3	15
1000 : 1000	23	3	3	15
500 : 1500	24	2	6	12
250 : 1750	24	2	9	9
0 : 2000	24	2	9	9
<b>Naturalwaxdispersant(NWD): polyethyleneglycol citrate (C)</b>				
2000 : 0	22	4	3	15
1000 : 1000	24	2	6	12
500 : 1500	25	1	9	9
250 : 1750	25	1	9	9
0 : 2000	25	1	6	12
<b>Commercial flow improver (CFI):Naturalwaxdispersant(NWD) : polyethyleneglycol adipate (A)</b>				
2000 : 0 : 0	24	2	12	6
0 : 2000 : 0	22	4	6	12
0 : 0 : 2000	23	3	6	12
500 : 500 : 1000	23	4	3	15
500 : 1000 : 500	21	5	-3	21
1000 : 500 : 500	23	3	0	18

Critical micelle concentration (CMC) was determined from the semi logarithmic plots of surface tension versus surfactant concentration, the point as the curve breakdown is CMC.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

**TABLE 3 : Critical micelle concentration (CMC) and surface parameters of the prepared compounds at 25°C.**

Compound	CMC(mM/m)	$\Pi_{cmc}$ (mM/m)	$\tau_{max}$ (mol/L)	$A_{min}$ (nm)	$\Delta G_{mic}^{\circ}$ KJ/mol	$\Delta G_{ads}^{\circ}$ KJ/mol
Polyethyleneglycol adipate( A)	$1.5 \times 10^{-2}$	57	$1.073 \times 10^{-10}$	1.547	- 32.1835	- 32.1975
Polyethyleneglycol phythalate (P)	$2 \times 10^{-2}$	54	$1.790 \times 10^{-10}$	1.256	- 24.23	- 24.297
Polyethyleneglycol citrate (C)	$2.5 \times 10^{-2}$	52	$1.7466 \times 10^{-10}$	0.95059	- 18.258	- 18.2882

( $\pi_{cmc}$ ) effectiveness; ( $\tau_{max}$ ) maximum surface excess; ( $A_{min}$ ) minimum surface area ; ( $\Delta G_{mic}^{\circ}$ ) standard free energies of micellization; ( $\Delta G_{ad}^{\circ}$ ) standard free energies of adsorption.

## Chemical structure and characterization of the synthesized products

In this present work, polyethylene glycol (600) and triethanolamine were used in the preparation of three sets of surfactants additives. These additives were confirmed by means of infrared spectral analysis. The IR showed a characteristic broad bands of (OH) stretching at  $3400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The appearance of the strong band at  $1735 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  stretching vibration of ester, this indicates the formation of the ester group. The disappearance of peak at  $1440 - 1365 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the products (A, P) which represents (COOH) stretching means that these products are completely etherified and the appearance of a very weak band at  $1440 - 1365 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at compound (C) that means that there is one carboxylic group free. The appearance of the peak at  $2750 - 3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is due to  $\text{CH}_3$  and  $\text{CH}_2$  stretching and they appeared also at  $1400 - 1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

## Surface properties

Surface tension ( $\gamma$ ) and critical micelle concentration (CMC), variation in the surface values vs. Log concentration values for solutions of the prepared surfactants at  $25^\circ\text{C}$  CMC were determined from intersection points in the log concentration. The CMC values were found to component  $A < P < C$  are represented in TABLE 4. The decrease in CMC values can be attributed to a decrease in the hydration of the surfactant molecules i.e, the hydrophobic of the surfactant molecule increased as the number of (OH) decrease.

Effectiveness ( $\pi_{cmc}$ ) above the CMC  $\gamma$  did not change with concentration, so  $\gamma$  values at the CMC were used to calculate surface pressure (effectiveness) values.

$$\pi = \gamma_0 - \gamma \quad (1)$$

## Full Paper

Where  $\gamma_0$  is the surface tension measured for pure water at the appropriate temperature and  $\gamma$  is the surface tension at the CMC. The most efficient surfactant was the one that lowered the surface tension the most at the CMC. Compound (A) was the most efficient in achieving the maximum reduction of the surface tension at CMC as shown in TABLE 3. Maximum surface excess  $\tau_{\max}$  can be calculated according to the Gibbs equation

$$\tau_{\max} = 1/RT (d\gamma/dc) \quad (2)$$

Where  $\tau_{\max}$  the surface excess,  $d\gamma/dc$  is the surface pressure, and  $c$  is the concentration of surfactant the substance so that lowers the surface energy is thus present in excess at or near the surface. The values of  $\tau_{\max}$  as shown in TABLE 4 as the surface tension decreased with increasing surfactant activity  $\tau_{\max}$  was positive.

Minimum surface area ( $A_{\min}$ ) the average area occupied by the surfactant molecule at the air/water interface of the surfactant solution is called the minimum surface area and can be calculated from the following equation:

$$A_{\min} = 1016[NA * \tau_{\max}] \quad (3)$$

Where (N) is the Avogadro number.  $A_{\min}$  values decreased with an increase in the alkyl chain length. This indicates that the molecules were more tightly packed at the air/ water interface for the more hydrophobic group surfactants.

Standard free energy of micellization ( $\Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\circ}$ ) and standard free energy of adsorption ( $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ ),  $\Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\circ}$  can be calculated from the following equation

$$\Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\circ} = RT \ln \text{CMC} \quad (4)$$

$\Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\circ}$  for the synthesized surfactants were always negative values, indicating that micellization was spontaneous process. At a constant temperature,  $\Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\circ}$  increased with an increase in the number of carbon atoms throughout the surfactant molecules i.e it became less negative. Conversely  $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$  can be calculated by the relation

$$\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ} = \Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\circ} - 6.023 \times 10^{-1} \tau_{\text{cmc}} A_{\min} \quad (5)$$

TABLE 4 shows some increase in  $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$ , supporting the idea of micellization over adsorption on the solution surface to overcome the repulsion forces occurring at the water/ hydrophobic interface  $\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\circ}$  were always negative in the value due to the spontaneity of these two processes.

### Effect of the synthesized products on the cloud point (CP) of the fuel oil

Results showed that the prepared additives have no effect on the cloud point with 300, 700 ppm concentration except for repeatability limit of  $\Delta \text{CP} = 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  in (A) only but in (p) and C no effect  $\Delta \text{CP} = 0^{\circ}$  and  $0^{\circ}$  C. An increase in the concentration of the its effective up to 2000ppm for fuel oil. (A) compound has achieved the optimum values of the cloud point depression  $\Delta \text{CP} = 3^{\circ}\text{C}$  at concentration 2000 ppm. This may be due to the high interaction between alkyl chain lengths of hydrocarbon moiety of these additives with paraffin wax in fuel oil.

### Effect of the synthesized products on the pour point (pp) of the fuel oil

The three prepared additives were tested as pour point depressants through pour point determination according to ASTM D-97 procedure at concentration 300, 700, 1000, 1500, 2000 ppm, and results in Figure 1 show that all additives achieved moderate pour point depression at concentration 1000ppm. The activity of these additive as pour point depressant has the following the order  $A > P > C$ . Compound (A) additive is more effective in depressing the pour point  $\Delta \text{pp}_{2000\text{ppm}} = 12^{\circ}\text{C}$  than (P) additive  $\Delta \text{pp}_{2000\text{ppm}} = 9^{\circ}\text{C}$  and (C) additive  $\Delta \text{pp}_{2000\text{ppm}} = 6^{\circ}\text{C}$  illustrated in Figure 1.

### Effect of concentration of the synthesized products on their performance as pour point depressant

The synthesized additives were tested at 300, 700, 1000, 1500, 2000. From the demonstrated result in Figure 1, it was observed that the pour point values regularly depressed as the additive concentration increased up to 2000 ppm. This means that at this concentration range, the additive may Co-crystallize with paraffin and modifies their crystals. In general, at lower additive concentrations, side way growth of the crystal faces may be slightly restricted and accordingly, the crystal grows relatively slower. However crystallization may still be able to form. At higher concentrations of the additives, the side way growth becomes so much more difficult for the wax crystal.

### The influence of compatibility of the additives with commercial flow improver (CFI) and natural wax dispersants (NWD) on the flow properties of the fuel oil

The compatibility of any additive with CFI may exhibit synergistic or antagonistic effect on the cold flow properties of fuel oil. The total additive concentration was 2000ppm. Results given in TABLE 2 show the influence of compatibility of the additive A, P and C additive with CFI at different ratio on the flow properties of the fuel oil. It is obvious that combination of (A) additive with CFI at the ratios (1000ppm: 1000ppm) and (500ppm: 1500ppm) enhanced the flow ability to an optimum value of  $\Delta p_{2000ppm} = 15$  and  $15^{\circ}\text{C}$  respectively. Results given in TABLE 2 and show the influence of compatibility of the additives A, P and C with NWD on the flow properties of the fuel oil. It is obvious that combination of the (A) additive with NWD at the ratio (1000ppm: 1000ppm) enhanced the flow ability improvement to an optimum value of  $\Delta p_{2000ppm} = 18^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Also, It is obvious that combination of the (CFI: NWD: A) at the ratio (500ppm: 100ppm: 500ppm) enhanced the flow ability improved to an optimum value  $\Delta p_{2000ppm} = 21^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

By analysis of the surface active properties TABLE 4 and the data presented of pour point in Figure 1, it was found a relation between negative values of  $\Delta G_{ads}^{\circ}$  of the used polymers and their performance to be -24.297 and -18.2882 KJ/mol for P and C additives. The maximum reduction of pour point was achieved with (A) additive  $\Delta p_{2000ppm} = 18^{\circ}\text{C}$ , its  $\Delta G_{ads}^{\circ}$  values was -32.1975 KJ/mol. This means that, there is a strong relation between the surface active properties of pour point depressants (surfactants) even in oil/liquid adsorption and their performance to depress the pour point.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Three sets of surfactants additives (A, P and C) were synthesized by esterification of polyethylene glycol with adibic acid, phthalic acid and citric acid then re-esterification of polyethylene glycol adipate, phthalate and citrate with triethanolamine.
- The prepared additive gives good surface properties.
- The prepared additive gives good results as pour point depressant for fuel oil.
- The activity of prepared additive as pour point depression for fuel oil as follows  $A > P > C$ .
- The eventual performance of pour point depres-

sion depends on the physico-chemical characteristics of the additive.

- The prepared additives exhibited good compatibility with both commercial flow improvers (CFI) and natural wax dispersant (NWD).

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.Aiyejina, D.P.Chakrabarti, A.M.Pilgrim, K.S.Sastry; Wax formation in oil pipelines: A critical review. International Journal of Multiphase Flow, **37**, 671-694 (2011).
- [2] A.M.Al-Sabagh, M.Noor El-Din, R.E Morsi, M.Z.Elsabee; Styrene-maleic anhydride copolymer esters as flow improvers of waxy crude oil Petroleum Science and Engineering, **65**, 139-146 (2009).
- [3] A.M.Al-Sabagh, M.S.Khalil, M.W.Sabaa, T.T Khidr, .G.R.Saad; Poly (n-alkyl itaconate-co-vinyl acetate) as pour point depressants for lube oil in relation to rheological flow properties. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology, **33(11)**, 1649-1660 (2012).
- [4] W.Chen, Z.Zhoo, C.Yin; The interaction of waxes with pour point depressants Fuel, **89**, 1127- 1132 (2010).
- [5] S.Deshmukh, D.P Bharambe; Wax Control by Designing Polymeric Pour Point Depressant Additives for Indian Crude Oil. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology, **32(2)**, 291-297 ( 2012).
- [6] R.J.Eckert, B.Vos; A Wax Containing Crude or Fuel Oil Comprising a Pour Point Depressant. Eur.Patent, EP o120512A<sup>2</sup> (1984).
- [7] A.A.Hafiz, T.T.Khidr; Hexa-triethanolamine Oleate Esters as Pour Point Depressant for Waxy Crude Oils. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, **56**, 296-302 (2007).
- [8] T.T.Khidr; Pour Point Depressant Additive for Waxy Gas Oil. Petroleum Science and Technology, **29(1)**, 19-28 (2011).
- [9] J.R.Marquat, G.B.Dellow, E.R.Freitas; Determination of Normal Paraffins in petroleum Heavy Distillates by Urea Adduction and Gas Chromatography. Analytical Chemistry, **40**, 1633-1637 (1968).
- [10] M.Morduchowitz, T.Bialy; Low Pour Waxy Residual Fuel Oil. U.S. Patent 4022990 (1977).
- [11] H.Sheldon, P.Reesa, A.Biasotti, B.Joseph; Rohoelzusa Mmensetzung Ger offen, DE 2327059 (1994).
- [12] H.P.Soni, T.Kiranbala, K.S.Agrawal, A.Nagar,

## Full Paper

---

- D.P.Bharambe; Designing Maleic Anhydride- $\alpha$ -Olefin Copolymeric Combs as Wax Crystal Growth Nucleators. *Fuel Processing Technology*, **91**, 997-1004 (2010).
- [13] I.M.Stephen, J.W.Thomas; Flow Improvers for Hydrocarbon Oils and Method of Preparing Same U.S.Patent 3765809 (1971).
- [14] P.Tundo, D.J.Kippenberg, M.J.Politi, P.Klajn, J.H.Fendler; Redox active functionally polymerized surfactant vesicles. Syntheses and characterization, *J.Am.Chem.Soc.*, **104**, 5352 (1982).
- [15] J.Xu, S.Xing, H.Qian, S.Chen, X.Wei, R.Zhang, L.Li, X.Guo; Effect of Polar/nonpolar Groups in Comb-type Copolymers on Cold Flowability and Paraffin Crystallization of Waxy Oils. *Fuel*, **103**, 600-605 (2013).