ESTIMATION OF HYDROXYLAMINE HYDROCHLORIDE USING SODIUM NITROPRUSSIDE BY PHOTOCHEMICAL LIGAND EXCHANGE REACTION METHOD

HARDIK BHATT[*], GAYATRI PRASAD[a], VAIBHAV BHATT[b] and AJAY SHARMA[a]

Ph.D. Scholar of Pacific University & Lecturer Shree N. M. G. P. Institute,
RANPUR – 382245 (Guj.) INDIA
[a]P.G. Department of Chemistry, Govt. P.G. College, SIROHI – 307001 (RAJ.) INDIA
[b]Dy. Manager, Cadila Health Care, DHOLKA (Guj.) INDIA

ABSTRACT

A newer, faster, inexpensive and convenient quantitative method for the determination of hydroxylamine hydrochloride using photochemical ligand exchange reaction of sodium nitroprusside has been investigated. This quantitative method has been established for the determination of hydroxylamine hydrochloride using sodium nitroprusside as a spectroscopic probe. This method indicates that the sodium nitroprusside can react with the amine to form a colored product in the light reaction. Sodium nitroprusside is a photolabile complex and it undergoes photochemical ligand exchange reactions rapidly. Some recent efforts have been made to utilise such reactions for the estimation of some nitrogen containing anions and electron rich organic molecules. The progress of the reaction is observed spectrophotometrically. The effects of different parameters like pH, change of concentration of sodium nitroprusside, concentration of ligands, light intensity etc. on percentage error was investigated. The efforts were made to minimise the percentage error and some optimum conditions were obtained. Such reaction can be used for the determination of hydroxylamine hydrochloride in the range of millimoles to micromoles, hence it is important to know whether such estimations can be done successfully and that to with the desired accuracy.

Key words: Photochemical exchange reaction, Hydroxylamine hydrochloride, Sodium nitroprusside, Quantitative, Percentage error, Optimum conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Photochemistry of biological reactions is a rapidly developing subject and helps in understanding of phenomena like photosynthesis, phototaxis, photoporiodism, photodynamic action, vision and mutagenic photo effect of light. Photochemistry plays a pivotal role in a

---

[*]Author for correspondence; E-mail: ajay395@gmail.com, bhatthardik_msc@yahoo.com

number of chemical and biological processes. Photosensitized reactions are widely used in many technical and biological areas. Photosynthesis is such an important photochemical reaction controlled by nature, which still exists as a challenge to the photochemists. Generally, photochemistry is the chemistry of excited electronic states of molecules. An electronic excitation is simply regarded as a process, whereby an electron is removed from an orbital with certain bounding characteristics and reinserted in another orbital with different characteristics and these excited states are generated by excitation of compounds, atoms or molecules using appropriate wavelengths in the ultraviolet or visible region of the spectrum. It is apparent that the absorption or emission of radiation to/from these states is the concern of spectroscopists as well as the photochemists.

The photophysics of salicylic acid derivatives in aqueous solution has been reported by Pozdnyakov et al.[1] Mechanistic study of substrate sensitized photochemical hydroxylation of salicylic acid with hydrogen peroxide was reported by Lang et al.[2] Shekhar and Pandey[3] have studied thermo chemical studies on alloys and compound of acetanilide-salicylic acid system. Mechanistic studies on the reactions of cyanide with a water-soluble Fe (III) porphyrin and their effect on the binding of NO is reported by Oszajca et al.[4] Wolak and Eldik[5] have investigated that pH controls the rate and mechanism of nitrosylation of water-soluble Fe (III) porphyrin complexes. Gazi and Ananthakrishnan[6] have investigated an analytical method for semi-quantitative determination of hydroxyl radicals by benzoic acid hydroxylation.

Minero and Vione[7] studied a quantitative evaluation of the photocatalytic performance of TiO_2 slurries. Photocatalytic transformation of acid orange 20 and Cr (VI) in aqueous TiO_2 suspensions was studied by Papadam et al.[8] Zhang and Maggard[9] investigated photocatalytically active hydrated forms of amorphous titania, TiO_2 center dot nH_2O. Photodegration of rhodamine B in aqueous solution via SiO_2-TiO_2 nano-spheres was reported by Wilhelm and Stephanwhile[10]. Photochemical reaction involving nitrosylpenta-cynoferrate (II) -bromide system using cadmium sulphide as semiconductor is reported by Chouhan M. S. et al.[11]

Bashir and Shah[12] had investigated a novel spectrophotometric determination of atenolol using sodium nitroprusside. Maddigapu et al.[13] reported photochemical and photosensitised reactions involving 1-nitronaphthalene and nitrite in aqueous solution. Pozdnyakov et al.[14] carried out photolysis of sulfosalicylic acid in aqueous solutions over a wide pH range. Mehmoud et al.[15] investigated selective spectrophotometric and spectrofluorometric methods for the determination of amantadine hydrochloride in capsules and plasma via derivatization with 1,2-naphthoquinone-4-sulphonate.

Bio-mediated synthesis of TiO_2 nanoparticles and its photocatalytic effect on aquatic biofilm was studies by Dhandapani and Maruthamuthu[16]. Zhang and Shao[17] studied about Bi_2MoO_6 ultrathin nanosheets on $ZnTiO_3$ nanofibers, a 3D open hierarchical hetero structures synergistic system with enhanced visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity. Application of azo dyes as dosimetric indicators for enhanced photocatalytic solar disinfection (ENPHOSODIS) was given by Bandala and González[18]. Photocatalytic activity of sea water using TiO_2 catalyst under solar light was studied by Shinde and Bhosale[19]. Dolat and Quici[20] investigated one-step, hydrothermal synthesis of nitrogen, carbon co-doped titanium dioxide (N,C-TiO_2) photocatalysts. They also studied the effect of alcohol degree and chain length as carbon dopant precursors on photocatalytic activity and catalyst deactivation.

Electron-irradiation induced changes in the phases and photocatalytic activity of TiO_2 nanoparticles was reported by Sapnar and Dhole[21]. Rao and Chaturvedi[22] introduced novel pebble bed photocatalytic reactor for solar treatment of textile wastewater. Results from a first autonomous optically adapted photocatalytic–photovoltaic module for water purification were reported by Fuentes and Vivar[23]. Effects of TiO_2 based photocatalytic paint on concentration and emission of pollutants and on animal performance in a swine weaning unit was observed by Costa and Chiarello[24].

EXPERIMENTAL

A 100 mL stock solution of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (M/100) and 100 mL stock solution of sodium nitroprusside [SNP] (M/100) were prepared by dissolving 0.06949 g of hydroxylamine hydrochloride and 0.2979 g of sodium nitroprusside in doubly distilled water. 20 mL of stock sodium nitroprusside solution was diluted to 100 mL to form M/500 concentration and then it was divided into five equal parts (20 mL each). In each beaker, the solution of (M/100) hydroxylamine hydrochloride was mixed as 0.4 mL, 0.8 mL, 1.2 mL, 1.6 mL and 2.0 mL and all the beakers were exposed to a 200 watt tungsten lamp for 15 minutes. A change in colour of solution was observed from light red to light blue. An aliquot of 5.0 mL solution was taken out from each reaction mixture and change in optical density was observed spectrophotometrically at λ_{max} = 365 nm. A graph was plotted between optical density and known concentration of hydroxylamine hydrochloride i.e. [1.96×10^{-4} M, 2.91×10^{-4} M, 3.84×10^{-4} M, 5.66×10^{-4} M, 7.42×10^{-4} M, 8.23×10^{-4} M]. A straight line was obtained, which was used later on as a calibration curve. 1.0 mL sample solution of known hydroxylamine hydrochloride concentration was mixed in 20 mL of sodium nitroprusside (M/500) and it was exposed to tungsten lamp under identical conditions. The optical density was measured spectrophotometrically and the concentration of sample

solution was determined by the calibration curve. From this determined concentration the percentage error was calculated for hydroxylamine hydrochloride sample solution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of pH

The photochemical reaction of sodium nitroprusside in presence of hydroxylamine hydrochloride may be affected by the variation in pH value and as such the estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride may also be affected accordingly. Therefore, the effect of pH on quantitative estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride was studied at different pH range. The results are reported in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

Table 1: Effect of pH

$[SNP] = 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$ M   [Hydroxylamine hydrochloride] $= 4.4 \times 10^{-3}$ M

Light intensity $= 12$ mWcm^{-2} $\lambda_{max} = 365$ mm

| pH	Error %
10.5	12.3
10	10.5
9.5	8.5
9	8
8.5	7.5
8	3.5
7.5	2.9
7.2	**1.8**
6.5	5
6	5.5
5.5	6.3
5	7
4.5	10
4	11.5
3.5	12.5
3	13

Fig. 1: Effect of pH

It was observed that the minimum error in the estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride is found at pH = 7.2 i.e. only 1.8%, which is within permissible limit. Below pH = 7.2, hydroxylamine hydrochloride exists as form of protonated cation and above pH = 7.2 value, the % error increases indicating that complex formation between hydroxylamine hydrochloride and sodium nitroprusside partially completes. It seems that the cationic form of hydroxylamine hydrochloride acts as good ligand than its anionic form.

**Effect of hydroxylamine hydrochloride concentration**

The effect of the concentration of hydroxylamine hydrochloride on the estimation was also observed by taking different concentration of hydroxylamine hydrochloride and keeping all other factors identical. The results are reported in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

It was observed that the minimum error in the estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride is found at hydroxylamine hydrochloride concentration 4.4×10^{-3} M i.e. only 1.8%, which is within permissible limit. As the concentration of hydroxylamine hydrochloride increases the complex formation tendency increases and hence the percentage error found in estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride is minimum but as the concentration is increased higher than 4.4×10^{-3} M, there may be possibility of some larger units (2 or more than 2 molecules) of hydroxylamine hydrochloride bind together through intermolecular hydrogen bonding. Such a unit will not participate in complex formation due to its larger size and lower nucleophilicity, therefore limited complex formation takes place resulting into increase in error at higher concentration of hydroxylamine hydrochloride.

Table 2: Effect of hydroxylamine hydrochloride concentration

[SNP] = 3.1 x 10^{-3} M   pH = 7.2

Light intensity = 12 mWcm^{-2} λ_{max} = 365 mm

[Hydroxylamine hydrochloride] x 10^3 M	Error %
13.3	10
10	9
8	8.6
6.6	5.8
5.7	3.9
5	3.2
4.4	**1.8**
4	3
3.3	4.4
3.1	6
2.8	7.1
2.6	7.9
2.5	8.5
2.3	9.6
2.2	10.7
2	10.8

Fig. 2: Effect of hydroxylamine hydrochloride concentration

Effect of sodium nitroprusside concentration

The effect of variation of concentration of sodium nitroprusside on the quantitative estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride and percentage error was observed by taking different concentration of sodium nitroprusside and keeping all other factors identical. The results are reported in Table 3 and Fig. 3.

Table 3: Effect of sodium nitroprusside concentration

[Hydroxylamine hydrochloride] = 4.4 x 10^{-3} M   pH = 7.2

Light intensity = 12 mWcm^{-2} λ_{max} = 365 mm

| [SNP] x 10^{-3}	Error %
20	7
13.3	6.6
10	6
8	5.5
6.6	5
5.7	4.9
5	4.5
4.9	3
3.3	3.2
3.1	**1.8**
2.8	3
2.5	4.2
2.3	4.7
2.2	5.3
2	5.9
1.8	8

Fig. 3: Effect of sodium nitroprusside concentration

It was found that the minimum error in the estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride is found at sodium nitroprusside concentration 3.1×10^{-3} M i.e. only 1.8%, which is within permissible, limit. As the concentration of sodium nitroprusside increases the complex formation tendency increases, it reaches maximum at sodium nitroprusside concentration 3.1×10^{-3} M, but if the concentration is further increased it will start acting like a internal filter and it will not permit the desired light intensity to reach sodium nitroprusside molecule in the bulk of the solution, as a consequence only limited number of sodium nitroprusside molecule will be excited to participate in the complex formation resulting into increase in percentage error.

Effect of light intensity

The effect of light intensity on the percentage error in the estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride while its photochemical reaction with sodium nitroprusside has been observed by varying the distance between the exposed surface of the reaction mixture and tungsten lamp (light source). The results are tabulated in Table 4 and Fig. 4. It was observed that the minimum error in the estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride was found at light intensity = 12.0 mWcm^{-2} i.e. only 2.4 %, which is within permissible limit. As the light intensity was increased the number of photons striking per unit area per second will also increase. As a result, the complex formation became little bit easier on increasing light intensity, on further increasing the light intensity beyond 12.0 mWcm^{-2} the error remains almost constant indicating that the desired light intensity for maximum (complete) formation of complex requires this much intensity and any increase will not increase the amount of

complex formed. This will result into a constant error above this intensity.

Table 4: Effect of light intensity

[SNP] = 3.1 x 10^{-3} M   pH = 7.2

[Hydroxylamine hydrochloride] = 4.4 x 10^{-3} M λ_{max} = 365 mm

| Light intensity = 12 mWcm^{-2}	Error %
6	6.4
7	5.9
8	5
9	4.5
10	4.1
11	3.9
12	**1.8**
13	3
14	4.1
15	5.2
16	6
17	6.5

Fig. 4: Effect of light intensity

CONCLUSION

The photochemical reaction between sodium nitroprusside and hydroxylamine hydrochloride was carried out. It was observed that if the estimation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride is carried out under these given conditions the percentage error observed is minimum (1.8 %), which is within permissible limit.

The optimum conditions are given below:–

(i)   pH = 7.2

(ii) [Sodium nitroprusside] = 3.1 x 10^{-3} M

(iii) [Hydroxylamine hydrochloride] = 4.4 x 10^{-3} M

(iv) Light intensity = 12.0 mWcm^{-2}

REFERENCES

1.   I. P. Pozdnyakov, A. Pigliucci, N. Tkachenko, V. F. Plyusnin, E. Vauthey and H. J. Lemmetyinen, Phys. Org. Chem., **22**, 449-454 (2009).

2.   K. Lang, J. Brodilova and S. Lunak, Collection of Czechoslovak Chem. Commun., **61(12)**, 1729-1737 (1996).

3.   H. Shekhar and K. B. Pandey, J. Ind. Council Chemists, **27**, 153-158 (2010).

4.   M. Oszajca, A. Franke, M. Brindell, G. Stochel, R. Van Eldik, Inorg. Chem., **50(8)**, 3413-3424 (2011).

5.   M. Wolak R, Van Eldik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **127(38)**, 13312-13315 (2005).

6.   S. Gazi and R. Ananthakrishnan, Current Anal. Chem., **8(1)**, 143-149 (2012).

7.   C. Minero and D. Vione, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., **67(3-4)**, 257-269 (2006).

8.   T. Papadam, N. P. Xekoukoulotakis and I. Poulios, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, **186**, 298 (2007).

9.   Z. Y. Zhang and P. A. Maggard, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, **186**, 8 (2007).

10.   P. Wilhelm and D. Stephan, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, **185**, 19 (2007).

11.   M. S. Chouhan, S. Singh and R. C. Khandelwal, Philippine J. Sci., **135(2)**, 121-129 (2006).

12.   N. Basir and S. W. H. Shah, J. Scientific Industrial Res., **70**, 51-54 (2011).

13. P. R. Maddigapu, C. Minero, V. Maurino, D. Vione, M. Brigante, T. Charbouillot, M. Sarakha and G. Mailhot, J. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., **10(4)**, 601-609 (2011).

14. I. P. Pozdnyakov, V. F. Plyusnin, V. P. Grivin, D. Vorobyev, L. Yu., N. M. Bazhin and E. Vauthey, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, **181(1)**, 37-43 (2006).

15. A. M. Mahmoud, N. K. Khalil, I. A. Darwish and I. Aboul-Fadl, Int. J. Analytical Chemistry Article ID 810104, 8 (2009).

16. P. Dhandapani and S. Maruthamuthu, J. Photochem. Photobiol., **110**, 43-49 (2012).

17. P. Zhang and Changlu Shao, J. Hazard. Mater., **217-218**, 422-428 (2012).

18. E. R. Bandala and L. González, J. Photochem. Photobiol, **218**, 185-191 (2011).

19. S. S. Shinde and C. H. Bhosale, J. Photochem. Photobiol, **103**, 111-117 (2011).

20. D. Dolat and N. Quici, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., **115–116**, 81-89 (2012).

21. K. B. Sapnar and S. D. Dhole, Nucle. Instrum. Methods in Phys., **276**, 7-13 (2012).

22. N. N. Rao, V. Chaturvedi, Chem. Engg. J., **184**, 90-97 (2012).

23. M. Fuentes and M. Vivar, Solar Energ. Mater. Solar Cells, **100**, 216-225 (2012).

24. A. Costa and G. L. Chiarello, J. Environ. Management, **96**, 86-90 (2012).