

## A Review on Bioremediation

Ajlan A\*

Amity Institute of Biotechnology, J-3 Block, Amity University Campus, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

\***Corresponding author:** Ajlan A, Amity Institute of Biotechnology, J-3 Block, Amity University Campus, Sector-125, Noida-201303, Uttar Pradesh, India, Tel: +91-9873118691; E-mail: akhtarajlan@gmail.com

**Received:** August 08, 2016; **Accepted:** August 20, 2016; **Published:** August 31, 2016

### Abstract

**Bioremediation is the innovation that utilizes microorganism metabolism system to expel pollutants, it utilizes moderately low-cost, low-technology methods, which normally have a high open acceptance and can often be completed on site. This technique incorporates biostimulation (triggering viable local microbial population), bioaugmentation (adding cultured microorganisms for the purpose of biodegrading), bioaccumulation (live cells), biosorption (dead or inactive microbial biomass), phytoremediation (plants) and rhizoremediation (plant and microorganism cooperation). Quick advances in the most recent couple of years have helped us in the comprehension of procedure of bioremediation. The utilization of independent molecular techniques has unquestionably helped us to comprehend the microbial group dynamics, structure and helped with giving knowledge into points of interest of bioremediation which has most likely encouraged making the innovation more secure and consistent.**

**Keywords:** *Bioremediation; Phytoremediation; Biostimulation; Bioaugmentation; Biosorption*

### Introduction

Bioremediation is the way toward utilizing life forms to kill or expel contamination from waste. It is vital to comprehend that this type of waste remediation utilizes no poisonous chemicals, in spite of the fact that it might utilize a living organism that can be detrimental in specific situations [1-10].

A gross, however basic clarification of bioremediation is the utilization of maggots in wound care control. Wounds that have contamination can have maggots incorporated with them. The larvae then eat the contamination, permitting the injury to heal accurately. That is a type of therapeutic bioremediation however there are numerous different sorts that are utilized to control distinctive waste contamination [11-20].

At sites loaded with waste natural material, microbes, parasites, protists, and different microorganisms continue metabolizing organic matter to break down the waste. In case such environment is loaded with oil spill, a few microbes would die while some would survive. Bioremediation works by giving these living organisms with various materials like manure, oxygen and different conditions to survive. This would break the organic contamination at a quicker rate. Hence, bioremediation can help to remove oil spills [21-30].

## Importance of Bioremediation

### Bioremediation is important for two reasons

- It utilizes no chemicals – One of the issues with utilizing man-made chemicals as a part of the treatment and evacuation of pollution is that the chemicals in the long run make it into the water supply. There were numerous chemicals utilized toward the start of the waste administration time that we now know were exceptionally harmful to plant, creature and human life once they achieved the water supply [31-35].
- It can permit waste to be reused – Another real reason that bioremediation is favoured that once the waste is dealt with and the contamination neutralized or evacuated, the waste can then be reused. At the point when chemical remediation types are utilized, the waste is still contaminated just with a less harmful substance and normally, can't then go into the reuse procedure. Bioremediation takes into account more waste to be reused while chemical strategies still make waste that can't be utilized and must be put away some place [36-45].

## Classes of Bioremediation

There are two classes of bioremediation utilized; the classes portray the general utilization of the living organisms. The two classes are:

- ***In-situ***: *In situ* means when contaminated waste material is treated at its site of origin. For instance, there might be soil that is contaminated. Rather than to expel the dirt from its point of root, it is treated right where it is. The advantage to *in situ* treatment is that it prevents the spread of contaminated waste material during the transportation and displacement [46-55].
- ***Ex-situ***: *Ex situ* involves treatment that happens after the polluted waste has been evacuated to a treatment area. To utilize soil as the example once more, the soil might be expelled and transported to a zone where the bioremediation might be applied. The main advantage of this is to contain and control the bioremediation products, and additionally making the zone that was contaminated accessible for use [56-60].

## Types of Bioremediation

There are much more than 9 types of bioremediation, yet the accompanying are the most well-known ways in which it is utilized [61-64].

1. **Phytoremediation**: utilization of plants to expel contaminants. The plants can draw the contaminants into their structures and clutch them, adequately expelling them from soil or water.
2. **Bioventing**: blowing air through soil to expand oxygen rates in the waste. This is a very efficient approach to neutralize certain oxygen sensitive metals or chemicals.
3. **Bioleaching**: expelling metals from soil utilizing living life forms. Certain sorts of life forms attract to heavy metals and different contaminants and ingest them. One novel approach was found when fish bones were found to draw in and hold heavy metals, for example, lead and cadmium.
4. **Landfarming**: turning contaminated soil for air circulation and filtering to evacuate contaminants, or purposely draining a soil of nitrogen to expel nitrogen based living beings.
5. **Bioreactor**: the utilization of uniquely outlined containers to hold the waste while bioremediation happens.
6. **Composting**: containing waste so a characteristic decay and remediation process happens.
7. **Bioaugmentation**: adding microorganisms and living organisms to fortify the same in waste to permit them to assume control and purify the region.

8. **Rhizofiltration:** the utilization of plants to expel metals in water.
9. **Biostimulation:** the utilization of organisms intended to expel contamination connected in a medium to the waste.

### **Factors Responsible for Effective Microbial Bioremediation**

The significant advantage of the bioremediation strategies is that it takes into account contamination to be treated, neutralized or expelled and after that produces a waste material itself that is all the more effortlessly disposed. At times, there is no requirement for disposal by any means. On account of the plants utilized as a part of phytoremediation and rhizofiltration, the plant can accomplish something many refer to as bioaccumulation. This implies it holds the contaminant. As the plant is still developing, there is no compelling reason to expel and destroy it. From numerous points of view, it is like having a rechargeable battery. In case of contaminated waste material, the plant continues developing to take into consideration more storage of waste. This is an extraordinarily cost effective solution for contaminated waste [65-70].

- **Microbial population:** Appropriate kinds of microbes that can biodegrade the majority of the contaminants.
- **Oxygen:** Sufficient to support aerobic biodegradation (nearly 2% O<sub>2</sub> in gas phase or 0.4 mg/lit in soil water).
- **Water:** The moisture of the soil should be nearly 50% to 75% of the water holding capacity of the soil.
- **Nutrients:** Phosphorus, sulphur, nitrogen and other nutrients should be there for good support of microbial growth.
- **Temperature:** The sufficient temperature for microbial growth is 0°C to 40°C.
- **pH:** The best range of pH is 6.4 to 7.4.

### **Conclusion**

Bioremediation is a characteristic procedure which is utilized to expel the contamination from nature. It may not be valuable sometimes but if we have the zone and time this is the most ideal approach, since it doesn't require numerous devices or consistent supervision or any sort of manual work, furthermore it is not exceptionally expensive. Bioremediation are of numerous types; each one of them is cheap and characteristic approach to clean our surroundings [71-76].

### **REFERENCES**

1. Akpomie OO, Ejechi BO. Bioremediation of Soil Contaminated with Tannery Effluent by Combined Treatment with Cow Dung and Microorganisms Isolated from Tannery Effluent. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2016;7:354.
2. Satyapal GK, Rani S, Kumar M, et al. Potential Role of Arsenic Resistant Bacteria in Bioremediation: Current Status and Future Prospects. *J Microb Biochem Technol.* 2016;8:256-8.
3. Mathiyazhagan N, Natarajan D. Bioremediation on effluents from Magnesite and Bauxite mines using *Thiobacillus Spp* and *Pseudomonas Spp*. *J Bioremed Biodegrad.* 2011;2:115.
4. Ramakrishnan B. Bioremediation with Simultaneous Recovery and Reuse of Resources. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2016;7:e172.
5. Uqab B, Mudasar S, Nazir R. Review on Bioremediation of Pesticides. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2016;7:343.
6. Xenia ME, Refugio RV. Microorganisms Metabolism during Bioremediation of Oil Contaminated Soils. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2016;7:340.
7. Kiraye M, John W, Gabriel K. Bioremediation Rate of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons from Contaminated Water by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Case Study: Lake Albert, Uganda. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2016;7:335.
8. Uqab B, Mudasar S, Qayoom A, et al. Bioremediation: A Management Tool. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2016;7:331.
9. Jesus HE, Peixoto RS, Rosado AS. Bioremediation in Antarctic Soils. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol.* 2015;6:248.

10. Obreque CJ, Pérez FD, Gutiérrez P, et al. Acid Mine Drainage in Chile: An Opportunity to Apply Bioremediation Technology. *Hydrol Current Res.* 2015;6:215.
11. Luisa WM, Leticia T, Francielle B, et al. Culture-Independent Analysis of Bacterial Diversity during Bioremediation of Soil Contaminated with a Diesel-Biodiesel Blend (B10)S. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2015;6:318.
12. Caruso G. Plastic Degrading Microorganisms as a Tool for Bioremediation of Plastic Contamination in Aquatic Environments. *J Pollut Eff Cont.* 2015;3:e112.
13. Hamid B, Kaushik G, Chawla J, et al. Isolation and Development of Efficient Bacterial Consortia for Bioremediation of Textile Dye Effluent. *J Pollut Eff Cont.* 2015;3:142.
14. Sadiq S, Inam HM, Ahmad I, et al. Bioremediation Potential of White Rot Fungi, *Pleurotus* Spp against Organochlorines. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2015;6:308.
15. Nandi R, Mukherjee K, Saha B. Surfactant Assistant Enhancement of Bioremediation Rate for Hexavalent Chromium by Water Algae. *Biochem Physiol.* 2015;4:173.
16. Soumya GN, Manickavasagam N, Santhanam P, et al. Optimization of pH, Retention Time, Biomass Dosage in Beads and Beads Density on Textile Dye Effluent Bioremediation using Seagrass, *Cymodocea rotundata* Beads. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2015;6:295.
17. Mukherjee P. Bioremediation with the Help of Analytical Tool- Biosensors. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2015;6:292.
18. Pawar RM. The Effect of Soil pH on Bioremediation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHS). *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2015;6:291.
19. Iturbe R, López J. Bioremediation for a Soil Contaminated with Hydrocarbons. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol.* 2015;6:208.
20. Kumar R, Chauhan A, Yadav N, et al. Bioremediation of Polluted Soil obtained from Tarai Bhavan Region of Uttarakhand, India. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2015;6:276.
21. Kulkarni AG, Kaliwal BB. Bioremediation of Methomyl by Soil Isolate - *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2015;6:281.
22. Paniagua-Michel J, Rosales A. Marine Bioremediation - A Sustainable Biotechnology of Petroleum Hydrocarbons Biodegradation in Coastal and Marine Environments. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2015;6:273.
23. Ofoegbu RU, Momoh YOL, Nwaogazie IL. Bioremediation of Crude Oil Contaminated Soil Using Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol.* 2015;6:198.
24. Shah MP. Environmental Bioremediation: A Low Cost Nature's Natural Biotechnology for Environmental Clean-up. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol.* 2014;5:191.
25. Saraswat S. Patent Analysis on Bioremediation of Environmental Pollutants. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:251.
26. Garima T, Singh SP. Application of Bioremediation on Solid Waste Management: A Review. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:248.
27. Gularte HF, Diaz ME, Rendueles M, et al. Effect of Temperature and Salts on Phenol Bio-Availability in Polluted-Sandy-Soils: A Practical Biotechnological Approach before Microbial Bioremediation. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:240.
28. Sabale SR. Contamination and Need of Bioremediation of Pesticide Residues in Fresh Water Aquifers. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:e158
29. El-Bestawy E, Sabir J, Mansy AH, et al. Comparison among the Efficiency of Different Bioremediation Technologies of Atrazine-Contaminated Soils. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:237.
30. Hasan R, Zhang B, Wang L, et al. Bioremediation of Swine Wastewater and Biofuel Potential by using *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, and *Chlamydomonas debaryana*. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol.* 2014;5:175.

31. Malik A. Hazardous Cocktails: Challenges and Innovations in Bioremediation. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:e156.
32. Kulshreshtha S, Sharma K. Perspectives of Bioremediation through Mushroom Cultivation. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:e154.
33. Elekwachi CO, Andresen J, Hodgman TC. Global Use of Bioremediation Technologies for Decontamination of Ecosystems. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:225.
34. Abid A, Zaafour K, Aydi A, et al. Feasibility of a Bioremediation Process Using Biostimulation with Inorganic Nutrient NPK for Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soil in Tunisia. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:224.
35. Tandlich R. The “Old-New” Challenges of Water, Sanitation and Bioremediation in Developing Countries. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:e152.
36. Bhatt SM, Sidhu M, Singh H. Scope of *In-situ* Bioremediation for Polluted Aquifers via Bioaugmentation. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2014;5:e150.
37. Olawale AM. Bioremediation of Waste Water from an Industrial Effluent System in Nigeria Using *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Effectiveness Tested on Albino Rats. *J Pet Environ Biotechnol.* 2014;5:167.
38. Morales AR, Paniagua-Michel J. Bioremediation of Hexadecane and Diesel Oil is Enhanced by Photosynthetically Produced Marine Biosurfactants. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2013;S4:005.
39. Khan F, Sajid M, Cameotra SS. In Silico Approach for the Bioremediation of Toxic Pollutants. *J Phylogenetics Evol Biol.* 2013;4:161.
40. Chauhan A, Kaith B. Bioremediation of Natural Fiber by Graft Copolymerization. *J Chem Eng Process Technol.* 2013;S6:002.
41. Ji X, Ripp SA, Layton AC, et al. Assessing Long Term Effects of Bioremediation: Soil Bacterial Communities 14 Years after Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Contamination and Introduction of a Genetically Engineered Microorganism. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2013;4:209.
42. Bihari Z. Current Trends in Bioremediation and Biodegradation: Next-Generation Sequencing. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2013;4:e138.
43. Singh A, Vinay K, Srivastava JN. Assessment of Bioremediation of Oil and Phenol Contents in Refinery Waste Water via Bacterial Consortium. *J Phylogenetics Evol Biol.* 2013;4:145.
44. Sims GK. Current Trends in Bioremediation and Biodegradation: Stable Isotope Probing. *J Bioremed Biodeg.* 2013;4:e134.
45. Environmental Protection Agency (2004) Pesticides Industry Sales and Usage. 2000 and 2001 Market Estimates.
46. World Health Organization (1990) Public health impact of pesticides used in agriculture. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
47. Minton NA, Murray VS. A review of organophosphate poisoning. *Med Toxicol Adverse Drug Exp.* 1988;3:350-75.
48. Jeyaratnam J. Acute pesticide poisoning: a major global health problem. *World Health Stat Q.* 1990;43:139-44.
49. Di Sioudi BD, Miller CE, Lai K, et al. Rational design of organophosphorus hydrolase for altered substrate specificities. *Chem Biol Interact.* 1999;119(120): 211-23.
50. Schofield DA, Dinovo AA. Generation of a mutagenized organophosphorus hydrolase for the biodegradation of the organophosphate pesticides malathion and demeton-S. *J Appl Microbiol.* 2010;109:548-57.
51. Mulbry WW, Karns JS. Parathion hydrolase specified by the *Flavobacterium opd* gene: relationship between the gene and protein. *J Bacteriol.* 1989;171:6740-6.

52. Looman AC, Kuivenhoven JA. Influence of the three nucleotides upstream of the initiation codon on expression of the *Escherichia coli* lacZ gene in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1993;21:4268-71.
53. Bitter GA, Egan KM. Expression of heterologous genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* from vectors utilizing the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene promoter. *Gene.* 1984;32:263-74.
54. Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual.* New York: Cold Spring Harbor Press; 1989.
55. Gietz RD, Schiest RH. High-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. *Nat Protoc.* 2007;2:31-4.
56. Gopal S, Rastogi V, Ashman W. Mutagenesis of organophosphorus hydrolase to enhance hydrolysis of the nerve agent VX. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2000;279: 516-519.
57. Murray AW, Szostak JW. Pedigree analysis of plasmid segregation in yeast. *Cell.* 1983;34: 961-70.
58. Lopes TS, Klootwijk J, Veenstra AE, et al. High-copy-number integration into the ribosomal DNA of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: a new vector for high-level expression. *Gene.* 1989;79: 199-206.
59. Parekh RN, Shaw MR, Wittrup KD. An integrating vector for tunable, high copy, stable integration into the dispersed Ty delta sites of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnol Prog.* 1996;12:16-21.
60. Orr-Weaver TL, Szostak JW. Multiple, tandem plasmid integration in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol.* 1983;3: 747-9.
61. Wilson JH, Leung WY, Bosco G, et al. The frequency of gene targeting in yeast depends on the number of target copies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1994;91:177-81.
62. Griffiths AD, Tawfik DS. Directed evolution of an extremely fast phosphotriesterase by in vitro compartmentalization. *EMBO J.* 2003;22:24-35.
63. Lai K, Stolowich NJ, Wild JR. Characterization of P-S bond hydrolysis in organophosphorothioate pesticides by organophosphorus hydrolase. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 1995;318:59-64.
64. Edens L, Bom I, Ledebouer AM, et al. Synthesis and processing of the plant protein thaumatin in yeast. *Cell.* 1984;37:629-33.
65. Imamura T, Araki M, Miyanochara A, et al. Expression of hepatitis B virus middle and large surface antigen genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Virol.* 1987;61:3543-9.
66. Wiener SW, Hoffman RS. Nerve agents: a comprehensive review. *J Intensive Care Med.* 2004;19:22-37.
67. Hoskin FC, Walker JE, Stote R. Degradation of nerve gases by CLECS and cells: kinetics of heterogenous systems. *Chem Biol Interact.* 1999;119(120):439-44.
68. Mulchandani A, Kaneva I, Chen W. Detoxification of organophosphate nerve agents by immobilized *Escherichia coli* with surface-expressed organophosphorus hydrolase. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 1999;63:216-23.
69. Shimazu M, Nguyen A, Mulchandani A, et al. Cell surface display of organophosphorus hydrolase in *Pseudomonas putida* using an ice-nucleation protein anchor. *Biotechnol Prog.* 2003;19:1612-4.
70. Ni Y, Chen RR. Accelerating whole-cell biocatalysis by reducing outer membrane permeability barrier. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 2004;87:804-11.
71. Hung SC, Liao JC. Effects of ultraviolet light irradiation in biotreatment of organophosphates. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol.* 1996;56:37-47.
72. Gold RS, Maxim J, Johnson DA, et al. Electron beam irradiation as protection against the environmental release of recombinant molecules for biomaterials applications. *J Biomater Sci Polym Ed.* 2005;16:79-89.

73. Takayama K, Suye S, Kuroda K, et al. Surface display of organophosphorus hydrolase on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnol Prog*. 2006;22:939-43.
74. Gaber RF, Copple DM, Kennedy BK, et al. The yeast gene *ERG6* is required for normal membrane function but is not essential for biosynthesis of the cell-cycle-sparking sterol. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1989;9:3447-56.
75. Welihinda AA, Beavis AD, Trumbly RJ. Mutations in *LIS1 (ERG6)* gene confer increased sodium and lithium uptake in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1994;1193:107-17.
76. Hemenway CS, Heitman J. Immunosuppressant target protein *FKBP12* is required for P-glycoprotein function in yeast. *J Biol Chem*. 1996;271:18527-34.